No

CO9/9D-19

Pandemic

Vesselin C. Morinski

No Covid-19 Pandemic

Vesselin C. Noninski

New York



Copyright © 2022 by Vesselin C. Noninski All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means without the written permission of the author. Inquiries can be directed to the author at timeisabsolute@outlook.com.

Printed in the United States of America.

Cover is from a stock image on www.canva.com

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	6
Introduction	11
The Main Argument of the Book	14
Common Biology Betrays Elite, Surreptitiously Using False Pandemics to Impose Global Control—Absolute Truths Don't Lie	18
The Structure of the Elite	20
The Real Pandemic Can't be Picky	26
Necessary and Sufficient Condition for the Conclusion that COVID-19 Pandemic is a M	lyth 27
Discomforting Thought of Being Classified as Insignificant	32
The New Movement—Self-Determination of the Anonymous Insignificants	36
A Disclaimer Concerning Cases Unavoidably Mandating Competence	37
The New Movement and Pandemics	38
Final Understanding is not Always Possible	39
Reaching of Unequivocal Conclusions on Global Social Problems is Suspect, as a Rule	40
Correct Criterion for the Reality of a Pandemic Saves the World	43

Sad Reality—Not All Real Global Problems are Appreciated	45
Why Doesn't the Court of Public Opinion Not Work Even When Ultimate, Unequivoca Absolute Truths are Presented?	al, 48
Holding Back Humanity Through Reduction of Its Intelligence	49
How to Counter the Elite in Its Active Simplification of Humanity?	58
Heartless and Cold Inquisitive Mind?	63
Death as the Criterion for Pandemic	64
The Cut-Off Point Where Anonymous Turn into Non-Anonymous	67
Constitutional Sanction Threshold and the non-Anonymous Insignificants	67
More on Seeming Non-Anonymous Significants—Can the Death of High European Off be Used Against the "No-COVID-19 Pandemic" Argument?	ficial 70
The Effect of Better Conditions on Deaths from a Pandemic	72
Newsworthy and non-Newsworthy Territories	73
Limited Knowledge Sustains General Knowledge	74
Deaths of Dignitaries Prior to and After Anti-COVID-19 "Vaccination" Campaign	75
Liberation Through Absolute Knowledge	77
Beyond Intuition and Trust	78
Intuitive Criticism	79
Moral and Ethical Issues	82

Internet and Information	83
Mandatory Defiance of Peer-Review and the Mainstream Media	89
Complex Matters, Explained in a Simple Way	94
The Usual Fact of Everyday Deaths—Harnessed to Serve as the COVID-19 Scarecrow	95
Intervention of Mass Psychology	97
No Mistrust of Science	97
Science, and Evidence, and Fact	99
The Myth of Biases Applied to the Current Argument	103
COVID-19 is a Real Disease	106
Who is the World Conspirologist?	109
COVID-19 Pandemic Fraud—A Fabrication of Fascist Governance	112
On the Practical Use of Knowing the Truth	117
On Vaccines in General	119
On the Notion of Absolute Truth	121
On the Objection to the Argument by Questioning the Absoluteness of the Central Fac Hand	t at 129
On the Objection to the Argument by Questioning the Representability of the Sample	131
More On the Definition Itself of Pandemic	134

Occam's Razor	135
The Responsibility of the Champion	136
On the Term "Social"	137
In General, About This Book	143
General Conclusion	143

Introduction

I just finished a book, rich in discoveries, which are explained in excruciating detail, with formulae and abundant other "whys". The conclusion of the book is contained in its title "Deception Governed by Absurdities—The Science of Today". Now, I am set to present to you a shorter book, which wraps around only one single, simple, yet undeniable, fact, which also leads to global conclusions, albeit of lesser general significance than the problems treated in my book "Deception Governed by Absurdities ...". Nevertheless, the correct understanding of whether the claimed "COVID-19 pandemic" is real has a crucial role in comprehending world events, let alone events and decisions pertaining to the personal lives of every one of us.

One thing that should be paid attention to from the outset is that when I said a "simple", yet undeniable, fact, constituting the gist of the current book, it does not mean that it is not a powerful enough fact that is not capable of overturning a big topic menacing the world today. On the contrary, it is a simple fact, albeit capable of upsetting a global *status quo*, just like the simple fact that heat does not flow spontaneously from the colder to the hotter body underlies the entire fundamental science. Other simple facts can also be mentioned, such as the fact that contemporary physics, in effect, derives its conclusions from accepting the absurdity that:

"Two unequal quantities are equal"

or that

"A constant equals a variable"

Not to speak of the fact that, fallaciously,

"The logical fallacy, rather absurdity, known as *petitio principii*, that is, the question contains the answer or "begging the question""

is regarded as something perfectly legitimate and the work of an ingenious mind, and quite casually resides as a principle, as if everything is completely within the norms of science, underlying such a fundamental area of physics as quantum mechanics.

Just pointing out these absurdities is enough to consider the apparently magnificent edifice of modern physics defunct. Removing those obvious absurdities from physics, which must be done at once, leads to restoring in society's mind the validity of crucial absolute facts, impaired since the beginning of the twentieth century. These are crucial absolute facts which can also be enunciated in a simple way:

"Time runs at the same rate in all coordinate systems"

or

"The physical space can be nothing other than Euclidean space"

I realize that I'm putting forth these arguments into an irrational world which does not care about the argument, whereby, paradoxically, people appear to be criticizing their governments and, yet, when push comes to shove and real actions are to be taken, the multitude sides with their oppressor and listens and believes their oppressor's instructions as the reliable official truth

above all truths. Nevertheless, I feel the intellectual obligation to present the unabolishable truths quite openly and without workarounds. As the saying goes: "I do what needs to be done—let the chips fall where they may".

Concerning the topic at hand, contained in the title of this book, I should mention that, while falling into the trap of discussing what the elite wants to be discussed, the analysis in my earlier book, entitled "No Great Reset", concerned the anonymous insignificant (to be defined later) human beings of the world. This side of the story, ignoring the analysis about the effect of COVID-19 on the elite, is exactly what the elite wants discussed, because this is where; i.e., in the process of analyzing a purported effect of COVID-19 on the anonymous insignificants, manipulators cannot be caught red-handed. Practically no one can inspect all hospital wards with alleged anonymous insignificant victims of the alleged "COVID-19 pandemic", neither can the cause of the publicly quoted alleged deaths of these anonymous insignificants be established with certainty by an independent eye, to verify the numbers reported. Thus, the global mass media, which by the way, is privately-owned and serves private interests, has the upper hand on anything it would report regarding that group of anonymous insignificants, even if it is an outright lie, as it actually is.

In opposition to that underhanded approach, imposed by the elite, on how the problem should be looked at, the analysis in this book is carried out on the side of the non-anonymous elite, on the side of those, called in this book significants, whose passing makes the world news headlines for days on end, if it's a fact. It is unquestionable. This is the really open and honest way to assess the situation, not relegating to juggling with the fabled deaths of purported absolutely unaccountable claimed anonymous victims of COVID-19.

In my previously mentioned book "No Great Reset" ("Reset" in Russian being similar to their failed "Perestroyka" or "Перестройка"), the book looking at the problem on the side of the anonymous, on the side of the insignificants, on the side of those whose death as concrete individuals would never make news, neither could there be any assurances that the number of those deaths is not falsified, I gave absolute arguments. These arguments, however, do not give an absolute answer, but only cast a significant shadow of doubt over the suggestion that the world has fallen into the clutches of a "COVID-19 pandemic", the latter being an aggressive suggestion enjoying the full focus and multiplied many times over by the privately-owned global mass media.

In that search for the ultimate absolute argument, based on indisputable, absolute facts, that would entirely defeat the fable that the world in 2020 and 2021 was, and still is, in 2022, experiencing a "COVID-19 pandemic", I overlooked the most obvious, simple, yet unequivocal fact, rendering at once the purported 2020/2021 "COVID-19 pandemic", a myth. This omission in analysis will be remedied herewith.

The Main Argument of this Book

As in the previous books, it is not my desire to be a critic at any rate, but to look for objective criteria that would decide the truth of the matter at hand. The most valuable such truths are truths that can be reduced to simple, indisputable statements. These elementary truths are so precious that no stock certificate or deed, found amongst the piles of junk mail and pointless leaflets, can compare to them.

The topic at hand provides a good opportunity to illustrate the above. Thus, let us first see outright that simple, yet

compelling truth, while repeating and elaborating on it further in this book—

The purported 2020/2021 "COVID-19 pandemic" is a myth because of the absolute fact that none of the world's visible figures, the non-anonymous, the significants, those that make the headlines of the privately-owned mainstream global media, has died from/with COVID-19, while there would have to be COVID-19-related deaths among these, so-called here, non-anonymous significants, should there be a real COVID-19 pandemic.

We are all in the same boat, with no select group of chosen exceptions if that boat does sink.

One must prominently display here that, no matter what the future will hold, the fact that the years 2020 and 2021 never saw a COVID-19 pandemic, because, untypically for a real pandemic, the fact that all significant human entities were spared from death, a fact immediately verifiable at that, will stay on forever. The crime of lying to humanity is done, the die of necessary retribution for that crime, is cast.

This total void of deaths amongst the **non-anonymous significants** is beyond a doubt 100% establishable and reliable. Furthermore, because the lack of prominent non-anonymous significant figures dying from/with COVID-19 proves that the "COVID-19 pandemic" is non-existent, one must ignore altogether any discourse pertaining to COVID-19, especially pertaining to "vaccines" against COVID-19. Obviously, in the absence of "COVID-19 pandemic", any public discourse about anti-COVID-19 "vaccines" is superfluous, except for the narrow circles of the specialized publications.

There is no COVID-19 medical problem causing death, above the usual death-levels throughout the years, compounded now, in the years 2020 and 2021, by the destruction of the health systems as a result of the imposed post-industrial converting of healthcare systems into a service industry (as completely inadequate as the post-industrial conversion of education into service industry), an increased death rate, in addition, caused by the unnecessary, completely unjustified lockdowns and artificially created panic, as well as deaths caused by what is falsely portrayed as "vaccines" against COVID-19. Period.

It is worth repeating for those obsessed with throwing figures of increased deaths at you, that those increased deaths are due to the de-tuning of the health system, destroyed, to begin with, by the post-industrial way it is made to function (inappropriately turned into part of the service economy), which was much aggravated by the iniquitous lockdowns, inducing massive neglect of the really deadly diseases, even further propelled by the massive introduction of still not well understood gene therapies, falsely labeled as "vaccines" against COVID-19.

Speaking of "vaccines", even prior to calling the still not well understood gene therapies, adorned with the moniker "vaccines" against COVID-19, it may be interesting to mention that the enormous increase of deaths in 2020 was in a country which has over 80% of the population vaccinated against influenza in the past years. The absolute increase of deaths in Italy in the past years is a tendency observed by the researchers and published in peer-reviewed literature long before COVID-19 was introduced on the world scene as a possible threat. On the other hand, in countries such as Bulgaria, where the anti-flu vaccination rate is traditionally low—barely 3% of the population has had anti-flu vaccine throughout the years—had absolutely no observable health anomaly from the moment of inception of the false rumor for "COVID-19 pandemic" on Friday, March 13th,

2020, until the end of November the same year, when the result of the completely unjustified lockdown finally kicked in. This resulted in the already mentioned neglect of the really deadly diseases amidst a collapsed healthcare system due to following the prescriptions imposed on Bulgaria by the world powers to synchronize its health system with their post-industrial way of handling it as part of the service economy. Turning hospitals into trade companies rang the death knell of the Bulgarian healthcare system. It was only waiting for a panic caused by something phony, such as "COVID-19 pandemic", a panic as artificially created as it was, invoking unjustified actions, such as uncalled for lockdowns, to be imposed, for Bulgaria's death mount never seen before to become a reality.

Going back to the non-issue, known as "COVID-19 as a major public threat", it should be restated that no further partial talks—pro or con—about "vaccines" against COVID-19, manipulated statistics about deaths of the anonymous insignificants from/with COVID-19, or a discussion on any other topic about COVID-19, would at all make sense. Worse yet, such discussions would be really harmful since any such talk would mean that there is something to discuss about an iniquitously imposed, made-up, completely false problem. Nevertheless, we will indulge ourselves in some talk about these insubstantial issues, in order to give some flair for what goes on in the world today, concerning the discussion imposed by the elite on everyone.

Common Biology Betrays Elite, Surreptitiously Using False Pandemics to Impose Global Control—Absolute Truths Don't Lie

This section will deal with the real division of humanity, where, on the one hand, are the anonymous insignificants, who are both the common wealthier (not the elite) and the poor, the political left and the political right and everything political on the fringes and inbetween, which an elite, the non-anonymous significants, on the other hand, tries to turn into a low intelligence, jelly-like homogeneous mass through imposing "The Great Reset", setting a first horizon for its initial establishment by the year 2030. The paradoxical and devious method the elite uses to achieve its ultimate goal—homogenizing society and turning it into a dumb mass (a goal discussed also in this author's book, entitled "No Great Reset")—is, seemingly counterintuitively, to initially divide it and set everyone against everyone else. This initial division is introduced to prevent the people, the anonymous insignificants, from uniting against the impending catastrophe of homogenization. Many ordinary people, who are here called anonymous insignificants, see through the plans of the elite, but now, disunited, they will not have the strength to come together against the evil. The power of the people, their uniting against evil, has been taken from them, including through the use of false pandemics.

However, this section will also point out the natural impossibility for full achievement of dividing humanity into two parts because of one significant factor standing in the way. The elite has tried different other ways before, to be mentioned briefly below, of dividing humanity along other lines, hoping that finally

"The Great Reset" will bring the ultimate separation and subduing of the anonymous insignificants by the non-anonymous significants, the elite, had there not been that intrinsic insurmountable factor. That is the factor which brings down elite's devious intention to use the fake "COVID-19 pandemic" narration as a start to the planned intellectually degrading homogenization process, having the year 2030 as the first stopping point.

To understand what that insurmountable innate factor is, that inherently destroys the elite's idea of using COVID-19 as the ultimate tool for manipulating the world, with the intention to ultimately separate it into omnipotent slavedrivers and dimwitted docile slaves, we will now devote several pages to what we already labeled as anonymous and non-anonymous, respectively, significants and non-significants.

Indeed, in actuality, humanity consists of two groups of people—one, anonymous, also called here the insignificants, an overwhelmingly large group, which has absolutely no importance or say in any matters whatsoever. The maximum role the anonymous insignificants are allowed to play, is to be used by the elite to legitimize, through so-called "free" elections, the pawns which the elite installs to act as its proxies, calling them governments. This is on the one hand. On the other hand, there is another group, both non-anonymous, as well as tiny, tiny, the group called here the significants, which governs the first group and determines everything the first group should do. However, no matter what divisions are dreamed up to exist between these two groups, these two, in essence, antagonistic groups,

share a common biological make-up.

Therefore, when it comes to medical problems of the same sort as pandemics, anyone who would like to know the truth about the reality of pandemics should look exclusively at the absolute facts pertaining to only that tiny, tiny, but really visible part of humanity, wherein deaths, should there be such deaths, unlike the deaths of the anonymous insignificants, are unequivocally certain, never prone to manipulation and faking. The only deaths worthwhile noticing and discussing, in the context of exploring the reality of "COVID-19 pandemic", are the deaths amongst the members of the elite, of the non-anonymous, of the significants. In such seeing and discussing, one thing should shine like the beacon of truth—during a true pandemic, no group of humans can be protected from having members dying as a result.

What happens to that elite cannot be hidden, especially when it concerns the death of a member of that elite. The knowledge by people at large, of such death, can be delayed, but sooner rather than later, the population will know with certainty that its governor, the monarch, the president, or the stars of the entertainment industry—the annexes that are used to manipulate, as well as earn money for someone on the back of the millions of insignificants—has passed away. We may mention here that, later, we will specify and refine that criterion.

The Structure of the Elite

Isn't it ironic that, although the entire humanity shares common biology and the end will be the same for everyone, there is such a division in society? Abundant literature attempts to explain the reasons for this division but no literature can abolish the absolute facts that a woman has given the beginning of life to everyone of us, that everyone needs air, water and food, in order to live. Yet, there has always been the elite and the commoners. Only the variants of this division differ, the above-mentioned division, "The Great Reset", finally considered by the elite as the

ultimate, the final victory of the elite over the commoners, the anonymous insignificants.

In modern history, it was the thinkers elevated by the elite, that have pondered social divisions and their variants. There were the divisions and class warfare of proletariat against bourgeoisie. A constant battle between political right and political left is a usual topic of discussion, whereby the working class was referred to as the revolutionary force leading the progress, nowadays replaced by the minorities, thought of as the modern form of the revolutionary force. There was an understanding that society consists of haves and have nots, of poor, lower and upper middle class and the class of the rich. However, these kinds of divisions are things of the past. Nowadays, all these divisions have become obsolete. With what the elite now considers its final victory of the ultimate slavery, "The Great Reset", the social division obtains its final form, in which all that was political left and political right, middle class, even wealthy, poor and desolate, all this is lumped together into one mush, into one homogeneous multitude, deliberately made to be of low intelligence, on the one hand. On the other hand, a disappearingly small number of rulers, constituting the world elite, the non-anonymous significants, came to the fore, completely detached from the groups formerly known to form the political milieu, but having enormous power over all these traditional political competitors, never seen in history.

At the top of the world elite are the monarchs, now in their new global role, never before so powerful and united in their goals. The monarchs have allowed the financial elite of the world to aid them when it comes to the everyday practical things—financial elite only cares about profit and little else. The real *crème de la crème* of the elite, the united monarchies, however, in addition to finances and profit, care about the new overall ideological state of the world. Even before acquiring such new

global power, it was still true that if British monarchy didn't care about such a seeming trifle, the ideology, it would never have survived for over nine centuries. It goes without saying, as a detail, that, conversely, ensuring a "proper" ideological state of the world, preventing the disbanding of the monarchy, would also express itself in material prosperity of the monarchs and their families in the monarchies. However, that material prosperity comes only as a result of establishing and maintaining "proper" ideologies fully servicing the eternal reign of the monarchs clearly detached by special birthright laws from the commoners. One important aspect of that ideological state is to make the anonymous, the insignificants, believe what they are told. This mental discipline, imposed on everyone insignificant, is the pivotal tool of elite's governance. Therefore, if one feels that one should resist (as one should) elite's suppression, the main thing is to question every idea which the elite advances, even if the idea presented appears to be for the insignificant's benefit, but especially ideas which one establishes are not right, when propaganda blasts them from the loudspeakers of its private global mainstream media. How that "proper" ideology of enslavement, improved almost to perfection nowadays for elite's own good, works and what the methods of instilling it are, is discussed in the earlier book of this author, entitled "No Great Reset".

The role of the aforementioned elite is especially pronounced when changes are to take place in the upper echelons of human cognition, the fundamental science. One may attempt anything in order to be heard, when one has discovered an absolutely stunning, unequivocal flaw in the fundamentals of physics, the correcting of which is of immediate urgency and of greater significance even than discoveries such as Heliocentrism, because that discovery is more basic than Heliocentrism, in view of its underlying everything else. Indeed, the discoveries in

question; namely, the discovery made that time runs at the same rate in every coordinate system and that space can only be Euclidean, has no match in its fundamentality. However, no one will hear such a discoverer, not explicitly appointed by the elite. Perplexing to any rational mind is the fact that the taxpayers will gladly suffer, allowing their hard-earned dollar to be squandered in a pit of absurdity, deceitfully labeled science, but will never rise up against this highway robbery and injustice, which has more than only intellectual dimensions, unless those taxpayers are instigated to rise up by being paid by these exact same suppressors. The anonymous insignificants are fully able to see the problem but, instead of recognizing that problem and, as a result, rising to freedom, for achieving which they have all the tools and ammunition, they will keep behaving like insignificants, although thinking of themselves as exalted and decisive factors without which no decision can pass. Some even think of themselves as the sovereign.

As a matter of fact, this has always been the case, throughout history. We would have never heard of Galileo, had he not been friends with the powerful of the day, such as Pope Urban VIII. We would have not heard of Josiah Willard Gibbs, had James Clerk Maxwell not noticed and promoted him from his position of power as President of the Royal Society.

A telling example of what happens, when a true discoverer is neglected by the elite, is Yves Couder, who has even published his stunning experimental findings in the most influential archival scientific journals, which would suppose immediate prominence and change of ways in physics. Yet, his seminal work, clearly experimentally demonstrating the classical character of quantum mechanics, is noticed, if at all, as only some illustrative curiosity, which physics may equally as well live without. The actual experimental demonstration that physics

must change, is deformed and diminished by the propaganda into a negligible but mildly amusing oddity.

Amidst all this intellectual marasmus, steps must be undertaken for change. The need for change in fundamental physics, in the first place, followed by a change in every other aspect of life, disallowing corruption and lies, is crying out as loud as the tooting horns of Jericho. However, that can only happen by the involvement of strong political will, through a change in the United States, brought about by the US Congress. This would be a very small change; at that, as a beginning, consisting of the inclusion in the legislation of only one sentence, explicitly stated in previous books of this author, a sentence which will take care of billions of taxpayer dollars misspent on bad science, as the first step. However, the hurdles, although concealed, which the inclusion of that one sentence encounters, are enormous. The only way for these hurdles to be overcome is through imparting enormous sums of money into "professionals" who "know how these things work" when it comes to convincing the US Congress to introduce changes in legislation. Not to mention that it is questionable whether even such money would help bring about change, given that all political regimes in the twentieth century both totalitarian and self-described non-totalitarian—as well as all the regimes in the twenty-first century, have issued categorical ban on criticizing the absurdities of physics, let alone rejecting them. One can hardly believe that such a ban could exist amidst the frenzied propaganda about the fake "freedom of speech". Once again, any change in physics may come about only through strong political will which will disallow society paying for absurdities presented as science. Such political will is lacking at present and that is fully understandable because all the political forces are toys in the hands of the elite and are only conduits to its will, which finds it advantageous to that elite to portray absurdities as the expression of high science.

It is really disheartening to realize, however, that institutions which symbolize the country, are, in fact, nothing other than a cover-up for corruption. This is a consequence of the fact that these institutions are run by puppets of the elite. The congresspersons and senators sit in their offices for no other reason than to be anglers, waiting for their prey, which will be supplied by the representatives of the legalized corruption, the K-Street lobbyists. It may appear that their stay in these offices is for something else, more connected with what these politicians have been announcing during their campaign drives, but the real purpose for their stay in their offices is to wait for the perks they would receive from the wealthy parties, interested in changing legislation for their benefit, by being able to afford paying the K-Street lobbying firms heftily for their knowledge and connections, knowing how to oil the political machine to have it pass the desired bill. These K-Street lobbyists know how, in exchange for passing the bill, to make the respective representatives' and senators' wives become members of the upper crust of corporations, their children be accepted in prestigious schools and universities, and the respective members of the US Congress be guests on an exotic island, for a conference devoted to discussing the bill. No direct transfer of money is involved, as a rule, in order to avoid calling it corruption. Everything appears plausible and legal. K-Street knows how to do that and it is well paid for these kinds of services.

Or, here is another example. The people were made to think that our troops are in Afghanistan to protect democracy and the national interest. Billions of taxpayer dollars were spent for that. However, when everything crumbled, and the Taliban just freely walked into Kabul without a fight by anyone opposing them, it became clear that all this seemingness of an Afghan army, which the USA paid billions of dollars for building, is, in fact, an empty structure, a void, just a paravan of egregious grift and corruption.

So, you mean to tell me, that in this poisonous atmosphere, with the corrupt politicians and the global media not being the best friends of truth, but in service of their private owners, who are the very ones who install the corrupt politicians, we should listen to anything about COVID-19? Never. The most a prudent person would listen to is news where the misleading media cannot escape and is forced to tell the truth—news about the death of a monarch or another state dignitary, death which the world media cannot hide or misrepresent. This is the only liberating news, or rather, lack of such news, that there could possibly be when it comes to COVID-19.

The Real Pandemic Can't be Picky

Now, the new discovery of a way to draw firm conclusions also on medico-social matters such as pandemics, in addition to the discoveries made by this author in physics, empowers the enslaved anonymous insignificants with the unequivocal criterion that, in order for infectious disease to be a valid global problem, i.e., a pandemic, all parts of the human community must be affected lethally. It must include all groups of people on Earth, the non-anonymous significants included. The group of the non-anonymous significants does not live in its own separate world, isolated from the anonymous insignificants. The latter are their servants, porters, chauffeurs and pages. If there

is a deadly pandemic-causing virus, its exchange amongst all humans is inevitable. Yet, judging from the official reports in the privately-owned global news sources, the COVID-19 virus was picky. It selectively chose to take only lives of the insignificant anonymous, sparing the non-anonymous significants. Who would believe that?

Necessary and Sufficient Condition for the Conclusion that COVID-19 Pandemic is a Myth

If nothing else, the fake COVID-19 pandemic episode is a very suitable instrument to test the concept regarding the power and importance of absolute truth. The absolutely provable absence of death of world leaders, even in a limited territory such as Europe, albeit varied enough to be significant, for a conclusion of the sort we study, while confined and offering unquestionable observations such as those offered here, is probably the most categorical fact which the hope for societal absolute truth may offer. In the studied case, the mentioned particular absence of death is the sufficient condition to draw an affirmative conclusion for the falsity of the insinuation that the "COVID-19 pandemic" is real. But let us say a little more about the necessary and sufficient condition with regard to whether we have a real pandemic at hand.

In order to claim that we are dealing with a pandemic, the **necessary condition** is that the groups observed share common biology, defining each particular group under discussion as a part of the humans inhabiting the Earth. The **sufficient condition** to claim that a virus has caused a pandemic is that there should be no group belonging to the assemblage of the mentioned individuals

sharing common biology, that would be exempt from having individuals who have died from the alleged pandemic-causing virus. The sufficient condition is especially strong, even ultimately convincing, when those deaths can be established without a shadow of doubt, in a strictly delineated way, as is the case when non-anonymous biological units, members of the statesanctioned elite, are the subject of study, aiming at determining whether the "COVID-19 pandemic" is real. Notice, there is no need to study the whole population of the Earth, person by person, in order to reach a reliable conclusion regarding the reality of the "COVID-19 pandemic". Only locating a well-defined group of humans, disobeying the sufficient condition for a pandemic, will suffice for the purpose of denying the reality of purported given pandemic, say, "COVID-19 pandemic". Emphatically, the group which is object of study must not be the group which we call here the anonymous insignificants. The number of deaths of the anonymous members of humanity, due to the sheer fact of being anonymous, can always be, and is now, manipulated for underhanded political purposes. That the number of deaths of anonymous can be manipulated for the purposes of presenting the false idea that the "COVID-19 pandemic" is real, is revealed exactly because of the imperative not to analyze the purported deaths from the group of the anonymous, but, instead, to analyze the number of deaths of the non-anonymous. Unfortunately, the opposite is observed when the privately-owned world press discusses the event it has falsely labeled "COVID-19 pandemic"—in order to fake the conclusion about the reality of the "COVID-19 pandemic" and foist it as real, the manipulative global press has forced the world to underhandedly discuss only the manipulated number of deaths of the anonymous and purport them to be from/with COVID-19.

Notably, the exemption to the sufficient condition; that is, the existence of groups of dignitaries exempt from deaths

from/with COVID-19, can apply to such groups located anywhere in the world, independent of what happens, in this respect, in the rest of the world. For the sake of simplicity we can choose to observe Europe, as the reader may have already noticed that this is indeed our choice. The sufficient condition may be fulfilled anywhere else, but its violation even in one place, say Europe, is enough to overturn any suggestion that there has been a pandemic. Moreover, we may choose Europe because it is also promoted as a continent where the reliability concerning the studied biological units is agreed upon to be far greater than that of similar biological units in, for example, Somalia, Barbados or North Korea, to name a few.

Now that we have limited our focus to only Europe, we may limit our general observation regarding the world as a whole, to that continent only—in Europe, during the two years of the alleged "COVID-19 pandemic", there has not been a single recognizable human biological unit, royalty, president of a country or any other newsworthy government or superstar personality, whose death from/with COVID-19, should it have occurred, would not have immediately occupied the world news flow for weeks.

Let us reaffirm once again, but having in mind concretely Europe, for simplicity, it doesn't matter what happens from now on. The absolute fact that no such mortality amongst the dignitaries of Europe has been heard or seen during the 2020 through 2021 period, remains forever. This absolute fact serves as an absolute proof, which can never be refuted, that there is no "COVID-19 pandemic". Therefore, there is no need to explore other possible explanations, other than that definite, that only explanation, which was just noted. It is an absolute truth of the same sort as when I say "I have two hands" is an absolute truth. One does not need to look for any other explanation to question or undermine the truthfulness of the statement that I do have two

hands. The fact that I have two hands is an absolute fact, expressing an absolute truth. My discovery, based on the complete absence of deaths of dignitaries as a criterion, regarding the falsity of the claim for "COVID-19 pandemic", even when considering one limited territory on the planet Earth, Europe, has no alternative, because it is a similar type of absolute truth, an absolute truth of the sort that "one is not equal to two". That "one is not equal to two" cannot be subject to interpretation. It is not a matter of point of view, nor is it an invention or a metaphor. This is an absolute truth, without the recognition of which there can be no arithmetic, to say nothing of correct mathematics in general. So, there can be no logical or factual contradictions in the thesis I put forth, rejecting the suggestion that there ever was or is "COVID-19 pandemic", the way there can be no logical or factual contradictions when I say that "one is not equal to two". If one should attempt to portray it otherwise, it would only amount to obviously unfounded suggestions and insinuations, amounting to nothing other than an *ad hominem* attack.

To sum it up—royalty, presidents and prime-ministers, even superstars, if we widen the criterion, including other recognizable entities, while sharing the common biology with every other human, thus, fulfilling the necessary condition for claiming a pandemic, by all of them staying alive during the period of the alleged pandemic do not fulfill the sufficient condition for the alleged pandemic to be real. That group of humans, a group sharing the same biology with every other human, and, in addition, a group which is prominently in the public eye, hence the death of any of its members cannot be left unnoticed or concealed, contains elements that are, provably, absolutely categorically, not dying within the span of the years 2020 and 2021, when the alleged "COVID-19 pandemic" was said to be sweeping the world. Royalty, other heads of state, primeministers and even superstars, are not dying at all, let alone dying

from/with COVID-19. The violation in clearly defined groups of non-anonymous individuals, especially the significants (even if superstars are excluded from the criterion), of the <u>sufficient</u> condition for a pandemic to be real, means nothing less than a negation of the claim for the reality of the concrete purported deadly viral COVID-19 pandemic, caused by SARS-CoV-2.

As pointed out regarding absolute truths in general, the above absence of deaths from/with COVID-19 amongst royalty and other dignitaries of Europe, is an absolute truth. Therefore, it is not prone to interpretation. It is not a point of view, an invention or a metaphor, and therefore, when a thesis is advanced, which would falsely mean that one necessarily should always allow for alternative explanations, such thesis must be rejected outright. In this case, there are no alternative explanations. It is not true that everything has an alternative. This understanding of immanent tentativeness, in particular, of truth, is an expression of a very vicious approach known as post-modernism (symbolizing perfected Nazism, the reasons to call it that being discussed elsewhere), which nowadays is spreading far and wide, undermining societies. Such an approach, allowing for truth to be always considered contingent, justifies liars, deceivers and charlatans, and must be resolutely nipped in the bud by every person of thought, having basic self-respect, decency and integrity. There is no definition of velocity other than distance per unit time or the first derivative of distance with respect to time. There is no other definition of acceleration other than the first derivative of velocity with respect to time. These absolute definitions, comprising absolute truths, are not a matter of point of view or interpretation, nor are they merely inventions or metaphors. There are many more such absolute truths in science, and society must get used to this reality of absolute truths, disallowing covertly or overtly indulging in destructive intellectual fluidity, so intensely imposed by complete madmen,

through the process of so-called post-modern thinking that began its aggressive march in the 1960s.

Discomforting Thought of Being Classified as Insignificant

The danger in writing this is that the insignificants, in general, would never admit their insignificance. Talking like this is perceived as an assault on their dignity, because in their heads they will always consider themselves as part of the exalted, a pure expression of human nature.

The anonymous insignificants are significant to their family, children, their friends and their circle, the people they spend time with. However, in the large scheme of things, concerning the really important matters, sometimes matters of life and death, they are insignificant, although they never admit it. Their impression is that they personally talk to the government, that they personally appoint the government, which is supposed, according to them, to act as their employees. It my appear that we, the people, elect those government officials. However, what kind of free and fair elections are the elections anywhere in the world, even if these elections are not rigged (don't hold your breath), whereby only wealthy individuals can even wage a campaign to run for office? To say nothing of obtaining power through the happenstance of your genes.

The perception of the anonymous insignificant of its role in the matters of society is self-deceptive. It doesn't comprehend that the government is placed there by the elite, that elite being always out of sight to the anonymous insignificant

Anonymous insignificants do not learn about their real place in societal matters, even when they see that they cannot do

one thing when unjust laws, unjustified lockdowns or obvious outright lies regarding fundamentals of physics are imposed on them, especially forcing them to give their hard-earned tax dollar for such absurdities. The anonymous insignificants have absolutely no say when they listen to inconsistent, contradictory, fraudulent manipulations about a non-existent "COVID-19 pandemic" or, as an aside, the effect of anti-COVID-19 "vaccines", which even the top specialists of the world are debating and are even skeptical about.

This book, along with the previous books of this author, aims at shifting the focus from the unwarranted self-confidence and sense of importance that anonymous insignificants have, toward the true potential that these anonymous insignificants possess to realize real, compelling significance by acquiring the overwhelming power of absolute truth. While the mentioned false sense of importance is skillfully used by the elite to manipulate the anonymous insignificants to the elite's own advantage, when armed with the absolute truth, when it comes to vital issues, the anonymous insignificants acquire a power over which the elite has no control. As a consequence, the building of true awareness of the individual anonymous insignificants, turning them into an enlightened multitude, literated and lucidified by the insurmountable power of truth on vital issues, makes it so that reason triumphs, rather than an actual minority that has self-identified as an elite, bullying the rest, fractionalizing them as minorities along various other lines, deviously for no other ultimate reason than to factually homogenize them as a subordinate docile dumbed-down mass. The common biology is the only factor making humanity one, smearing the artificial division of humanity into governors and subordinates. The power of truth, especially of the absolute truth, which is unequivocal and cannot be disputed, is the only power that can marginalize the self-proclaimed elite, enabling itself to deceive the multitude, through the power of money, and, in this way, govern. The power of truth is the only power that can force that miserable elite to find its place in the waste heap of history.

The only power of the anonymous insignificants rests in their personal potential to discern important absolute truths, a potential massively unrealized to this day, a neglect which the elite deliberately stimulates. This would allow the anonymous insignificants to know the real truth about matters which the elite shamelessly lies about or tricks the multitude into discussing various other unverifiable conspiracy theories, like the one about some "COVID-19 pandemic", mostly to entertain and control, capitalizing on the fallacious feeling of selfimportance of the anonymous insignificants. There is a separate section below, entitled The New Movement-Self-Determination of the Anonymous Insignificants, dealing with that true empowerment of the otherwise completely anonymous insignificant multitude, as well as a section, entitled Who is the World Conspirologist?, commenting more on what true conspiracy theories are.

Being concerned with the empowerment of the societally invisible person through recognizing personal discernment of absolute truth, one must not ignore the fact that, as a rule, truth is not respected. Furthermore, it is massively disregarded that lies are a suppression, a true expression of slavery. The drama of these lies remains sleeping when society lives its regular life. It is only when visible, practical consequences emerge that one begins to realize the incredibly profound moral dilemmas that we are confronted with. This does not even mean securing a happy life through compliance, but involves the essential questions of existence. The oppressed, the anonymous insignificant, are given a choice either they will submit to the doctrines Inquisition, join the structures of the totalitarian regime, be politically correct and act as supporters of the idea of the "COVID-19 pandemic" and never declare it a fraud (which it

actually is), hail the deception and absurdity passed as modern physics as the summit of human thought, or the oppressed who disagree with it will be brutally ostracized. On top of that—of course, this is all done in the name of the common good.

Also, absorbed in the daily chores or pleasures, it is a typical trait to ignore impending deadly world events. Virtually no one, except for some very alert and sensitive people in pre-war Europe, felt anything but boredom when the few upstanding citizens warned the country of what danger threatened all of Europe and the world because of the events that were taking place in Germany in the late 1930s.

This is all true and of great concern, but who permitted the greater evil, who permitted the destruction of fundamental science, causing the destruction of thinking, which allowed this undermining of society? It is a given that this was caused by the elite. More importantly, who allowed the existence of such elite, permitting and causing such undermining? These questions are suppressed—try to ask these questions and you'll be sent off grid, out in the cold.

Objectivity, even outside of one's feelings, has never been an attribute of human nature, which the elite knows. To be objective, one has to consciously take the time and expend the energy, which is not an option for many. Thus, the elite uses specific ropes and massive propaganda to maintain the discipline it wants and to avoid questions it does not want to be asked, as well as helping to mostly painlessly and invisibly isolate itself from the commoners, although such isolation is never possible for fending off a deadly, pandemic-causing virus.

The New Movement—Self-Determination of the Anonymous Insignificants

The above is an announcement of the essence of a new movement—self-determination of the anonymous insignificants. This new movement, empowering the anonymous, the insignificants, is based on the principles of absolute truths that every anonymous, no matter how insignificant an individual of average intelligence one may be, can use for ensuring at least one's own intellectual freedom. Applying this criterion will no longer allow the elite to turn the little person into a fool. The elite, lying through its teeth to the face of the insignificant, is factually emasculated by the insignificant holding the weapon of absolute truth, especially when it comes to some substantial questions of significance. Notably, although the anonymous insignificant will remain anonymous insignificant, when many of them are empowered by the freedom of absolute truths, such multitude of free people will inevitably make a difference and the elite will find out that its lies cannot continue and will have consequences if they do.

Unlike the rampant conspiracy groups, who form beliefs based on absolutely nothing, in our new movement, we are able to unequivocally convince ourselves personally about the truthfulness of vital questions, based on irrevocable facts and proof, not fiction or wishful thinking and hearsay. Not one adherent to the new movement, will now rely on "scientists say", or "as far as I remember", as if the "warrior-scientists", cooperating with the propaganda, are really scientists, and, given the fact that medicine is not science to begin with, as if medics, in particular, can at all be called scientists. And to top all that, observing the vigorous disagreements amongst the medics on the matter of COVID-19 itself, and the anti-COVID-19 "vaccines",

all this confusion is entirely expected, given the reliance on consensus—consensus being the killer of science—when a thorough medical decision is to be made, especially in the complicated medical state of a given, concrete person.

Mentioning science, we may add the following. In real science, there are specific systems studied, where (unlike in medicine, where the specific system studied is the individual person with its unique traits which don't repeat in other individual persons) a discovery pertaining to one specific system is fully valid for all other systems of the same variety—a dilute gas in all cylinders with a piston obeys, at standard conditions, the same compelling ideal gas law, all RC electrical circuits are described by only one specific law in the theory of electricity, not to mention Ohm's law, which anyone can check at home at any time. Moreover, there are absolute facts, from the realm of real science, that don't even require experiments to establish. Such is the catastrophic fact, established in the very pages of the seminal 1905 paper, that the "theory" of relativity in fact deduces that "one equals two," which means that the "theory" in question is not a scientific theory, but an absurdity. Such is the equally catastrophic fact that quantum mechanics has no scientific basis, which is established in the very pages of Planck's seminal 1901 article. These discoveries are described in the earlier books of this author.

A Disclaimer Concerning Cases Unavoidably Mandating Competence

Having said the above, one must add the disclaimer that, of course, not everyone can assess the details of just any scientific study—one needs to undergo systematic education and

experience in the field, in order to be able to express rigorous, competent opinions on most topics of science. However, pole posts can be found, which everyone, even those who have not been exposed to special training and experience in science, can judge and voice their views on certain matters, even matters of crucial importance. These pole posts do not allow going astray into impossible territories which are not science, especially when it comes to public funding of research. The natural limits, impossible to be violated, can be found, as is done in this and in the previous books of this author, which everyone, even the anonymous insignificant, can see, appreciate and draw a correct conclusion.

The New Movement and Pandemics

A prominent example of this new intellectual freedom is, that, when a pandemic is announced, even the insignificant anonymous would know that no group of humans should be excluded from consideration, if the pandemic is to be thought of as genuine. Thus, if there should be a group, any well-defined group, of humans, within which no member has experienced death from/with COVID-19, then the insignificant anonymous observing that group would know with full certainty that there was no "COVID-19 pandemic", and whoever insists on the opposite, lies. Recognizing this is an incredibly empowering state for the insignificant anonymous, which would help that insignificant anonymous fight off the primordial fear the elite tries to instill, in order to govern, unopposed.

Final Understanding is not Always Possible

Unfortunately, this kind of final understanding and closure will not be possible to reach in all cases. Not all topics imposed as world problems are prone to final judgment, not only by the insignificants and anonymous, but by anyone, even the savviest trained professional. For instance, outlandish and outrageous claims such as the claims due to tell-tale signs ostensibly suggesting anthropogenic climate change, should be excluded from consideration when an ultimate solution is hoped for. Conversely, as a reminder, recall that there are cases of world and historical significance, such as the unequivocal, catastrophic proof that the "theory" of relativity is brazen absurdity or that quantum mechanics is misunderstood classical mechanics, and even that there is no "COVID-19 pandemic", whereby the conclusions are final. Here's the unforgivable paradox—the world allows itself to ignore issues such as the absurdity of the "theory" of relativity that harms it the most, having the opportunity to dismiss them with complete confidence, but wastes time and resource by engaging in conspiracies about climate change that are always prone to uncertainties and understanding of which will always be incomplete, to say the least.

The problematic conspiracy theories about the anthropogenically induced climate change, just as the flat-Earth theory, take hold amongst those who have little imagination to picture how big the Earth actually is. There are some, who were brought up with the idea of the former glory of an empire, on which the Sun never sets. The view on the magnitude of the Earth by those heirs of the empire formerly controlling the world, whose natural perception of the world stems from the imperial past, is diminutive. Similar is the reason why the "COVID-19 pandemic" myth, enslaving the peoples of the world intellectually

and even very much physically, grew roots amongst peoples, blasted with an enormity of media-fabricated figures. That myth goes away, and the insignificant, anonymous people are freed from the intellectual slavery of such a chimera, the minute directly verifiable figures are put up for discussion, whether such an idea about a pandemic obeys the above-presented necessary and sufficient condition for a pandemic to be genuine.

Reaching of Unequivocal Conclusions on Global Social Problems is Suspect, as a Rule

Can one find incontrovertible absolute facts regarding social phenomena on a global scale? We already know that such facts are available in fundamental physics, and they were used for drawing unequivocal conclusions in my earlier books "Relativity is the Mother of All Fake News", "The Pathology of Relativity and Some Notes on the Theory of Science", "No Great Reset" and "Deception Governed by Absurdities—The Science of Today". The scientific catastrophes shown, based on absolute facts, dictate an immediate total overhaul of what is known today as modern theoretical physics.

Aside from presenting unequivocal arguments, bringing down the fundamentals of contemporary physics, in those books I also noted, as I did in the previous section *Final Understanding is not Always Possible*, that there are areas which are innately immune to penetration, areas in which conclusive statements can never be made and firm knowledge can never be reached.

In addition to what was said in the previous section on the matter of the intrinsic agnostic character of some areas of knowledge, consider, for example, archeology or anthropology, which can never reach knowledge about the anthropology of people whose culture required cremation. Neither can one guarantee that the artifacts found during archaeological excavations are not just peripheral objects, while the objects really defining the past culture are not lost forever.

Of course, when it comes to the ability to establish the truth with respect to social phenomena, this seems to be quite a blanket verdict, which may not always be true. Although, indeed, the social phenomena are much more complex than those in the exact sciences, and in most cases, the search for the truth in social sciences is hopeless, it was anticipated that there still may be some breakthroughs. Finding such breakthroughs is even more important when one realizes what a threat to freedom the medicinal manipulations could be used for in the hands of powerful elements. Ways to unscrupulous unequivocally the real truth in such cases becomes a matter of life and death.

The problem is that in an overwhelming number of cases, when human beings are analyzed, reaching strict scientific conclusions is usually murky. This is the reason why so many dubious views regarding societal issues have gained widespread ground. So, the question is how, if it is at all possible, does one reach the exactness and ultimateness of conclusions in the social sphere, as can be done in exact sciences, demonstrated in my previous books? Is it possible for one to reach conclusions which would match the ultimateness of conclusions unequivocally overthrowing the "theory" of relativity and quantum mechanics, an overthrow presented in detail, especially in my fourth book entitled "Deception Governed by Absurdities—The Science of Today"?

As mentioned, a partial criterion, based on absolute truths, studying the reality of the "COVID-19 pandemic" was advanced in my book "No Great Reset", based on time distribution of the absolute number of deaths from all causes in a

country—ending up casting a cloud of doubt over the reality of the purported "COVID-19 pandemic"—for example, in the USA alone, when the lockdown in 2020 was instituted, 41 states of the USA did not display any medicinal anomaly, which casts a serious doubt on the reality of the purported "COVID-19 pandemic". Although correct, that criterion still relies on published, albeit governmental, data and cannot be used as an immediate conclusive argument against the reality of the "COVID-19 pandemic".

Here is another, easy to access, numerical argument against the reality of the "COVID-19 pandemic", which also relies on officially released published data. Such data are always suspect, unless they are related to the non-anonymous significant, discussed here. Therefore, we will mention it here just as a curiosity. The world population has increased for the period of 2019 through 2021 by over 260 million people. For the same period, the increase only in Europe is over 230 million people. What kind of pandemic causes an increase of world population, rather than a decrease? Although telling, in the direction of doubting the reality of the "COVID-19 pandemic", this argument is only indirect. It still only relies on some agency's numbers, which may be doubted for various reasons.

One can keep adding more and more inconsistencies to the theses of the propaganda, flooding the world with falsehoods about a non-existent "COVID-19 pandemic". However, nothing has the probative force of the "no-significants'-death-from/with-COVID-19" argument, concerning the non-anonymous significants, the argument which is the main subject of this book. This has already been made clear.

In order to see and appreciate the above categorical fact as a conclusive argument against the reality of the "COVID-19 pandemic", the eye of a scientist is required. The scientists, the ones practicing real science, such as some branch of physics or

chemistry, however, are usually pretty much out of touch with what happens every day in the world, and making such societal observation does not fall into their usual daily schedule. To say nothing of the huge uphill battle to convince society of the necessity to implement, sooner rather than later, their own crucial discoveries, which, by the way, ultimately benefit this same society. Sometimes, however, the situation becomes unbearable on a purely existential level and the scientists need to go out of their way and apply their analytical skills, as well as figuring out what can be used from the arsenal of the scientific method, to help in resolving a problem non-typical for a scientist. For, how can one deal with what society considers mundane questions of science, even if they themselves, in the long run, have social overtones, when the social problem is staring right in your face and is directly threatening everyone's life on a global scale, both when it is real and when it is made-up? Understandably, the first task must be to find out if the issue is real. Because, surely, if the problem is real, it is indisputably a threat that must be heeded.

However, even if the problem is not real but propagated on such a large scale, this, in itself, poses a threat to humanity, despite its fakeness. "Crying wolf", especially on a global scale, is not as innocent as it may sound, both as an immediate death threat, and a threat to freedom and democracy of the peoples.

Correct Criterion for the Reality of a Pandemic Saves the World

Consequently, assuming that a medical problem has a pandemic potential, although it lacks such, is not playing it safe. Such assumption has grave, deadly repercussions when embellished to look like a problem of the world, like a world crisis.

That is why phoniness in claiming a pandemic must not be taken more lightly than when a real pandemic is at play. It is as reprehensible as underestimating a real pandemic. Dumping on the world the fake idea of a pandemic is deadly, albeit for other reasons, not because of a direct viral threat, but as a malignant societal disturbance. Thus, the better the criterion to unequivocally judge the reality of a pandemic, the surer the saving of the world from the underhanded menace of a phony idea for a pandemic, ambushing the world.

Distinguishing between real and fake problem takes a very clear shape in some topics of science. Critique of physics fundamentals, specifically the ones presented in my four earlier books, is absolute. It is unequivocal and cannot be compared to any critique or advocacy of inexact stuff, such as anti-COVID-19 "vaccines". Yet, the closest to that absolute proof ravaging the inappropriately cosy mainstream of contemporary physics, is the proof, subject of discussion herewith, concerning the fakeness of the "COVID-19 pandemic".

As said, the problems of physics are of much greater importance to the world than a pandemic because they concern the quality of thinking of every human being in the world, and conclusions drawn, the quintessential signifier of the human essence. Low quality thinking and incorrect conclusions, as a result of disordered science and physics in particular, are a danger to the world, at the least because they also undermine correct understanding of pandemics, the topic of this book. That is why solving the dramatic problems of physics is what, in the long run, really saves the world.

Sad Reality—Not All Real Global Problems are Appreciated

Now, imagine me sitting and writing about the problems in physics, trying to base the conclusions on absolute truths. This is of no concern to anyone, despite its vital importance for society, second to none. However, disturbed by what is happening around me—in this case, a pandemic announcement—and seeking the truth about the reality of this pandemic announcement, that suddenly finds me realizing that even in this area there is an absolute truth that affects everyone, this absolute truth being the common biology shared by all humans.

This peculiar discovery, however, which came to me suddenly, will remain unheard. I had no illusions about it. It could not fail to be accompanied by the conclusions I had reached in my efforts to make heard the discoveries I had made in fields of far greater importance than some temporary politically planted fictional medico-social problem. The big ideas, both in physics and in everything else, are communicated and ingrained into the insignificant anonymous multitude by the elite, and that does not at all occur due to the rational essence of these big ideas. The elite needed to muddle everyone's thinking. That is why the elite destroyed physics at the beginning of the twentieth century, perverting it into a dangerously silly occupation, presenting absurdities such as unequal things presented as being equal, or answering the question which contains the answer (petitio principii), as the utmost achievement of humanity, the work of a genius. This is reminiscent of a famous novel that tells of a society for which war is peace, freedom is slavery and ignorance is strength. Such a society sounds unreal, and it seems to the reader that the author is using his creative freedom and poetic license to exaggerate, in order to make a startling portrait that will awaken the world to the dangers that lie in waiting if it forgets its humane, rational nature and its *raison d'etre*. Sadly, this is not some fictional world at all, but a very real terrifying world of today's theoretical physics, which is not in the least embarrassed by accepting things like the absurdity that untrue is true; i.e., lie is truth and wrong is right.

It is usual for the non-anonymous significants, being the only group, although tiny, controlling the social *ascenseur*, to elevate, since the beginning of the twentieth century, insane people, who think absurdities are science, to be their jesters and clowns, pronouncing these clowns and jesters as geniuses. The elite can play with the commoners, with the insignificants and the anonymous, in any way it wants to, about anything in general, and when it comes to physics, in particular.

This is dangerously devastating for humanity. However, no one cares. Therefore, nothing can be done to make the overwhelming multitude of insignificants demand change, even given that it is so easy for anyone to see the deception and, as a result, demand a stop to the stealing of money from one's taxpayer pocket, under the false pretense that these monies are spent for high science. Amazingly, it is not the quality of the arguments or the significance of the arguments, but what matters to the anonymous insignificant is whether these arguments concern one personally.

People only care about their own lives and the lives of their families, and, paradoxically, most of them, stricken with fear, can't make the connection between their personal well-being and the larger, more important question, whether "COVID-19 pandemic" is real in principle. The answer to this question of principle seems to the ordinary anonymous persons to be something unrelated to what their own life is like, and so they pay no attention to such a "theoretical" problem. Add to this their inability to do anything about it, said pandemic being real or false,

and the ordinary anonymous insignificant act and live their lives on the assumption that a "COVID-19 pandemic" does exist. They forget that this assumption itself, if not justified, can be really dangerous, even deadly. Furthermore, it is one thing to ignore the absurdity of the "theory" of relativity and quantum mechanics, issues appearing on the surface to be very far removed from any person's daily life, no matter how crucially important, in actuality, they are to the overall cognitive state of any, even ordinary, pedestrian, and quite another when even the smaller issues are a direct threat.

As already discussed, in its latest boondoggle, the fabricated "COVID-19 pandemic", however, the elite has fallen into a trap, a trap which can be seen by everyone, because, this time, it directly concerns everyone—everyone's life, everyone's freedom, everyone's well-being. This trap, unsuspected by the elite, a trap the elite cannot avoid, when any person wants to know the truth, is the common biology of all humans. We will repeat in this context that, as seen, the common biology allows for the unequivocal rejection of a claimed consequence of a deadly viral infection, in the form of a pandemic, when that viral infection is not shared by all the groups of humanity.

The novelty here, when searching for the absoluteness of conclusions, one may again, as in "No Great Reset", rely on the ultimate—the death of persons. However, this time, as is done in this book, these persons, whose living status is at issue, are qualified as anonymous vs. non-anonymous. This is the distinction which really helps in drawing the final truthful conclusion, considering that any reported data with regard to the anonymous, including the number of their deaths, can be manipulated, while whether a dignitary has died cannot remain hidden. Therefore, the division proposed in this new analysis for the truthfulness of purported "COVID-19 pandemic", is actually dividing the data on which the analysis is based, into the reliable

data pertaining to the non-anonymous, and the unreliable data pertaining to the anonymous.

Why Doesn't the Court of Public Opinion Not Work Even When Ultimate, Unequivocal, Absolute Truths are Presented?

One would expect that after presenting absolute arguments, proving unequivocally that the so much praised "theory" of relativity is a deceptive absurdity, that quantum mechanics relies on logical fallacies, and even when one presents an undeniable fact, serving as an argument that the "COVID-19 pandemic" is a fabrication that actually directly affects our lives, but not in the way propaganda presents, that everyone would start jumping up and down with excitement. The entire society would immediately become convinced in the hoax and would promptly deal with it and its eradication, even when the wide dissemination of these absolute truths is efficiently hampered. One expects, in view of their dramatic significance, that such catastrophic truths would spread rapidly by word of mouth and sweep the world like wildfire. In reality, however, what is happening does not come close to this expectation.

This is unfathomable and one looks for an explanation. It is due either to an underestimation of the issues as a result of years of brainwashing the population to believe the opposite, namely that we are talking about great achievements of science that cannot just be denied as if we were going back to the Stone Age, or the feeling that it is not one's job to deal with such things. Paradoxically, conversely, when the same person hears on the

radio or on television the lies about some great discoveries penetrating the depths of the universe and the infinite cosmos, sentiments are reversed and even the ordinary person listens with admiration and great pride to these reports. Positive messages, whatever they may be, even outright lies, have their wide audience. Corrections in views, no matter how crucial, on the contrary, have no audience. Fortunately, this has not always been the case. But in the past, the elite has supported progress and truth, which is why figures such as Copernicus and Galileo have emerged, the basis of whose discoveries is scientific criticism, which is actually what drives the progress of science—new views criticize and overthrow the old existing views.

The world is evolving, however, and the attitude that made the light bulb or refrigerator last longer is changing and planned obsolescence takes over. Such deterioration and regress is the approach preferred by the elite, at least because it is more profitable by stimulating consumerism. In the same way, in the early twentieth century, the elite deflected the rudder of development and forcibly replaced rational science with an irrational one, with absurdities presented as science, actually instilling confusion. The elite does not need thinkers, to compete in societal guidance. The elite needs obedient slaves.

Holding Back Humanity Through Reduction of Its Intelligence

This section must have a prominent place, not only in this book but in every book devoted to world-view shaping ideas.

Ensuring acceptance of truth by society and especially the crucial role of real, unmitigated truth, referring to all of humanity,

is one of the most difficult tasks a person can undertake. The basic worldview-forming ideas and perceptions, wrong at present when it comes to the really fundamental ones, are so deeply stuck in the minds of the people that they have become an indelible part of almost everyone. This is the result of the low quality of thinking that is deliberately instilled in the population from a very young age. The indoctrination begins with the creation of the false image of exceptional thinkers, ascribing it to actual mediocrities. An unshakable image of hero-scientists and the subjects they deal with is created in the minds of students from an early age, from the first stages of their intellectual formation, that singles out a very small group as super-scientists, as opposed to the millions of ordinary scientists, some of whom are truly brilliant but will never have the chance to become known to the public outside their professional circles, if at all.

A similar indoctrination took place under communism, when the state's one-party propaganda held up figures like Marx and what was portrayed as his followers, Engels and Lenin, as some kind of super-philosophers, who proclaimed the final end of philosophy, giving the final answers. Consequently, what remains for everyone else is to "creatively" develop the never to be questioned groundwork those proclaimed super-philosophers have laid. All other philosophers from antiquity to the present day are made to reside in the background as some kind of inferior building blocks, some of them mentioned only in historic context and as the precursors, the rubble on which the supreme thinkers and philosophers Marx, followed by Engels, Lenin, and at certain points Stalin, rested. The nations of the world need ultimate heroes, and the global elite has gladly provided them with great willingness, as the never seen global mental chewing gum. This deformed, one-sided indoctrination has brought, aside from cognitive damages, a great deal of suffering and tragedies to many peoples of the world.

Conversely, monarchies and the so-called democracies would cite Marx and followers as unwelcome curiosities, adoptive of all else presented as philosophy, giving equal weight to anything that is said to be a philosophical doctrine. No wonder, university classes in philosophy sound more like classes in history of philosophy. Philosophy, however, is wrongly burdened with the task to explain the world, least of all capable of providing guidance as to which way the world should follow. The most philosophy can do, is to provide examples of what different ways of looking at the world there may be from the individual point of view of those who created the various philosophical doctrines. Should we, then, wonder, how that equally nasty singular Marx dictate has faded away, replaced by multitudiness of the personal views of the different philosophers. This is where the subjectiveness of truth, the post-modern idea that truth is only a matter of interpretation, imposed on society, comes from, having so much embellished the role in society of varied philosophies since the 1960s? Once philosophy is admitted to have a role in society, and equal weight of the variations of doctrines is allowed, such an understanding regarding truth, the understanding that truth is only a matter of point of view, a metaphor, comes only naturally, as a parasitic consequence. However, no matter how much a given propaganda machine insists that a philosophical doctrine can be objective, it is always subjective both as a totalitarian single doctrine and as the apparent variety of allowing the co-existence of just any ever expressed philosophical view.

Here we may repeat, as a further detrimental point, the defect already noted in philosophy itself. Philosophy, in the absence of science, is incapable of acquiring a true knowledge of the external world and the external objects therein. As mentioned elsewhere in the book, the advent of science since Galileo has rejected philosophy, rendering it obsolete as a method of acquiring true knowledge about the world. The word here is

about real science, not about the abomination known as "philosophy of science", an oxymoron, forced on the world since the 1960s, accompanied by the collectivistic pejorative notion of "paradigm", admitting as truths even falsities, as long as the collective has adopted these falsities as truths. The retention of philosophy as a method of knowing and explaining the world and its social problems becomes disastrous when it is transformed into ideology aimed at achieving political ends. The entire twentieth century, not that the ongoing twenty-first century can be excused from that, has witnessed such tragic application, especially when one philosophical system is made to rise above the rest and becomes the basis of a militant ideological doctrine, suppressing everything else.

However, the damage to the world by the implementation of totalitarian political doctrines was and is nowadays, under the guise of post-modernism, only partial. Only some countries of the world suffered from its heavy boot. The actual, ubiquitous totalitarian damage was incurred to science, slashing it badly and decimating it at the beginning of the twentieth century, arresting the development of the scientific thought for over a century. That demolition concerns everyone on Earth. The totalitarian crushing of science, started at the beginning of the twentieth century, is unsurpassed in its overall negative effect by any world calamity or disaster. It penetrates invisibly into every crack of society in every country, unopposed by borders, once the elite has made barriers around it in every university, rejecting any opposition. Invisible penetration can only be compared to a pandemic, both real and fake, because both real and fake pandemics cause crisis, but unlike a pandemic, the crisis totalitarian crushing of science causes and its long-term effects are not immediately visible. This undermining of intellect may never be detected in a social expression, especially connecting it as a visible expression of confused physics, because its

development is not dependent on other spheres of life. These spheres are not directly and visibly dependent on it. Undermining thinking is a much more subtle process to which society as a whole gradually becomes accustomed. New generations are being indoctrinated into this subverted thinking, who, thus, see nothing wrong with it, and the elite further encourages them to think this way by vigorously suppressing any dissent against the recognition of absurdities as great science.

In science, particularly physics, being the crown-jewel symbolizing ultimate science, the pack of science-destroyers is made to be led by a sorry pusher of the absurd "theory" of relativity, whose name need not even be mentioned. There are a few other unfortunate names, but the tragic figure of the sorry pusher of the absurd "theory" of relativity, has been turned into the singular operetta-superhero of science, the talk of every parquet party.

Someone may object, claiming that, obviously, the cheating dealer who pushed the senseless "theory" of relativity is the historic winner and talking like this; that is, expressing doubt in his greatness, is to deny the facts. The truth is quite the opposite. The apparent victory of said dealer is Pyrrhic. It is exactly the real, absolute, as it turns out, facts that are the drivers of his actual demise. Society might have not accepted at present that the apparent historic victory is, in actuality, an embarrassing demise, but it is only a matter of time when it will recognize that catastrophe; big time, at that. The embarrassing demise of the artificially embellished and inflated image of that phony hero of science is inevitable, because his "theory" and anything else penned by him, contaminating the scientific literature, just does not make elementary sense, to say nothing about having completely no scientific merit, destroying logical thinking, as shown unequivocally by this author. The catastrophic fact seen in the very pages of the 1905 paper introducing "relativity", proving that said "theory" invalidates itself, is the single crucial argument overthrowing it, which makes any other critique of "relativity" superfluous, to say nothing of the entire rejection of any thought that there could ever be an experiment to test an absurdity such as the "theory" of relativity. Once that catastrophe is seen, no patron, covert or overt, that has sponsored the promotion of that world disaster, could possibly save even a scintilla of the pathological absurdity known as "theory" of relativity.

Apart from anything else, elevating such towering mediocrity to the rank of genius is extremely unfair to all honest and honorable scientists, who dedicate their lives to truth and real scientific creativity. The rest of the scientists, some very brilliant, are so low at the foot of this actual symbol of intellectual failure but portrayed by the media as a colossus of thought, that these really talented but humble explorers are invisible even in their own, just as invisible, circles.

The internet, as will be discussed further in the section *Internet and Information*, doesn't help with straightening out this obvious scientific scandal. On the contrary, the pseudodemocracy of the internet contributes to the further obfuscation and delegitimization of significant and meaningful ideas, providing no finality to the real, truthful ones, allowing them to exist on an equal par with falsity and outright stupidity.

At this juncture one may exclaim, well, this is all well and good, even if those, sympathetic to this author's writing, agree at once, without a second thought, with what he said above regarding the tragic state of physics (although they would inevitably agree anyway in the end, should they get deeper into the matter).

The real problem, which is the stumbling block, is how to get across further, even to those who agree, not only the tight connection between ruined physics and ruined, muddled thinking, but also that such ruinous connection is very dangerous,

even lethal to humanity, and why straightening it out must be the primary concern of humanity, outpacing all other problems?

Besides, what does the honest explorer offer the general reader whose imagination is already taken, captivated by promises that physics in its present form has already penetrated the world's secrets and the mysteries of the universe? Various official sources foment this illusion almost daily. Monumental structures called scientific laboratories, sophisticated facilities are built, devoted to what is being propagated, defying this author's unequivocally justified skepticism. Cosmic ships are sent into space. They transmit pictures from there. Everything is so fascinating. How can such a magnificent construction exist if its foundation is nonsense? A couple of wrong formulae, which this author has discovered in the current physics mainstream, will not make a difference in front of such resplendence and greatness.

This greatness and impressiveness are only due to engineering, which has no connection with the wrong fundamentals of physics. The propaganda says that it has, but, in actuality, it hasn't. These fabulous constructions and brilliant cosmic achievements would be there even if no "theory" of relativity or quantum mechanics have ever soiled science. Connecting the "theory" of relativity and quantum mechanics with these grandiose technological advancements is a devious trick of the propaganda, a deception. Remove from physics the "theory" of relativity and quantum mechanics and the engines of the rocket will keep burning the fuel the same way, the jet thrust will not lose a single Newton of its force and the construction, ensuring the launching pad and the integrity of the rocket itself, will remain the same. Navigation, computer systems and everything else ensuring a safe flight will be unchanged as well. Anyone doubting that and trying to interject, trying to theorize that all that will not be possible without the "theory" of relativity, will be immediately cut short by the catastrophic arguments, presented in my books, unequivocally proving that such intervention is impossible because it is absurdity. Those advocates of "theory" of relativity do not even need to be shown in real life that the rocket will fly without that "theory". The unequivocal theoretical argument is enough, the way it is enough just to say that "one is not equal to two", in order to know that no experiments are needed to test the truthfulness of that statement.

More on the difference between the technology based on engineering, which has practical goals that are marketed, on the one hand, and science, on the other hand, can be read in this author's previous books. Science has no practical goals. In its own sense, science only idealistically uncovers and harvests new knowledge and adds it to the pool of already amassed knowledge, acting as its keeper not viewing it as a utility.

What about the connection of flawed physics with intelligence reduction, and what about the intelligence reduction bringing mortal danger?

To answer the first question, try the following inevitable scenario, playing ubiquitously in contemporary academia—if, in order to be allowed to do physics academically and be appointed by the elite as an advisor to the world that would hold me in great esteem as the representative of academia, I must accept the pillars on which modern physics is built; namely, I must admit falsehood to be truth, logical error to be a reasonable result, and that the equality "one equals two" is a perfectly acceptable equality, counting such thinking as "great", I would agree to confuse the thinking of large masses of people. If those people succumb to that kind of thinking, confused in every way, then infected people, even those who are naturally smart, would lower their intelligence—one of the surest demonstrations of low intelligence is muddled thinking, adopting that the above absurdities make sense, accepting that they comprise really advanced "great" nonintuitive thinking.

The trick that the elite applies to accomplish the goal of lowering intelligence of the world, is to first elevate elements, who share such "great" thinking, to the position of pretended authorities, and then discuss apparent evidence, never mind that it is a result of deception. The correct approach; that is, what should be the other way around—the real, truthful evidence creates the genuine authority, is brazenly defied.

As for the second question; that is, the intelligence reduction bringing mortal danger, imagine a person of muddled thinking, a demented person in the custody of hallucinations and deliriums, sitting at the red button that can start a nuclear war. Wouldn't that be a scary, lethal scenario? For a deadly scenario, arriving from low intelligence, one need not even imagine a nuclear button. It is enough to have large masses of people of low intelligence, the product of the constant efforts of the elite to stupefy the population, efforts that render it incapable of understanding the elementary subterfuge of a contrived pandemic that causes these large masses of people to be gripped by fear and panic. Picture what would happen at the exits of a fullaudience movie theater if someone shouts "Fire!" and the viewers lack the elementary intelligence to look around and see that there is no fire at all. The frenzied crowd rushing for the exits could be deadly for many caught in its press.

Instead of analyzing rationally the real situation with COVID-19, the anonymous insignificants sheepishly listened to everything the corrupt authorities shoved down their throat and rushed into panic, ignoring even the common sense that they should not neglect their much more deadly, real diseases.

This all is not unconnected with the systematic derogation of the reality of truth. Practically no one believes any more that there can be truths which every individual can establish firmly. The population behaves as a spoiled child, waiting to be nursed by appointed "experts"—the better someone serves the

The population at large lacks any initiative and desire to think with its own brain. Some cannot even tie the laces of their shoes any more or tell time by using a regular clock that is not digital. This delegation to appointed authorities of every aspect of life, even of personally manageable matters directly endangering the life of everyone, has been worked on by the elite for centuries, and lately has intensified beyond recognition, assisted by the equally as sinisterly instituted drugging down and entertaining down of large masses of the population, making it additionally passive.

If the population does not wake up, get a grip and regain its sanity, the future of humanity is bleak. The danger to humanity is not some so-called anthropogenic climate change, nor is it in some fictional pandemics. The real trouble is in the massive decline in intelligence caused by the elite—deliberately forming population, anonymous insignificants, devoid of logical thinking, due specifically to the destruction of the foundations of the fountain of correct thinking—real, uncorrupt science, honoring truth, logic and the scientific method. A multitude which cannot tell deception from truth is as much a threat to itself as to the entire world.

How to Counter the Elite in Its Active Simplification of Humanity?

The very thought that a clearly established unequivocal truth of great significance to the world may be kept under a rock, is unbearable. Therefore, ways are to be sought for that truth to come out. Unfortunately, the anonymous insignificant faces a brick wall when it comes to communicating that truth to the world.

Apparently, one way out is to play the game of the elite a lost game. The elite uses its economic power alone to impose a controlled destruction of the intellect of the anonymous insignificant. It is impossible for the anonymous insignificant, even if they have glimpsed critical absolute truths, to counter societally the lies of the elite by voicing these absolute truths—the anonymous insignificant have no financial power to spread the truth. Therefore, in our new movement, introduced in section The New Movement—Self-Determination of the Anonymous Insignificants, the focus is on each one individual anonymous insignificant, its individual freedom through enlightening, not the enlightening of society as a whole, the latter being entirely in the manipulative hands of the elite. It is sad but true that in today's world there is no other way to be heard by the multitude other than through flexing serious financial muscle; that is, by belonging or serving the cause of the elite. That the truth could be spread by anyone via the internet and be read by the world, is a tale for the naïve. The truth, however, should be recognized not because of money spent for its dissemination. That, in some significant cases, most importantly regarding substantial issues, such as contemporary fundamentals of physics, or even in cases of more limited social significance, such as the "COVID-19 pandemic" lie, is indeed in the hands of every individual, once that individual is assisted by someone taking the time to pen a clear, comprehensive exposure of these truths, as has been the effort in the current and the previous books of this author.

This mentioning of money as a condition of being heard, is not some poverty-induced complaint, nor a plea for financing, which is usually the purpose when an issue is raised in the media for the public to hear, although the truly creative individuals in science are left out in the cold, all the societal money being squandered on sheer insanity, passed as science. The academy, where the money and the conditions for research and public

recognition of scientific success are, embraces only the obedient, the ordinary, those who are willing to forget even a modicum of critical creativity they may have, in exchange for minimum wages received for obedience, encouragement and promotion of outright nonsense. The so-called scientists in academia behave as common state clerks in a governmental job, who are not expected to change any of the rules of the organization, only following and obeying them, no matter how absurd these rules may be. Similar is the behavior also of the non-scientists, the medics, who are expected to have devoted themselves to helping people with their health but have turned themselves into simple merchants and political careerists.

Speaking of ease of dissemination of ideas, of course, the one having such ideas ready for dissemination, can happen to belong to a community or organization whose members live in the bliss of universal approval solely by virtue of belonging to the group. However, the existence of such groups, especially with real potential for global influence, while at the same time enjoying open access, are virtually unavailable.

One may rely on the good luck to know influential people but, aside from the disappearingly low likelihood for a commoner to have such connections, why should acceptance of truth be dependent on chance; that is, if you know someone influential the truth will be heard, otherwise it won't? This was, as said, the case during the times of Galileo. It is more so today. What is proposed in this book is the opposite—the anonymous insignificant take the fate of truth in their own hands. It will not be in the textbooks, it will not be in the archival scientific literature, but every individual, enjoying some recent technical advancements in the access to information, can be one's own master of judging truth.

It might be added here, as an example of the liberation of the anonymous insignificant through the knowledge of absolute

truth, in relation to a question orders of magnitude more significant and intellectually higher than the question of the reality of the "COVID-19 pandemic", that, as far as the "theory" of relativity is concerned, in his previous books this author presents the ultimate proof for the absurdity of said "theory" and the absolute exigency to subtract and eliminate said "theory" from anything at all that is considered science. Throughout most of the twentieth century to the present day there have been attempts to overturn the "theory" of relativity, but all of these attempts have been only partial, if not leading down the wrong path. None of these attempts touches the very essence of the flaw, which catastrophically invalidates the "theory" of relativity, namely, the contradiction between the results from the Lorentz transformations, on the one hand, and on the other, the principle of relativity discovered by Galileo and adopted, without even citing its discoverer, Galileo, as the first postulate of the "theory" in question. This fatal conflict screams out loud from the very pages of the 1905 paper intended to introduce that absurd "theory" of relativity. The conflict in question is unequivocal and absolutely insurmountable in comparison to anything else that can be said about the "theory" of relativity. It is at the root of everything that is wrong with the "theory" of relativity, and, as already emphasized, any criticism inevitably comes down to this conflict in the end.

In view of the pivotal importance of what I just said, I will rephrase it in this way—everyone must be mindful of the fact that the argument which I am advancing, unequivocally demolishing the "theory" of relativity, is not just one of the many arguments that can be given against that "theory". My argument is the singularly crucial argument, unmatched by anything that can be said about the "theory" of relativity. This is the ultimate argument that marks the factual end of that so-called "theory" of relativity.

As a consequence of the catastrophic conflict in question, what follows is not only the absurdity of the "theory" of relativity itself, but also the absolute mathematical and physical untenability of the Lorentz transformations—beginning with the fact, that the Lorentz transformations require the absurdity that a constant be equal to a variable, the very initial absurdity that should have prevented the very thought that the Lorentz transformations could be applied to anything in physics. If one looks for any use for the "theory" of relativity, it can only be used to serve as the direct display of both the mathematical and the physical flawdness of the transformations. The ridiculousness of Lorentz transformations can also be expressed as a conflict of the transformations in question with the absolute truth that

"Two spatially coincident clocks are synchronous"

or the absolute truth that

"At a given time at a given place in a given coordinate system, time can only have one single value"

This conflict has been analyzed in detail in the above-mentioned previous books by this author. In addition, this author plans a follow-up book that will deal specifically with the concept of time and the absolute truth that the rate of time is the same in all coordinate systems, moving as well as stationary. As noted in the previous books, the discovery of this author of the absolute proof for the universality of the uniform rate of time together with the Euclidean space as the only possible one, is the greatest discovery in the history of science, because of its absolute fundamentality, unmatched by anything else discovered in science.

The method of the search for absolute truths, as the personal liberation, achievable by each individual personally, applied to the most fundamental questions of science—the universal equality of rate of time and the Euclidean nature of space—was also applied to the more modest question, concerning the reality of the "COVID-19 pandemic." The result of this application is the present book.

Heartless and Cold Inquisitive Mind?

Of course, a word of warning may be due at this point. Reflecting along these lines, we come across an unusual way in which the elite protects itself from being exposed. This unusual way has a direct bearing on dealing with societal problems, which is always complicated, and for the following reason. Whereas in physics and chemistry, apart from professional competition, a manifestation of human nature, the conclusions themselves cannot hurt anyone's feelings, conversely, when it comes to issues of human health, life and liberty, the liability and manipulation become enormous, and to this are added personal issues, issues of morality and ethics. Therefore, while rigor remains essential in solving societal problems, albeit less achievable, some level of hurt feelings is always expected when critiquing and proposing solutions to societal problems.

In the name of all humanity, however, these hurt feelings ought to be swallowed when dispassionately searching for the truth also in any social or medico-social crisis, which ultimately saves humanity from disaster. This tricky moment in grounding the elite is exploited by the elite, if this elite believes that the conclusions are contrary to its interests, presenting those who seek the unquestionable truth in medico-social issues as heartless and

cold. It is advantageous for the elite to ensure that the issues it dumps on the people of the world will always be fuzzy, always prone to culture wars, never clear-cut and final. This book, following the approach of the previous books of this author, does exactly the opposite. Such clarity, which is the purpose of what I write, is for the good of everyone else, not for the elite.

The elite, diluting and muddling the issues with extraneous ideas, empowers the shallow credential-seekers, who use credentials (whether or not a claimant has credentials) as a tool to downgrade the arguments of a skeptic, even when these arguments are unequivocal. As a counter-argument, one need not even adduce historic examples, when medics with no training in physics made valid seminal discoveries in physics and left their names written in gold letters in the annals of physics. The opposite is also true, a scientist trained in the exact sciences, can make a discovery in medicine. The discovery itself is the credential. It is the only thing that must be discussed, not who made the discovery and what his credentials are. When a discovery is made, let alone when a discovery is based on absolute truths, any request for the credentials of the discoverer sinks into oblivion, becomes *non sequitur* and is a clear *ad hominem* attack.

Death as the Criterion for Pandemic

In order for COVID-19 to be pronounced a pandemic it must have caused death. For any disease to be pronounced a pandemic, there must be deaths involved. If a disease would cause only indisposition, even on a world scale, but no deaths occur as a result of it, it would not be deemed a pandemic. As a matter of fact, connected with death was how the virus causing COVID-19 was presented to everyone by the elite-owned global media, and that dishonest world media did use exactly the intimation that

COVID-19 causes massive deaths to scare the world for the purposes of controlling it—a constant stream of media reports about loads of dead bodies (death entirely in the anonymous group, of course), who died from/with COVID-19, crammed into black plastic sacks clogging up ambulances, the hospitals unable to manage sending these dead COVID-19 victims on their final journey, filled the airwaves of the world for months. Some even got over-excited and said "dying from COVID-19 will get more and more intense with time".

We, however, will not listen to the insinuations the world of the privately-owned global media foists upon us. We, now armed with the unequivocal "no-significants'-death-from/with-COVID-19" argument, know better. We have fixed our eyes in a direction where fraudsters have no room to escape and are caught red-handed. Our eyes are fixed in a direction where no lying about dying, in particular from/with-COVID-19, could be possible. Our gaze is fixed on where the non-anonymous dread such observation the most, and do their best to deflect such analysis. We divert our gaze away from where the propaganda is driving us. Instead, we would like to know which monarch, pope, or president has died from/with COVID-19, finding that there is none.

Counting the dead is a morbid criterion. However, it is an ultimate criterion, when one considers it dispassionately, as one should, if one is really concerned about the truth regarding the reality of "COVID-19 pandemic".

The choice of this criterion is, of course, not because the analyst wants the elite to have died, but is only a reliable tool to judge the reality of the socio-medical phenomenon at hand. Let alone that, as noted, this morbid criterion, albeit used twistedly, by analyzing the unverifiable number of deaths of the anonymous, was imposed by none other than the powers-that-be,

the elite, to justify their deception, foisting a fake "COVID-19 pandemic".

Well, it is true that, if counting the dead should be the criterion, we'd better use it correctly and apply it where it counts and cannot be disputed—count not the deaths you know nothing about, but count the 100% confirmable deaths. This criterion is not for meek hearts, and, thankfully, also, there were no deaths amongst the elite, but that unequivocal observation, concerning the "no-significants'-death-from/with-COVID-19" as a criterion, is deadly (no pun intended) for the idea which that same elite desperately wants the insignificants, the anonymous, to believe in; namely, to believe that there was, and is, a real "COVID-19 pandemic" engulfing the world. As said, not heeding this criterion can be deadly in another, unsuspected way—the human organism is a much more complex system than to be encompassed and subdued by mere viruses. When general confusion is imposed on the entire system, death should not be attributed only to the presence of a virus. There may be also other causes of death. Cynically, in addition, those deaths are, for their part, fraudulently ascribed to COVID-19. The vicious cycle of fraud keeps turning.

Falsification by presenting a mild medical condition which can unequivocally be proven not to cause lethal problems in a well-defined group of people, while fraudulently presenting that it occurs in another group of people, is a real cause of deaths due to neglected deadly real diseases. It is also in violation of the unambiguous fact, spelled out in *The Main Argument of This Book* section, which one should never lose sight of when listening to the propaganda lies, spreading the outright falsity about some so-called "COVID-19 pandemic". We will elevate this central argument to a basic axiom when considering the reality of a pandemic, in principle:

AXIOM: If there really is a pandemic, then there must be no group of people in any part of the world excluded from that pandemic, in such a way that, while all other groups of people will supposedly experience mass deaths, that particular group is demonstrably exempt from the effects of that pandemic and is spared the scythe of death.

The Cut-Off Point Where Anonymous Turn into Non-Anonymous

The "no-significants'-death-from/with-COVID-19" criterion used poses a question—where is the threshold where anonymous turn into identifiable non-anonymous, let alone significants? To avoid such wandering aimlessly within the criterion, wandering which may psychologically upset and undermine the trust in the conclusions, it is easy to clearly define that particular group comprising the significants, say, by applying constitutional criteria to the groups to be studied. After all, in order for the conclusion about the reality of a pandemic to be correct, there should be no group at all, any group, that is not lethally affected by the pandemic. Why not, then, separate out a clearly defined group? Such a clear definition will discourage critics, who are always ready to seek undermining arguments at any rate.

Constitutional Sanction Threshold and the Non-Anonymous Insignificants

Because the hoaxters and those who advocate for them (scared people and opportunists) are adamant and will grasp at straws to defend their hoax, one needs to be very careful when defining the criterion and very firm in applying it. The latter must carefully exclude groups of people whose death number, especially claimed to be from/with COVID-19, can be manipulated and lied about. Thus, although these data must at once be rejected as unreliable for judging pandemics, because these numbers are attributed to anonymous insignificants, there is an intermediate group of visible personalities—entertainment stars, former government officials and others known to the wide public, as rare as their deaths also are, whose death may be manipulatively used as examples of purported non-anonymous makebelieve-significants who died from/with COVID-19. This group we identify here as **non-anonymous insignificants**. The advocates of the "COVID-19 pandemic" cannot wait to produce these deaths, if there are any at all, as a counterargument to the main "no-significants'-death-from/with-COVID-19" argument advanced herewith. One must be very careful not to allow such substitution of the numbers of deceased dignitaries, really protected from fraud, with deaths of visible personalities prone to manipulation. There is a strict threshold which must be honored between the manipulatable and non-manipulatable number when it comes to the death of a dignitary. This threshold was already mentioned but not emphasized.

Thus, we must narrow the range of persons subject to analysis even further, in order to increase the reliability of the conclusion when using the "no-significants'-death-from/with-COVID-19" criterion. In order to avoid this feeling of "shifting poleposts", making the criterion as robust and clear as possible, immune (no pun intended) from manipulation, the "no-

significants'-death-from/with-COVID-19" criterion should be applied only to persons who have been granted a constitutional sanction which establishes the governmental function of the individual as the head of state or elected high governmental functionary. For such persons, the procedures of announcing whether they are alive or dead follow a very strict state protocol. Violating this protocol may lead to adversarial repercussions for the country, both internally and externally. That is why reports on such details are a matter of very high responsibility and state priority. The press, as manipulative as it is in all other cases, follows these responsibilities unconditionally.

In opposition, news about celebrities at large, although they are also in the public eye and that may falsely give the impression that the details of their deaths may not be manipulated, is not at all squeaky clean and responsible. The cause of their death may be manipulated at will, according to political needs. The whole life of such celebrities is wrought with gossip, hearsay and innuendos. Therefore, any details about them, including cause of death, which, as a matter of fact, neither in 2020, nor in 2021 (thankfully) also did not reach numbers exceeding the usual level of deaths throughout the years to begin with, should not be deemed realizable in our analysis. While the details of such a person's death may be distorted to serve the mystification in favor of the "COVID-19 pandemic", the official press dare not use the death of even the spouse of a monarch to even hint at a claim for such a cause. Even the manipulative media would not hint that such death may have anything to do from/with COVID-19. The celebrities and the persons who have lost their governmental sanction, although also widely known, are not real non-anonymous significants; that is, endowed with an active governmental role, and any media can lie publicly about those deaths at will. Therefore, one must be vigilant, when various

names of celebrities who have allegedly died from/with COVID-19 are offered as counter-examples to the non-"COVID-19 pandemic" thesis expressed herewith. A random celebrity, although having impact on the masses, is not their lawful governor, and therefore, does not fall within the realm of what we call here non-anonymous significants. Former politicians are also not fully qualifying as the significants under the used criterion. Their state responsibilities have already been removed and irresponsibly lying about their status, including lying that they have died from/with COVID-19, is fully possible. No one bears the responsibility for such misreporting. That fraudulent misreporting by the media has no potential to affect any national issues.

More on Seeming Non-Anonymous Significants—Can the Death of High European Official be Used Against the "No-COVID-19 Pandemic" Argument?

To continue the above ruminations, notably, also the death even of a currently acting high official cannot be used by us, the anonymous insignificant, to establish personally any truths, especially the truth regarding the reality of "COVID-19 pandemic", other than the absolute truth that the particular individual in question certainly died, as reported by the press. This report none of us, the anonymous insignificants, has any reason to doubt. Furthermore, while heads of state are voted in by the respective citizens, or are legally sanctioned as heads of state as monarch are, and therefore they fulfill the cut-off criterion in this book for non-anonymous significants, some of whom would

have died if there were a real "COVID-19 pandemic", the high official of the European Union, for example, in this context, has not been voted in by a single European citizen. Therefore, he does not fit into the "no-significants'-death-from/with-COVID-19" criterion we use. He is another instance of non-anonymous insignificant, and his death has no bearing on our conclusion about the falsity of the claim for a "COVID-19 pandemic".

Regardless of its absoluteness, the death of a high official is not a fact that can be used for the kind of global categorical conclusion of the sort of the absolute conclusion that the "theory" of relativity and quantum mechanics, very ominously foisted upon humanity, are two different flavors of nonsense. In addition, such high official may be an Italian, and two things are well known about Italians—as was already mentioned, the flu vaccination rate amongst them has exceeded 80% for many years, while the flu vaccination rate amongst Bulgarians has never exceeded 3% in recent years. This probably is one of the reasons why in 2020, Italy will see a mass die-off in the population that Bulgaria will not. The March 2020 lockdown in Bulgaria is completely unjustified. It has been imposed by sycophantic compradors, for which they must be held accountable. It was this unjustified lockdown that caused the neglect of the real, deadly diseases, which, in concert with a completely already destroyed health system, led to the extraordinary mortality rate in Bulgaria at the end of November 2020. Speaking of a high-ranking bureaucrat, and being Italian, there could be other complicating factors, such as, say, certain blood abnormalities, which are far less common in Bulgaria. Also, such a bureaucrat is just some official, in effect a clerk, whose death can be quite freely and irresponsibly talked about in all sorts of fabrications, covered up, as we see happening now, rather than being a central news story.

The Effect of Better Conditions on Deaths from a Pandemic

It is noteworthy that what is disputed is not that people who live in better conditions experience lower overall mortality. On the contrary, as was already amply emphasized, it is the breakdown of the health system, the lockdowns and unjustified restrictions, the stress and fear, and, more recently, the destruction of the immune system through the introduction of potions, called anti-COVID-19 "vaccines", that contribute to the increased mortality. The shuffling of the health system, the imposition of deliberate genocide to please an unscrupulous elite, is making the overall death rate explode. However, again, that is not what is being discussed here. What is being discussed here is whether there is a correlation between the increased death rate and some fictional "COVID-19 pandemic" and the answer is in the negative—No, there is no connection. Even during the times traced back over ten centuries, when mortality amongst the commoners and peasants increased in some cases over 30-fold due to a real pandemic, there was also an increase in mortality amongst the aristocracy, albeit less (1.5 – 2-fold) due to the better conditions under which the aristocracy lived. The deaths amongst aristocracy were less, albeit there were still deaths of aristocrats during real pandemics. Conversely, this being the main point of this book, nowadays, not a single European monarch or president of any of the 27, or, if you will, formerly 28 members of the European Union, or any European country at all, for that matter, has died from the ghostly, non-existent "pandemic of COVID-19". Hence, any talk of "COVID-19 pandemic" is moot.

As has been repeatedly remarked, there is not a single state-sanctioned person who has died from/with COVID-19. For state-sanctioned personalities, even the lying world press

maintains discipline and does not lie. As mentioned, even about the death of the British monarch's husband, no one dared even speculate that it might have had anything to do with COVID-19. Only when it comes to anonymous insignificants, whose daily deaths are turned into deaths from/with COVID-19 by very corrupt doctors and politicians, in exchange for money and political power, are these news agencies lying about. This is not only morally reprehensible, it is criminal. Such behavior is a crime against humanity that must be dealt with by a new Nuremberg Tribunal. The evidence, based on shared biology, is absolute, it is irrefutable, and it is better to take it into account and be done with this "COVID-19 pandemic" insanity, rather than now have more millions go scavenging, murdered in the service of some snafued political agenda.

Newsworthy and Non-Newsworthy Territories

Also, on a psychological level, for a discussion to have effect, avoiding criteria-wandering, it must be focused on territories which attract the attention of the world. It is a cynical fact, very shameful, at that, a fact downright morally unbearable in itself, that, for the Western world, deaths in Somalia, as an example of a Third World country, don't matter as much, if at all, compared to deaths in the West; that is, in Europe and in the United States. Therefore, information concerning Europe and the United States is the information which is considered reliable. Conversely, information concerning Africa and vast expanses of Asia or Latin America, is considered unreliable, even when groups thought of as identifiable in Europe and the United States, are referred to in Asia, Africa and Latin America. Need we again

remind ourselves, that the global agencies of mass information are privately-owned? Their main goal is profit. Therefore, they are focused on information from areas which will earn these agencies maximum profit, alongside intellectual indoctrination. As a result, they turn their heads away from the peripheries of the world, which is the majority of the world, and the deaths there have lesser informational market value (what a terrible term when it is connected with human lives) than those in the West.

Limited Knowledge Sustains General Knowledge

The good news for the honesty and integrity of our conclusions, is that, because humanity is one as basic biology, a conclusion excluding epidemic when it comes to one territory, such as Europe, for example, must apply negatively when it comes to a global pandemic, even if all other peoples of the world experience local epidemics.

Therefore, while what we are told is said to be known, the media dwelling into the unverifiable facts referring to the anonymous, on the one hand, and what we really do know with certainty, on the other hand; that is, facts referring to the non-anonymous significants, only concerns the West, nevertheless, that knowledge, in reality, applies to the entire world. Thus, we can confidently go on using that only seemingly partial, but, actually, general criterion, to draw conclusions about a pandemic, if it were to encompass the world, as it should by a most general definition. The effect of common biology cannot be undone or swept under the rug. That is the damnation of the propagandist manipulator, focusing on the anonymous insignificants but deliberately neglecting crucial facts about the non-anonymous significants. The number of deaths of anonymous can be, and is,

manipulated left and right by the propagandist manipulator, even the cause can be manipulated to appear that it is from/with COVD-19, while there's no way that the death of a monarch, should there be such death, can be concealed. The common biology allows for securely spreading, over the entire world, a conclusion about the reality of a pandemic, based on the firmly known facts about only a group of such non-anonymous, as monarchs are, residing in only one select region. This restriction to one area only, avoiding the labor to tackle every single region of the world, in order to reach a trustworthy conclusion about the reality of a claimed pandemic, without losing rigor, is such a relief for the honest analyst who wants to know the truth. From this we conclude that, for rational and irrational; that is, psychological reasons, the criterion based on reliable number of deaths may be focused on Europe and the USA, as a fully acceptable choice. All the more, it brings the tool, in the form of said criterion, home, where the acquisition of data should be easier, even if we abandon the West-centric view. Yet, the conclusions reliably apply to the whole world.

Thus, to reaffirm, the liberating criterion for whether COVID-19 pandemic is real, would be the number of the newsworthy deaths, the deaths of the non-anonymous significants, invoking huge headlines and 41 gun-salutes. No such salutes have been heard during the entire period of the alleged so-called "COVID-19 pandemic". Consequently, there is no "COVID-19 pandemic".

Deaths of Dignitaries Prior to and After Anti-COVID-19 "Vaccination" Campaign

In order to disallow finagling, the absence of deaths of individuals, newsworthy called here non-anonymous significants—royalty, presidents, prime-ministers and political cabinets—can, in addition, be observed prior to the imposition of the so-called "vaccines" against COVID-19. Allowing such specification of the criterion further reinforces the observation on which the conclusion for no-"COVID-19 pandemic" is based there were no such deaths either prior to, or after the introduction of the anti-COVID-19 "vaccination" campaign. Therefore, there is no "COVID-19 pandemic", nor has there ever been. As for the "vaccines" themselves, since they are also mentioned here and in various other places, as has already been said, but to recall it here as an additional detail, the stated absolute fact proving the absence of a "COVID-19 pandemic" makes the question of "vaccines" against COVID-19 and their effect, irrelevant.

Once again—even when the discussion of the "COVID-19 pandemic" reality takes place concerning the two periods, the pre-"vaccination" and post-"vaccination" period, it is of utmost importance not to fall into the ambush of discussing the manipulated numbers, vigorously shoved down the throat of society by the deceptive global elite-owned news agencies, regarding the medical conditions of anonymous, unknown people, discussing their purported deaths from/with COVID-19 or discussing anything about the "vaccines" against COVID-19. This is the game of the elite, of the fraudsters—these fraudsters are the inventors of the false, unverifiable figures pertaining to anonymous insignificants, and they know in advance what "answers" will sound plausible when confronted with the exposure of their fraud. When it comes to the anonymous insignificants, it is the elite that is prepared for the confrontation because the elite controls the game.

Liberation Through Absolute Knowledge

In the middle of this writing the worm of doubt started gnawing into my soul. Why am I writing this? Can anything be changed in this situation? To say nothing of the fact that millions of the insignificants are gladly and gleefully ready to serve their governors, succumbing to the "COVID-19" pandemic" lie. So, who will benefit from what I'm writing?

I know that I already said that this is an announcement of a new liberation movement through absolute truths. This movement was, in fact, started with my previous books. I'm extending that now, to include "COVID-19 pandemic" conundrum. Although honestly searching for the truth, the negative conclusion regarding the reality of "COVID-19 pandemic" will not give me political points. Quite the contrary, it will situate me alongside the dissident minds. Neither do I expect to gain any financial benefits, nor do I want to. Unfortunately, and that is paradoxical, the system in the USA and elsewhere works in such a way that proper dissemination can be achieved through selling only, say, a book, like you would sell any other product. If you do not want profit from it would look funny and you will not be taken seriously. So, this puts you into a moral dilemma as a matter of principle, even if there will not be a single dollar earned from sales, which has no one-way answer. I don't need to get into that at all.

Anyway. Eventually, I found respite in the thought that this writing may not be as pointless and hopeless as it suddenly seemed. This writing is to tell insignificant people such as I, people who have no impact on society, that there is hope, that there are historically significant truths which we can uncover individually, with the strength of our own cognition, over which the significants, those who have impact on society, have no power.

The purpose of this writing is to tell people like myself, people who don't matter, that not all is lost when we need to know if we are, for sure, with all certainty, lied to. This is to say that everyone of us, the anonymous insignificants, is in possession of inextricable power, the power of the absolute truth, which we can ourselves discern and use personally, in order to understand individually when we are lied to. Who likes to be lied to one's face? Moreover, these absolute truths do not refer to some ordinary, trivial matters, as we absolutely feel that we are hungry or thirsty, not to mention short of breath. We know with absolute certainty the feeling of hunger, thirst and shortness of breath. This is an absolute truth for us. The real power that we, the insignificants, possess, however, is the power to discern for ourselves alone, without relying on lying authorities and corrupt "experts," whether a worldview-defining idea so forcefully imposed upon us, is true. Of course, it is not always possible for the anonymous insignificant to reach by themselves the freedom-bringing absolute truths, without some aid. This, and the previous books of this author, are meant to provide this needed aid.

Beyond Intuition and Trust

Having promised the above, we must add that, undoubtedly, many times it is impossible for us to know whether what we are being told is true, especially when it comes to worldview-forming ideas. We have to rely on our trust about what we are told. Has the USA been on the Moon? Is the Earth flat? Does a potion, labeled anti-COVID-19 "vaccine", work, or is it dangerous and even deadly, especially in the long run? More importantly, and that is the very topic of this book, is there really an advertised "COVID-19 pandemic" in the first place, before even considering whether to ruminate about anti-COVID-19

"vaccines", supposed to deal with that pandemic? There are different levels of trust we can apply, from outright distrust for something outrageously appearing wrong, to approval, based on trust, for the lack of any other choice. It is interesting to discuss these beliefs and trust, often assaulted as "conspiracy" theories and "fake news", and we actually do that later, but we, here, in this book, are mostly on the lookout for absolutes, especially concerning the big issues of our day, for when the moment comes, these absolutes would allow us to personally lay hands on unequivocal truths.

This writing is not intended to provide advice to anyone about how to lead one's life, whether to get "vaccinated" or what steps to undertake to protect one's life. As was abundantly made clear, and that is the main contribution of the book at hand, this book only points out that, even when the discussion pertains to societal events, amongst the myriad of facts, there are pivotal facts, indeed, which, by their absoluteness, can serve as crucial arguments for unequivocal conclusions, bringing freedom to the powerless anonymous insignificants, should they care to enjoy such freedom. This resembles sifting through junk mail, in order to find that particular one letter that matters. The letter that matters has an outer appearance like any other item in your junk mail, but that concrete valuable piece can change your life. Junk mail can't.

Intuitive Criticism

As when criticizing "relativity," critics of the COVID-19 hysteria must have absolute proof of their criticism. It is not enough to be against the "theory" of relativity. You have to argue convincingly why you are against it. The ultimate, most compelling, unequivocal evidence for the odious absurdity of the

"theory" of relativity is provided by this author. It can be seen in each of his four previous books, especially in his latest, entitled "Deception Governed by Absurdities—The Science of Today", where also a number of other proofs are presented of the absurdity of today's fundamental science, including proofs of the unscientific nature of quantum mechanics. Quite prominently, then, any critics attempting to find arguments against the "theory" of relativity can only reduce their criticism to the arguments shown in those books. Nothing more distilled as in the absolute argument against this "theory" can ever be found.

Unfortunately, in most cases of societal dissent on similar matters, we only encounter intuitive critics; that is, critics who feel things are not right but cannot quite pinpoint exactly why. Intuitive criticism is not only not productive, but falls easy prey to dismissal. Intuitive critics discuss things that the proponents of the idea that there is "COVID-19 pandemic" want discussed, so that they can break them down by fairly representing them to be matters for specialists alone, often rightly accusing critics of incompetence, or by throwing up for discussion apparent facts that are easy to manipulate, such as numbers of anonymous infected or fraudulently presenting the everyday-deceased as having died from/with COVID-19. Thus, embarking on a discussion of issues on which, not only are they not competent, but no one is competent, allowing themselves to be lied to by the elite, intuitive critics do more harm than good, not to mention, become the target of ridicule, and frequently, justified pejorative labels such as anti-vaxxers. The problem is not in denying infectious diseases and vaccines against them, but in seeking the truth and guarding against manipulation through lies by those in power, using infectious-disease-hoaxes, as is the current COVID-19 fabricated panic, to achieve their political ends.

Intuitive criticism is the best friend of those who like to fish in muddy water. When deception cannot be anchored by

argument, but relies only on a sense of what is true and what is not, the deceiver's hands are untied and there is no barrier to deceiver's manipulations. How are we to know if the materials with which the new road was built are of inferior quality, and were paid for at prices corresponding to high quality materials? We see the road built and it looks beautiful to us. Our intuition tells us that a good job has been done. How can we intervene in paying for the electricity we use? What is the cost of the energy we have used, given that the electric energy cannot be measured directly? The bill that is presented to us is the result of a calculation, not of direct measurement; that is, it is in the hands and the methodology of the vendor alone. I intend to write a separate book about this problem. Similar is the case with the oil companies. They set the price. The software companies that have already made a one-time investment and made the product, then sell it repeatedly, in effect selling air. An apple (the fruit) producer who has made a one-time investment cannot sell that same apple to millions of buyers. Intuitive critics cannot say one thing in such a case. If they try to criticize, they will be overwhelmed with marketplace arguments, which will be as manipulative as the initial manipulation. These critics are in a subordinate position. They depend on the ones who set the game, and their only choice is to play the game with rules set out by others. Some numbers are presented to us, concerning anonymous individuals who have purportedly died from/with COVID-19, some bogus statistics are presented to us based on these flying numbers, but we have no way of verifying them and, instead, go by intuition. Moreover, most of us sheepishly agree to discuss these flying numbers and to draw conclusions based on them. It is not surprising, then, that our conclusions match the conclusions the fraudsters are pushing on us. So, by feel, our intuitive criticism melts away, we accept the con artists' conclusions, and as a result, a primordial fear grips us, which is their goal. We sense that something is wrong with the "theory" of relativity, but we don't know exactly what. Instead of consulting the book "Deception Governed by Absurdities—The Science of Today", while only going by intuition, is immediately used by the fraudsters to accuse the intuitive critic of incompetence and further reinforces their deception.

Moral and Ethical Issues

There comes a point when it is impossible to avoid the personal position. Whose side are you on? The complexity of taking a side might explain why physics professors wouldn't want to touch with a barge pole the argument, as catastrophic as it is, unequivocally refuting the "theory" of relativity. That physics professors do not even want to know that such an argument exists, because they would then burden themselves with the moral obligation to take a stand. Taking an honest stand means nothing less than forfeiting their position in the academy. The comfortable position is to agree with the Inquisition, during its day, that the Earth does not rotate, that the "theory" of relativity is not an absurdity (although it actually is) but is the greatest theory that has ever been in existence, that there is "COVID-19 pandemic". Galileo disagreed with the Inquisition till the end, as they heard him say after the verdict: "and yet it turns". However, Galileo is a hero, a historic figure, ready to sacrifice his comfort, let alone his life, for the truth. Does this mean that everyone has to become a hero, willing to lose their job by not agreeing against their will to be "vaccinated" against COVID-19, knowing at the same time that the very idea of a "COVID-19 pandemic" is a fraud? External pressure is sometimes unstoppable. The modern history of even the civilized world is littered with examples of injustice made into the law of the land, and all are equal under this unjust law. Where is the line that cannot be crossed? One can lose one's life, and that of one's loved ones, if one opposes injustice.

Besides, no one likes to be lectured to, neither be edified or listen to moralizing. Also, no one who makes a living dealing with issues allegedly related to the "theory" of relativity, or who benefits materially, professionally, and even politically, from swearing that the "COVID-19 pandemic" is real, likes to hear from someone else the last word on these matters. That is why such biased partisans won't even meet with you to hear your arguments, rather, considering the attempt to show those arguments as a personal insult, even as a provocation aimed at ruining their lives and especially their careers. God forbid if such vested interests are put in position of political power and stand to lose their power if they renege and decide to side with the truth.

One thing is for sure, however. It is the Galileans of the world, the heroes of science, the rare self-sacrificing heroes who are willing to renounce and immolate even their lives, who move the world forward. History remembers no monarchs or rulers, but Galileo's name shines through the centuries as one of humanity's major liberators—without sword and blood, but even more effectively, with his discoveries and love for the truth.

And another interesting thing—one can often hear that, lately, the development of the world was so fast that yesterday's novelties are obsolete today. Here's an example that contradicts that statement—humanity has not yet understood the principle of relativity that Galileo discovered more than 400 years ago.

Internet and Information

In this sifting, aimed at extracting the golden grains of useful information from the marasmus of all the unnecessary information dumped onto us, we are greatly aided, in these new

times, by the internet, where we can already find much more (alas, far from even a *soupçon* of the important stuff, which one is looking for) of the stuff which was practically unreachable a decade or two ago. Thus, I remember what a problem it was to find one 1905 paper in the pre-internet days. It is now available online and those who care about the truth are able to see immediately, with their own eyes, by inspecting the paper in question, what deception governed by absurdities actually forms the basis of the so-called "modern physics". Internet, of course, is not the "be-all and end-all", as some are desperately trying to portray it, in order to justify the inept idea of some "information society" dawning on us to replace the current "analog society".

What is interesting in this use of internet, is not the messenger itself, the internet. What is interesting is the message, its delivery being the trivial part, the way, trivially, the machine is not the screwdriver and the pliers. To see such a thing as the important message, extracted as the information that counts from the seemingly infinite ocean of rigmarole, is unfathomably difficult if one decides to listen to the propaganda, not even knowing where to begin. However, once the fear of confronting the problem head on is overcome, the more than a century-long lie, perverted to appear as mainstream science, stands naked in all of its full poisonous glory. The direct fearless inspection, which anyone with a high school diploma may carry out, aided by the discoveries made in my books-"No Great Reset" for those uncomfortable with formulae, and especially, "Deception Governed by Absurdities—The Science of Today" for those slightly better equipped with a science background—reveals secrets about the sorry state of mainstream physics, which that mainstream has propagandistically turned around, portraying them as seminal achievements. Thus, cracking those books open and dipping into them, the diligent students will be rewarded by getting the satisfaction of knowing the truth. They will witness with amazement that major theories of physics are based on the above-mentioned farcical presumption that "two differing quantities do not differ but are equal". Furthermore, they will also witness that those, pronounced as physics gurus, would not bat an eye when they disobey a principle which they themselves have defined to be unquestionably obeyed. Neither would they bat an eye when a single condition is presented, through deception, as if it comprises two different conditions. As a result, confronted by such a ridiculousness which is revealed to them by these books, the diligent students, guided by these two books, will establish for themselves the following unshakable facts of utmost importance (see also *Introduction*), that

"time runs at the same rate in all coordinate systems"

and that

"physical space can only be Euclidean"

The diligent students will be amused, if not outright repulsed, by seeing that there is no refraining, in the writing of said pseudogurus, from posing a question which contains the answer (resorting to the so-called *petitio principii*, a logical fallacy), presenting such outright cheating as a theory that works.

Notably, all this abomination takes place in physics where exactly the opposite is expected, where the rigor of conclusions is supposed to be assumed without a second thought. This heedless, rather hideous, disrespect for the basics of science, observed in today's theoretical physics, is a despicable situation and a disgrace which has adversely affected the quality of thinking of the whole humanity for over a century, to say nothing of the incurred tremendous material waste, in the stead of doing proper

science. These discoveries, blowing the lid off of the hermetically sealed destroyed physics, are unequivocal beyond a shadow of doubt, and they were the inspiration in this book for seeking absolute-truth-analogs in the social field, more specifically in the medico-social state of society, where the rigor of categorical conclusion is not expected as a rule.

However, the internet has its shady side, which is discussed in detail in my earlier book, "No Great Reset". It has the potential to be a greater danger to the destruction of our world than the atomic bomb. On its good side, the internet is unmatched by anything else as a facilitator of information exchange.

Some say that technical innovations have two sides—atomic energy can have also peaceful applications. With good restraint, its military applications can be stopped and an imbalance on the side of the good be maintained. It is not clear, however, to what extent the anti-human eugenic development of biology can be deterred and the devastating effect on humanity can be offset by the benefits of genetic engineering, the frauds, also of a eugenic nature, such as the global "vaccine" experiments resulting from the foisting of fake pandemics notwithstanding. Not to mention deterring the enslaving effect of digital arithmetic, the basis of internet, systematized by Leibnitz, commercialized, and turned into an overwhelming global superdictator.

Because of the seemingly democratic nature of the internet, the impression is given that the opinion of the genius sits alongside the opinion of the fool, and this is perceived by some as devaluating the truth. This is a deceptive impression, because what matters is the quality of the argument. So, what if there are millions of adherents to a false genius who has foisted on the world the absurd "theory" of relativity, the falsity of which can be realized by any person of average intelligence, and he who

previously might have been regarded by some as a fool, can point out the catastrophic error in the "theory" of relativity and, as already pointed out, enables practically anyone to ascertain its ridiculousness and absurdity? Once such a fool has pointed out the catastrophe, that is an act of a genius by the very fact of the insight displayed by that person. Consequently, in view of the criterion of truthfulness contained in the argument, there is no danger that the internet will dilute the truth, and the true fools will begin to dominate with their incompetence and low quality of thinking. Conversely, as you can see from the previous books of this author, a pronounced genius turns into the most common fraud and ridiculous annoyance in science, once his catastrophic error is discovered.

Moreover, speaking of the internet, the very owners of the territories they have walled off on the free internet, to profit from this brute appropriation, have contributed nothing meaningful to the world, let alone do they even have a college education, not that it would matter if they had some important truth to deliver. These half-educated people, however, have tremendous power to impose their mediocrity, and that's the thing that's troubling. Humanity should work not to allow the formation of such an elite cast.

As for debates where the genius speaks on equal footing with the fool, there are topics where the truth is not in the former's power, any more than it is in the latter's. Such a topic is the subject of "vaccines" against COVID-19. Who is a genius and who is a fool, is not abundantly clear on this topic. Conversely, when it comes to aristocracy, only a fool can deny that not a single aristocrat has died from/with COVID-19. Anyone who makes this valid remark on the internet, genius or a fool, matters. As in all other cases where substantive remarks are made, it is the truth of the remark that matters, not the personality of the speaker.

Likewise, the denial of the obvious, the denial of the absolute truth of the lack of deaths as a result of COVID-19 amongst the aristocracy and the crucial importance of this absolute fact in assessing the reality of the "COVID-19 pandemic", erases any advantage that the so-called "experts" have when it comes to credibility and reliability concerning their conclusions about the reality of the alleged "COVID-19 pandemic". Being an expert does not mean having an indulgence for frivolous blindness about absolute truths. Quite the opposite. If an "expert" pretends not to see the absolute truth and does not recognize it as something having crucial repercussions, it is a humiliation for that expert's profession and a disgrace. The least that the experts and the specialists in an area of research must do, is recognize the absolute truths and the significance of these absolute truths for the matter at hand, whatever the conditions or potential hardships that compel turning their heads aside. This applies not only to the marginal question as to whether "COVID-19 pandemic" is real or not, but also concerning the gravest problem of humanity—the real science, the fundamentals of physics and chemistry, which have been derailed and demolished since the beginning of the twentieth century.

One more point—of course, to understand even the most elementary thing requires some level of effort. Therefore, it is not enough to say "I don't get it and that's a problem which makes me unwilling to go further in unraveling the travesty". The realization of absolute truths on which your life depends but which are mangled to appear non-existent, allowing for insanity and absurdity to govern, is not something you can ignore lightly. To find yourself among the bullets and not care, is suicidal. It is true that anyone would rather be at home, in the warm, but when you are attacked and bullets whiz past your ears, you cannot be indifferent. This sort of direct threat is also the case with the "COVID-19 pandemic" lie, and especially with the false

pseudoscience symbolized by quantum mechanics, to say nothing of the "theory" of relativity. These are vicious attacks on each and every one of us, and to be neutral to them, to let others sort them out once the establishment of their falsity and absurdity is at your fingertips, is not far from leaving yourself defenseless to the bullets of a vicious adversary.

All this tolerance of futility poses one question: how is it possible for humanity to leave itself in this miserable state? Huge forces are at work, and it is not so strange, since such vast amounts of money are concentrated in so few hands. What can the disunited, powerless humanity do? There is hope, however, as has already been said, that personal enlightenment, the adoption of the method of the individual search for absolute truth as the path to freedom, will one day ripen into the full fruit of freedom that will not allow the enslaving concentration of wealth that allows humanity, those who make up the multitude, the anonymous insignificants, to be reduced to mere primitive biological subsistence, capable of fulfilling only menial jobs requiring only the ability to perform simple operations.

Mandatory Defiance of Peer-Review and the Mainstream Media

In this neutral, dispassionate exploration, it is important not to fall victim to the fraud and manipulations spread either by peer-review, corrupt to the core but passing as the gateway to scientific publishing, or to the fraud distributed by the world's leading privately-owned news agencies, or by any other privately-owned global information services, which, by the way, also claim to be dealing with the so-called "fact-checking". This is ironic and a travesty.

Such "scientific" publications, followed by the private world media, apply the mentioned very flawed, corrupt method of peer-review in selecting articles for publication. The selection method in question (peer-review) very carefully sifts through and rejects papers with results undesired by a particular scientific elite that has taken power in science at a given time, no matter how sound scientifically those results and findings are. Only papers assertive of the *status quo* at any rate are published as a result of the peer-review sieve, usually written by opportunists who need publications to advance their careers. Such publications are only possible if they serve the designated "party line." Therefore, the number of such publications supporting a claim is not in any way to be taken as evidence of the truth of the claims in question.

Publishers of peer-reviewed publications, the fraudulent global private agencies or any other private global information news services, are malicious enterprises that spread outright lies and propagate the views of fawning opportunistic parties that pander to the dishonest owners of these enterprises—the elite. Any prudent citizen would do better to stay as far away from them as possible, and try to discover for oneself the unambiguous absolute truth about the veracity of any matter of interest. Again, however, there must be a caveat. The inquiring citizen, even the most honest, must not succumb to the irrational mantras of the outspoken proponents of conspiracy theories, by denying the obvious or accepting those for which no one has evidence. On the contrary, in the COVID-19 case, and especially in the current theoretical physics case, as noted, we are fortunate to have crucial unambiguous truths. The only thing that remains is to extend one's hand and reach out for these absolute truths. This extending of one's hand and reaching out for the absolute truths that will open everyone's eyes, turns out to be the most difficult thing today's do, having been humanity can deliberately cognitively lazy by decades-long concerted efforts by the elite.

The tremendous opportunity to draw definitive conclusions that follow from absolute truths in physics and even in public health, are lacking in other areas of human activity. One may feel anxiety when looking at modern painting or listening to modern music, and even when reading classical novels, that one will be declared uneducated, with a poorly developed aesthetic sensibility, if one dares to express a critical opinion regarding these creations. There is no objective criterion by which to judge artistic merit. Any such opinion will inevitably be accompanied by the assertion that it is all a matter of taste and of understanding the art in question, acquired through a long-term experience in the field, which you, the critic, lack. One might hear it added that it also takes talent to appreciate it, which you, the critic, do not possess, and therefore do not understand art. All these quicksands of evaluation dissipate when one encounters the categorically unambiguous truths refuting the aforementioned major erroneous pillars of science and even appreciating the falsity of a purported "COVID-19 pandemic".

Thus, if someone has an unhealthy interest in falsifying someone's achievements by using one's financial power to unfairly declare someone a genius, by taking advantage of the indeterminacy of criteria, that intention is unfeasible when it comes to science, because absolute truths in science (and even in public health hoaxes such as the fake "COVID-19 pandemic") are undeniable and strictly ascertainable. They are not based on personal taste and do not change with the fashions of the times, nor are they determined by the market, as is usual for works of art.

Thus, the art world locks itself away and becomes refractory to criticism. Everything in the art world is in the hands of the rarefied expanses of gallerists and agents, and its value is assessed by a market manipulated by them. In this market, designed only for the elite, there is no room for the anonymous insignificant. Back in the world of science, absolute truth grounds

an absurd theory unequivocally, and no matter how much the manipulators vehemently attempt to present it as a topic closed for discussion, it falls victim to its own inadequacy. Thus, the only topic closed for discussion is its rejection.

To complicate matters, even in the most scrupulous research, free from corruption, the issues of virology are of such a degree of complexity that they are not subject to truly unquestionable ascertainment, as rigorous scientific research requires.

Added to this complexity is the fact that, since medicine itself is not science (as was discussed in section The New Movement—Self-Determination of Anonymous Insignificants), even if certain truths concerning viruses happened to be well established, their medical impact on any particular human organism is completely unpredictable. It is a matter of lottery how a disease will develop in one particular person compared to another. Since there is no other choice, the health status of a particular person can only be a matter of consensus when a panel of experts is convened, not of establishing absolute truth. Where consensus begins, science ends. Relying on the opinion of millions, albeit experts, to establish the truth of a medical question by consensus, is argumentum ad verecundiam (argument from authority), the logical fallacy. A drastic example that such a logical fallacy is unacceptable, is offered by theoretical physics. There are incomparably more, orders of magnitude more, peer-reviewed publications in the field of theoretical physics that support today's false perception that modern fundamental physics is something unsurpassed and ingenious. However, it is enough for even persons of average intelligence (assisted by the discoveries in this author's books) to examine for themselves what is at stake, in order to unequivocally be convinced in the absolute truth that modern fundamental physics is a fraud run by absurdities, a "Deception Governed by

Absurdities—The Science of Today", as the title of my last book reads. In this case, it is about establishing the violation of absolute truths underlying the foundations of theoretical physics, such as the violation of the absolute truth that "one does not equal two" or that "a constant does not equal a variable", not to mention that "the question must not contain the answer" (the conclusion must not be based on petitio principii). Although, in themselves, it is very complicated to make these discoveries, once they are made, personally recognizing them is now very easy, even for a person of average intelligence. Fortunately, as already mentioned, in physics, which is orders of magnitude more important to humanity than anything else, it is possible to establish absolute truths, and draw conclusions concerning the most fundamental questions of global importance, based on these absolute truths. In contrast, guided by the aforementioned agnosticism of fields like virology, let alone medicine in general, I had the conviction that in medical matters, the establishment of absolute truths was \hat{a} priori impossible. As mentioned, I thought so until I discovered that there are absolute truths in medicine as well, such as that all humanity shares a common biology and, therefore, it is not possible, if there is a pandemic, to have well-defined human groups lacking members who have died as a result of the pandemic. This is an absolute truth that does not require peer review to establish. Unfortunately for the politically motivated advocates (but actually fortunately for the unaffected) of the fake "COVID-19 pandemic", there are well-defined human groups that have no lethal incidents from/with COVID-19. Such a 100% verifiable absence of mortality (before and after the introduction of anti-COVID-19 "vaccines") amongst very well-defined human groups is impossible if the "COVID-19 pandemic" is real. This absolute fact removes, as credible, all peer-reviewed claims, even if it would be thousands, even millions, that there was a "COVID-19 pandemic". This proven absence of "COVID-19 pandemic"

renders completely unnecessary (it is even a sign of genocide) any restrictive "measures" that would supposedly protect the population from the "pandemic", let alone the need to "vaccinate" against COVID-19. Even if SARS-CoV-19 is a real virus causing COVID-19 (having no grounds to consider anything other than that it really exists), its presence in the mix containing truly deadly diseases, is insignificant, and can in no way be the basis for the politically-induced unmitigated panic that, for its part, has led to the excesses of death.

Complex Matters, Explained in a Simple Way

One may sometimes hear a critique, denouncing someone who tries to explain complex matters in a simple way, pronouncing this as that someone's deficiency. However, while it is true that it seems impossible to explain away complex conundrums, there are, albeit exceedingly few, instances of seemingly enormous complexity, let alone of world significance, which may be tackled rigorously in a simple way. This is a special kind of achievement to be able to discern such complexities and find ways to reduce them to a simple explanation. It is not at all easy to reach such condensed bits of precious truth, but once reached, they are the most efficient wedges in breaking even the hardest rock of tangled mystery. Their effect is probably best explained by the proverb "the straw that broke the camel's back". It is that slight, but crucial push, that causes the entire structure of backgammon pieces, placed vertically, a short distance apart, to collapse. Sometimes it is really difficult to uncover where exactly that magic key to the puzzle hides amongst the formulae, deliberately made frighteningly scary, or when drawn into the deceptive way of a situation, described to look fabulous and otherworldly. When judging the reality of pandemics, "COVID-

19 pandemic" in particular, the gullible one gets carried away, listening to completely unverifiable statistics, opportunistic opinions of doctors and experts in virology and epidemiology, all authoritative, sounding very not noticing sharp contradictions amongst them, to say nothing of being here-discussed oblivious to the in-your-face significants'-death-from/with-COVID-19" criterion. disproving the reality of "COVID-19 pandemic" hands down. Diagrams, x-rays, rushing carts in hospitals shown on TV screens, concerned faces and citations claiming the whole world suffers, build a deceitful picture of a grave problem, further and further auto-induced by constant feedback. This picture, however, crumbles by only one single observation, which does not involve formulae. It is stated in the simplest of words, the way one expresses any trivial thought. The thought expressed in this simple way, "the straw that broke the camel's back"—"no-significants'death-from/with-COVID-19"-however, has the effect of a all the tsunami that swipes away apparent complicatedness of the propagandistically built grandiose edifice of the artificially induced world disaster caused by a purported "COVID-19 pandemic". "The straw that broke the camel's back", coup de grace, the tipping point, is the awareness of the common biology, which excludes existence of human groups favored when a pandemic hits.

The Usual Fact of Everyday Deaths— Harnessed to Serve as the COVID-19 Scarecrow

When COVID-19 was alleged to hit the world in a pandemic way, the group of those whose passing from/with

COVID-19 would be unequivocal and very publicly announced, was miraculously, demonstrably spared from being mentioned. All alleged COVID-19 pandemic victims, talked about by the privately-owned global media, were and are, amongst the anonymous part of the human population. That circumstance is the opposite of demonstrable. It is manipulated. Every single reported number, concerning the anonymous, being suspect, especially because of the fact that deaths of people occur every day, to say nothing of further induced deaths when the population is deliberately placed under stress and lockdowns, causing the neglect of the really deadly diseases. Add to this number the number of deaths from the contingent of the "vaccinated" against COVID-19, and the picture of disaster looms unbearable to fathom.

Furthermore, it is beyond commentary that the deaths of the anonymous insignificant were publicly reported and blasted by the media, in a disgraceful violation of common decency, morals and ethics. One should ask, when, in the past, were the everyday deaths of the anonymous insignificants reported openly by the mainstream media, in such detail, at that?

Someone has the need to incite panic in society. My book "No Great Reset" discusses why and who exactly needed the "COVID-19 pandemic" fabrication, in order to reach the elite's otherwise unachievable political goals, ensured by total homogenization of the anonymous insignificants into one dumbed-down, easy to govern human mass. In the times that are already behind us, the governors of the world were enticing countries to be at war with each other. Today's method of control by those same governors and their heirs, is to grab the world in the clutches of mass insanity under the form of a fake pandemic and transform it into its ultimate, never rebelling slave.

Intervention of Mass Psychology

The mass character of this installed fake-"COVID-19 pandemic" insanity, is a shield against uncovering its flimsy grounds—the mantra goes, in the best tradition of psychological tricks, "it can't be true that there is no COVID-19 pandemic if it is so simple to have it debunked"; "it can't be true that there is no COVID-19 pandemic when every country on Earth recognizes it".

The proof, however, lies in the argument, not in whether the multitude does or does not notice the argument. The availability of such a powerful argument brings the empowerment, which was talked about above, of every individual who would care to grab that opportunity for freedom. No matter how many people are conditioned to believe a lie, that random collective is powerless when confronted with a crucial, unequivocal truth, crushing the lie. The elite is powerful. It can condition the multitude, which it has already turned into a random, motley crew, helter-skelter collective, to believe that black is white. Millions of opportunists, sycophants and compradors will repeat that black is white, fawning to the elite. However, one single step outside in the snow, will convince you, the unfazed, yet adamant anonymous insignificant, but the insignificant empowered to see the truth without fear of using one's own eyes, that it is a lie, that white is white as snow.

No Mistrust of Science

This personal inspection of the whiteness of snow is not mistrust in science, which is set to insist that white is black. It is the opposite—it is trust in evidence-based real science and

repudiation of lies. To say nothing of the fact that nowadays, humanity has at its disposal instruments, spectrometers, which will with certainty establish the color of an object. We, however, will not get deeper into this subject, and will stay with the simple approach which everyone will understand—stepping out in the snow and verifying for yourself that snow is white, not black, as propaganda forces us to think.

Speaking of evidence-based information, similar to stepping out in the snow yourself and confirming it is white, there is no better evidence-based information than the absolute truths available to everyone, such as the fact that there is a group of headline-making human biological units, completely non-anonymous, who are spared from the effect of COVID-19 associated deaths. This evidence-based information proves unequivocally that there is no "COVID-19 pandemic".

We are facing a spectacular deception—the lie of the "COVID-19 pandemic"—that is directly destroying the world. It is the "COVID-19 pandemic" lie that is destroying the world, not some marginal virus that is part of a vast mix of diseases containing truly deadly diseases. This lie must be fought. It is of no scientific interest, but only has social and political roots. There is no matter in this instance that has scientific value and can be studied by scientists (unless the "scientists" in question are some corrupt creatures willing to do anything to further their careers).

At times, one may encounter curious situations—now that the "COVID-19 pandemic" hoax is pushed as honoring science, one can hear various pro-"COVID-19 pandemic" conspiracy theories, promoted as being pro-science, just as many in number as the considered non-scientific anti-"COVID-19 pandemic" conspiracy theories of the sort of 5G effect, chemtrails or graphene oxide wide-eyedness, concerning the purported harm which is conspired on everyone of us. Someone asked me the question, how does the shifting of the Earth's magnetic axis affect

viruses? Whether such shifting has any effect at all, is a specific experimental scientific question that we cannot discuss here, since this is not a specialized scientific writing, not to mention that we don't have the proper labs and equipment, and who among us is equipped to competently meet such a challenge? It seems to me personally, that such an Earth-pole-axis vs. virus link is pulled out of thin air. But that's just my intuition, which would fall away if in an appropriate context, not here, someone presented experimental evidence that such a thing could even be talked about.

One thing, however, is perfectly clear, whether there is a "COVID-19 pandemic", or not, that has 100% political overtones and the imposition on the world of that falsity that the "COVID-19 pandemic" is a real phenomenon, is being done through force by extremely unscrupulous criminal elements with enormous power, whose place is in the court of nations, not having us discussing their lies while pretending not to notice them.

Curiously, the very reality of the "COVID-19 pandemic" has been flatly refuted, but that's not enough for some. There are not too few people who would love to discuss every element of this apparent hoax—again, behavior that is a matter of human nature.

Science, and Evidence, and Fact

Connecting COVID-19 with the deaths of anonymous individuals, who, as noted, die every day as part of the cycle of life, deaths never before reported publicly, compounded by the deliberate catastrophe imposed on the health system through unjustified lockdowns and panic, and lately even further compounded by the introduction of questionable "vaccines"

(which some specialists liken to gene therapies rather than "vaccines"), is not evidence-based. It is a lie. Those fanatics, the pseudo-scientists serving the elite, dedicated to promoting their flawed understanding of what they call evidence-based medicine, using it as a verbal club to punish the infidels who refuse to be manipulated, must first explain, before getting into anything else, why no member of the European monarchies, no president, no prime-minister, no prelate, has died from or with COVID-19. They can't explain the latter by using their insinuation that there is a "COVID-19 pandemic". What these enemies of truth, the pro-"COVID-19 pandemic" fraudsters, are actually doing, is terrorizing the population, goading it into suicidal behavior, such as injecting potions labeled "vaccines" against COVID-19, instead of opposing, as real scientists would, the evil politicians, who are the conduits of the insidious mediocre elite, attempting to knead society according to that elite's will.

Science, and evidence, and fact, are on the side of those anonymous who care to look for it. In this case, science, and evidence, and fact, are on the side of those who, albeit anonymous and insignificant, see that no member of the biological group, whose death would unquestionably make news-headlines, has suffered lethally from COVID-19. Only a purported unaccountable group of anonymous human beings have allegedly been mortally affected by COVID-19. That impossible discrepancy marks the victory of those who really honor the truth. That is how those adhering to truth, albeit anonymous and insignificant, will win. Exposing this impossible discrepancy amongst the two groups, the group of anonymous vs. the group of non-anonymous, an impossible discrepancy if the alleged pandemic were real, announces the true victory of science, and evidence, and fact, words so much liked by the elite as a chastising instrument. Now, these words have found their true meaning.

Speaking of science, and evidence, and fact, although it was remarked from the very beginning that any discussion of any issue connected with COVID-19 as a public threat is a *non sequitur*, in view of the main argument around which this book is written, the issue of "vaccines" against COVID-19 is so much drummed into our heads as the real expression of science, and that those refusing "vaccines" are science-haters, and so many elaborate ways are applied to goad the population into that unneeded, unwanted and outright harmful issue, we feel compelled to mention it in different places of this book.

It is absolutely not true that there is evidence, let alone overwhelming evidence, that anti-COVID-19 "vaccines" are safe. Should one indeed need real evidence, it is the "no-significants'death-from/with-COVID-19" 100% confirmable evidence, which excludes activities to push anything related to COVID-19, including "vaccines" against COVID-19 on anyone. Thus, people have absolute justification not to trust any of the politically motivated organizations, as well as private corporations, which impose those anti-COVID-19 "vaccines". People must not trust, as a matter of principle, private companies pushing any "vaccines", let alone anti-COVID-19 "vaccines", aimed at preventing a non-event from occurring, a non-event which neither did take place, nor is taking place now. Besides, have no doubt, private companies don't give a hoot about any human. Their only focus is profit, nothing else. Thus, when asked "who do you trust", implying that you don't trust science if you refuse "vaccination", the answer is "I trust the absolute fact that there are groups of human biological units which were not affected lethally by COVID-19, and that is impossible if there were a real "COVID-19 pandemic"". A real pandemic does not allow pockets of unaffected groups of individuals to exist, least of all well-defined. Hence, there is no "COVID-19 pandemic" and anyone who says otherwise must not be trusted. Simple as that. A good answer

would, therefore, also be "I trust myself. I trust what I see as unequivocal truth. Therefore, justifiably, I am self-reliant and can defend that 100%". The elite, which the private corporations are a part of, has itself created a phony problem for the purposes of subduing huge masses of people (is there a better way to accomplish this than to fraudulently globally impose a false pandemic?), and then, ostensibly to solve the problem the elite itself created, forces the masses of people in question to buy this lie in various insidious ways.

Of course, in the process of imposing the falsity, the elite will trumpet, through the thousand voices of its privately-owned global mass media, that critics are discredited. However, anyone in his right mind knows that the fact that no public elite figure has died from COVID-19, is an undeniable fact that cannot be discredited, to say nothing of the fact that it single-handedly debunks the myth of "COVID-19 pandemic". Where are the headlines announcing the death from/with COVID-19 of royalty and of presidents of countries? Ethical breaches, dishonesty, callous disregard for the lives of children—these are the "sins" hung on the skeptics Really? Who is dishonest? The humungous lying privately-owned mass media, pandemic where there is none, or those presenting the absolute fact that groups of human biological units have been spared from COVID-19 death, which unequivocally proves that there is no "COVID-19 pandemic" to begin with, and which also means that there is absolutely no need for anti-COVID-19 "vaccines", with their mandates and all the brouhaha accompanying it?

We don't even need to get into whether statements such as "flu vaccine increases COVID-19 risks", although, as mentioned earlier, there actually are opinions for the fact that "COVID-19 is activated by facemasks" or that "a mandatory anti-COVID-19 "vaccine" will kill millions". Propaganda may say all it wants, lying that there is absolutely no scientific evidence for

any of this. However, these statements, true or not true, are *non-sequitur* to begin with, once we realize the absolute truth that COVID-19 is innocuous for the elite, the latter experiencing no lethal COVID-19 incidents, which proves the absence of "COVID-19 pandemic" in the first place.

The Myth of Biases Applied to the Current Argument

The very scary fact that such a non-issue, known as the "COVID-19 pandemic", can so easily be imposed on so many people, actually on billions of people, signifies what danger the world is in, turned into a toy for the whimsical figments of someone's will, of someone who happens to be part of the elite, just because of birthright or the ownership of humungous wealth, factual mediocrity notwithstanding. All the arrows of anger must be directed towards this tiny, tiny elite, and the world must reform itself, so as not to allow such elite to form.

Notably, as mentioned, the same manipulation takes place when absurdities are presented as great discoveries in physics. However, in addition, that disgrace, the falsity presented as a great discovery in physics, appears harder to be seen by the multitude, sadly, invoking none of its interest.

Amongst those who bother to take a stand, as hinted previously, there are not a few with entangled personal interests or simply confused. They tend to diminish the power of the argument by turning it into an issue of psychology, of biases, which an individual can possess. Such an approach, turning the argument into a psychological playgame, is out of the question when it comes to the unequivocal catastrophic findings pertaining to hard sciences, discussed in my previous books. One

would not even think of bringing psychology into the conversation about physics, putting forth the catastrophic argument revealed therein. When the problems concern human beings, as the problem concerning the reality of the "COVID-19 pandemic", however, undermining the absolute conclusion by presenting it as a figment of a psychological quirk, only the slave of a person's bias, that undermining has to be addressed, stressing the objective, unshakeable solidity of the presented absolute truth.

Thus, there can be no "cognitive bias" in the discovery of absolute truth. The observed absolute fact of the elite being exempt from the deadly effect of COVID-19, which contradicts the thesis for the reality of the "COVID-19 pandemic", is absolutely not irrelevant to the phenomenon we are observing, which is the alleged "COVID-19 pandemic". There is no ignoring of data either, quite the contrary. The apparent excessive emphasis on the data referring to the non-anonymous elite in this book, is not overemphasizing it. Emphasizing the 100% certainty of a conclusion cannot diminish its certainty, compared to just mentioning it in passing. The absolute fact of elite's exemption from the absolute requirement, that in a true pandemic, no human group should be spared from its deadly effect, is not even a hypothesis about which we may just say "Yeah, it makes sense", meaning that "Yeah, it goes along with my cognitive biases". The absolute fact we are talking about; namely, that the visible humans, the elite, has been immune to deaths from/with COVID-19, is an objective fact, incontestable, unassociated with any personal feelings or preferences. The absolute fact is a focused single fact, and does not allow interpretations—when it is a "yes" or "no" situation as when considering that there were no deaths, let alone massive deaths from/with COVID-19 amongst the elite ranks, one cannot say "Yeah, but ..." and keep hallucinating alternatives. The fact of the absence of deaths from COVID-19 amongst the elite, is not just one choice of many, which we make, just because we feel like it. It is an absolute fact that stares you in the face, allowing no druthers.

It is also not a "negativity bias", because the absolute fact is not a bias at all. There must be some single reason or a fact why one, led by what one read in "No Great Reset", doubts the reality of "COVID-19 pandemic", yet now gets fully convinced—Look no further ... Voila! The "no-significants'-death-from/with-COVID-19" argument is that single fact, that single reason.

To say nothing of the fact that the absolute truth in question does not feed our "confirmation bias" because that truth is outside of our belief system.

Also, in this case, one does not need to consult various sources of information. When the truth is absolute, one source of information is enough. On the contrary, two positions, one heard in the marketplace, the other read in a peer-reviewed journal, although apparently coherent, can be equally invalid, if they contradict an absolute truth. This is a fundamental of critical thinking, when one can discern what truth is not prone to questioning. Thus, it is not because, alleged by those who push for a "COVID-19 pandemic", a peer-reviewed article supports this or that position, that drives the critical approval, but the facts themselves the article presents, if they are actually true facts, are what drives that critical approval. Conversely, even if peerreviewed, an article presenting false facts must be rejected. Fallaciously, one can hear and see multiple instances when these premises are reversed, and what is relied upon is argumentum ad verecundiam (argument from authority). "The decision by voting" is assumed the correct decision, which deviously ensures the hope of the propagandists that their position will gain the upper hand. To avoid this setup, everyone of us insignificants, must beware of this trap and never allow oneself to part from one's brain, taking leave of one's senses, because, see, many others think otherwise, despite the absolute facts. Moreover, never allow yourself to become embarrassed or scared for your inquisitiveness. Treat those who try to brainwash you as your worst enemy, especially when they try to talk you out of the truth you see yourself. The truth must drown out the propaganda.

COVID-19 is a Real Disease

Now, while COVID-19 may be a real disease (albeit not causing a pandemic), and we may study what that disease is, how it expresses itself or how to treat and finally cure it, as is done with every disease, does not mean that it has to be elevated to a world threat, which, as we saw, it is not. It is a threat to those who have it, but is of much lower weight as a threat, compared to the really deadly diseases such as stroke, heart failure or cancer. In the case of COVID-19, it is not a matter of skepticism or some kind of rebellion on the part of whoever sees the incontrovertible evidence with his own eyes that the "COVID-19 pandemic" is non-existent. Nor is the discussed absolute fact a matter of emotion, that part of the world's human biological entities are entirely spared from experiencing the reported massive deaths from/with COVID-19, which goes contrary to what a real pandemic does. It is a matter of honoring truth. Thus, it is also not about patriotism and individual rights. Truth is truth. It is devoid of any such determinants, garlands or decorations.

None of the propaganda advancing the idea that there is a "COVID-19 pandemic", in need of "vaccines" to fight it, is rooted in science. Fighting an idea comprising the non-reality of a "COVID-19 pandemic" is not rooted in science. Science proves the idea of "COVID-19 pandemic" false, by putting forth the undeniable fact that visible groups of biological units are saved from its deadly scythe, and therefore it cannot be a pandemic.

Denial of that fact is not rooted in science. Personal physicians cannot undo this absolute truth, which their curious patients have encountered herewith. Once it has been seen here, it cannot be unseen. It is also not true that those who see the truth are making decisions, not only on their own behalf, but also on everyone else's behalf. This imparting a sense of guilt is another spiteful method, used by the propaganda forces to suppress people. Aside from the fact that the "vaccinated" are themselves dangerous for the "unvaccinated", the very absence of a "COVID-19 pandemic" need not even force anyone to fake the insidious suggestion that denying "vaccination" against COVID-19 is deliberately harming others.

In fact, as said, COVID-19, as part of the mix of the myriad of infectious diseases, is a legitimate object of study. However, COVID-19 is deceptively plucked out of the entire mix, for the purpose of completely unrelated, but sorely insidious political goals, and is promoted as the evil of the evil, while, at the same time, the real evil, the really deadly diseases, are downgraded to items deserving less public attention. The Machiavellian political vultures do prey on peoples' fears, first by fraudulently presenting as "science" everything these vultures say, which is factually non-science, and curiously refer, in a mirror-like fashion, as children do in kindergarten, to those critical of that fraud, as falling for "anti-science". As a matter of fact, there is nothing bad about investigating further when someone instills fear in you. On the contrary, "listen to what I say", is what the political vultures expect, chastising anyone who does not obey. They'd rather have people feel nervousness and uncertainty, than those vultures honestly admitting their flaws. Even with their best intentions, the political vulture considers the societal interest before the interest of the individual. They can readily and cruelly sacrifice every individual, calling it collateral damage, in their strive to "protect" society. Within their usual self, however, the political

vultures go much further. They have no mercy altogether, and even society means nothing to them, as long as they can achieve their goals, even despite the fact that they would have no one to govern when society is gone.

On the other hand, it is true that many a thinker, appointed by the elite, has exercised on pronouncements that everything that such an appointed thinker does is aimed at making the life of the multitude better. Well, the elite knows better than you and I what is better for you and I, doesn't it? However, all this has ended in disaster.

In another twist of deviousness, speculation with "prevention measures" against a disease, measures quite usual when genuine widespread infectious diseases are around, are interjected and intertwined into the discussion of the massive fraud known as the "COVID-19 pandemic", and are used as a tool for convincing the naïve.

The availability of the absolute truth, put forth herewith, unrecognized so far, demonstrably exposing the impossible split in the overall humanity, provably delineating a group of humans untouched by death in the midst of the purportedly deadly "COVID-19 pandemic", but which is in opposition to what is expected to happen during a real pandemic, brings to a halt any suggestion that the here-expressed pandemic denial is due to conspiratorial thinking, fueled by the internet, as will also be discussed shortly in a dedicated section entitled *Who is the World Conspirologist?*

Said absolute truth also invalidates the suggestion that big donors could be involved to make that anonymous vs. non-anonymous death from/with COVID-19 discrepancy seen. Unfortunately, there is no widespread social movement against the lie that there is a "COVID-19 pandemic", but there should be one, a huge one at that, not because those opposing that flawed idea are weary of science or despise science, but exactly the

opposite—because of pro-active honoring of the facts as well as science and its principles, recognizing science as the stalwart of truth. Disregard for the facts is to impose the lie that there is a "COVID-19 pandemic". That is a disgrace.

One may add here that Donald Trump did little to oppose the lie that there is a "COVID-19 pandemic". He accepted it as real and began bickering with the opponents on issues they imposed on him as topics of discussion. In the end, this duplicitous policy of Trump backfired and led to his own demise. He doesn't seem to recognize that fact to this day.

Who is the World Conspirologist?

The remarkable thing, and the thing that needs to be strongly emphasized, is that when arguments are based on absolute facts, as in the case discussed here, it organically cannot be a world conspiracy. A world conspiracy is the opposite, namely, denying obvious facts, facts that anyone can verify, and the unequivocal conclusions following from these absolute facts. It is a world conspiracy to deny the absolute fact, presenting it as a false argument, that there are no heads of state in Europe and their governments that have deceased from/with COVID-19 because it is an immediately checkable incontrovertible fact that there, indeed, are none such deceased throughout the entire two years of the purported "COVID-19 pandemic". It is also a world conspiracy that, since one cannot deny the obvious, namely that no heads of state and members of their governments have died from/with COVID-19, to keep denying that this fact, morbid as it is, does obliterate the claim that there is a "COVID-19 pandemic", since the exact same criterion but applied to

anonymous insignificants; that is, to subjects that neither you nor I know anything about, is used by propaganda to push the idea that there is a "COVID-19 pandemic".

Denying the "no-significants'-death-from/with-COVID-19" argument is the real conspiratorial thinking, but such denying has legs so short, that one can catch it without chasing it. It falls flat on its face the minute it rears its ugly head to annoy people who immediately see through it.

Some think conspiracy theories are very entertaining. However, there is nothing entertaining in the flawed, deadly conspiratorial suggestion that the "COVID-19 pandemic" is real. Only a sick mind can be entertained by such a conspiracy theory. When one entertains oneself with using such thoughts, one doesn't threaten the welfare of the world by exploiting an actual disease. In the case of COVID-19, the elite doesn't even control the disease by controlling the information about it as they do when actual disease is at play, as portrayed in a movie where the pandemic was real. On the contrary, in the COVID-19 pseudopandemic case, those who have faked it control the disinformation, spreading the fabrication of something which does not exist, boosting it to unseen heights. The elite controls the public by manipulating it, while censoring any alternative voice. The pandemic they hallucinate about is not even real, let alone can it be used as a genuine threat. The globally promoted hallucination about a pandemic is that the word "pandemic" scares people, causing them to panic. With the ultimate argument presented herewith, showing point blank the fact, impossible for a pandemic, that visible groups of human biological units are spared from deadly COVID-19 incidents, censoring the fact that there is no "COVID-19 pandemic" becomes harder. On what grounds, other than brute force, can an absolute fact which anyone can see be censored? Only crude measures can stop it from dissemination, crude measures the elite would think twice about resorting to, afraid of immediate exposure. The only efficient censoring is to vigorously prevent the thinking of the multitude of insignificants from shifting towards the crucial facts related to the elite, and instead, intensely forcing that thinking to stay within their own group, the group of the anonymous insignificants.

The elite may use its pawns to mock those who have stumbled upon the above absolute fact, discussed many times over herewith, saying that those, adhering to what they call an "absolute fact", feel as if they have stumbled upon some secret reality which the "sheeple" don't know about. However, this always happens with new discoveries, especially when they are confirmed, as is the case with the clearly factually revealed and discussed herewith crucial fact of the "immortality" of the elite, when it comes to the "COVID-19 pandemic". Those that already know this absolute fact, paying attention to what was said above, may feel they are special and on higher ground, and that is OK. Knowing the absolute truth provides a certain intellectual high and there is nothing reprehensible about it. One may wish that all humanity gets that high, which would contribute to its intellectual elevation. Knowing the truth really brings closure, certainty and control. The alternative is to relegate to the impassable conceptual maze of lies, turning oneself into ready prey for the vultures, to the deceitful privately-owned global information agencies, serving the world's elite.

Some allege that there is an ulterior motive, which those searching for the absolute truth have. Such an ulterior motive could be, they allege, the feeling of things happening for a higher cause or ultimate purpose. This allegation may be comforting or entertaining for whoever makes it, but it has nothing to do with finding the truth and the satisfaction it brings. Comparing the uncovering of the absolute truth regarding the lack of elite-

deaths → COVID-19 connection, with the assassination of JFK or 9/11, is an underhanded way to undermine the ultimacy of the absolute fact rejecting the "COVID-19 pandemic" as a real occurrence. There has been nothing absolute discovered about the assassination of JFK, 9/11 or that Neal Armstrong did not land on the moon or that the Earth is flat. No one can vouch with full certainty for the anthropogenic reality of climate change, neither can anyone vouch with full certainty even about Darwin's evolutionary theory, or of the paleological theory for the extinction of dinosaurs. There is nothing absolute even in the theory of viruses or even in the use of orbitals in chemistry, if we are to cite a questionable concept in real science. Make no mistake—the use of orbitals as a way to classify the types of chemical bonds, formed due to chemical reactions, is only a model, a helping hand. It is not a real entity that can be felt or touched or observed even with the most powerful microscope.

It is also vicious to compare the outbreak of measles, which is a real, dangerous infectious disease, which could be the source of a real pandemic, with the fraudulent claim that there is a "COVID-19 pandemic" (it is fraudulent because absolutely provably it non-typically spared visible groups of human biological units).

COVID-19 Pandemic Fraud—A Fabrication of Fascist Governance

Since the adjective "fascist" is used below to characterize the current state of governance of the civilized world, this qualification needs clarification. A discussion of this issue, having as its subject contemporary total state control, or rather elite control, can also be found in my previous book "No Great Reset", where the characteristics of fascism, such as the stimulation of external aggression and contemporary overseas slavery under the banner of globalism, unbridled censorship and controlled media, are discussed in the context of the ultimate goal of the elite—the homogenization of humanity and reducing it to a mass of obedient labor-pool of low intelligence.

Paradoxically, fascism achieves the goal, leading to the homogenization of society, always by first dividing people so that they cannot organize against the dictates imposed upon them. This division of the people, visibly and demonstratively, all too convenient for the fascist state, today is carried out through medical fascism.

Fascism has many faces. It can openly demonstrate its anti-human character or it can be subtle. Thus, although today there is no open display of excessive patriotic symbolism, as was common in the fascisms of the past, the search for ways to suppress human rights, which is characteristic of fascism, under various apparently benign pretexts, is clearly here to stay. Although there are other features of fascism today, such as rigging elections, identifying unexpected enemies and dividing society under the influence of unbridled biologism, the latter being characteristic of the Nazi variety of fascism, what is really of interest in this writing is the fascist suppression of thought through unbridled censorship. Because the "COVID-19 pandemic" fraud is a direct tool for imposing certain political goals by the elite, the cruel censorship of alternative opinions related to COVID-19, which debunk that lie, is visible. The centrally controlled international privately-owned media does not allow opposing voices to be heard nationally, much less, internationally. One view, one country, one "truth" foisted as truth, in fact a fraud and a lie presented as "truth" despite the

hypocritical talk of diversity, and the elite's deliberately installed post-modernism as a guiding philosophy, denying the reality of truth—all these are elevated to the leading principles. Post-modernism openly denies the reality of truth, but at the same time the post-modernist foists its own false "truth" as the final, as the ultimate truth, truth without rival.

Far more significant for humanity as a whole is the censorship that is imposed on criticism revealing the destruction of modern basic science. Peer-review, corrupt to the core, is used to suggest in totalitarian style, that fraud, deception governed by absurdities, comprises the greatest science that has ever existed. Any arguments exposing the falsity of such a view are not only not allowed, they are vehemently forbidden and are most aggressively banned from dissemination.

The excuse that censorship is applied by private entities who are free to make their own rules does not hold water because the government tolerates and encourages such censorship. Especially the large private media conglomerates are privatepublic enterprises. Therefore, the government cannot justify and consider itself exempt from the charge that it exercises and encourages this pervasive censorship. Furthermore, it is not true that private ownership status exempts enterprises from the obligation to comply with the laws of the land, which proclaim the First Amendment to the US Constitution, guaranteeing free speech. Sadly, in practice, however, the First Amendment is only pro forma, its violation being observed every day. Try to publish in an archival scientific journal arguments unequivocally proving that contemporary physics fundamentals are based, in effect, on completely unacceptable absurdities, such as "one equals two" and see how far you will get.

Now, we will reiterate, in the context of thinking related to global conspiracy theory, how much skepticism about the reality of the "COVID-19 pandemic" has to do with the global conspiracy theory. Notably, it is not the justifiable measures taken during a real pandemic, taken to limit the spreading of the disease, that are at issue here. The quarrel in this book is regarding the pandemic" phoniness, falsely presented as "COVID-19 legitimate grounds for ridiculous restrictive measures, obviously imposed for the purposes of achieving political ends, unrelated at all to the medical problems of the population. As has been said, anonymous insignificants are being suckered into questioning issues that the fascist establishment wants discussed. The fascist governance (the elite) wants the topic of the "vaccines" against COVID-19 to be debated because anyone opposing the "COVID-19 pandemic" lie, who, as seen, has unequivocal arguments against it, is completely powerless when it comes to anything related to anti-COVID-19 "vaccines". Neither does the powerless anonymous insignificant have the laboratories, even those anonymous insignificants who care to educate themselves, in order to study independently the question. To say nothing of the fact that the very essence itself of these anti-COVID-19 "vaccines", is far from well understood by even the savviest of world experts. Notably, the fascist governance itself is also powerless on the subject of anti-COVID-19 "vaccines," but the fascist governance holds the power and controls the information mainstream, through which it can spread whatever lies happen to be, to its heart's content. The fascist governance holds both the bread and the knife. It controls the hospitals and can always report whatever number it wants of deaths from/with COVID-19. The reported dead have died of something else, but the fascist governance paid the hospitals and the corrupt doctors with money and political power (recall how every doctor did a 180 degree turn on the reality of "COVID-19" pandemic", initially denying it, when they smelled the opportunity to be rewarded with even traces of political power) to make it seem that the thousands who were already dying every day from their illnesses, complicated by the chaos in the state of healthcare and the deadly so-called "vaccines" against COVID-19, were dead just from/with COVID-19. Indeed, there are also people who die from/with COVID-19, but, as was noted, that is a negligible number because COVID-19 is actually a very minor component in the entire mix of horrific, deadly diseases, including pneumonia. In addition, the fascist governance itself deviously fabricates obvious nonsense, like the nonsense, for example, about chips and 5G, and plants them in the mouths of naïve skeptics, to malign anyone who dares to doubt its lies. Consequently, the lying press presents selected illiterates and feeble minded, adherent to such nonsense, as representative of the entire vast community of "COVID-19" pandemic" skeptics. The fascist establishment also hires corrupt journalists to portray skeptics as the simpletons (even though, everywhere in the world, the largest percentage of vaccine-refusers are people with PhDs). I don't doubt that by now many of the readers have already seen what the fascist governance does to enforce its lies, for which there is not a shred of factual evidence, but enforces those lies by brute force.

Signficantly, what the fascist governance cannot deal with is the absolute proof that there is no "COVID-19 pandemic". This absolute proof stems from the absolute fact, mentioned many times over but repeated here as the nail of righteousness mentioned later, that no European royalty, president, or other state-sanctioned ruler; i.e., one whose death, if any, no one would dare lie about, died from/with COVID-19. Here, precisely in this absolute argument, the fascist governance is weak and cannot dodge. Many enthusiastic, albeit naïve and unsophisticated COVID-19-deniers do not have the feel (or are

being set up to play the role of a fake opposition) to grasp the significance of this argument and are slipping around, sending delegates to the Ministry of Health with arguments that, as was said, the fascist governance is able to crush without delay. The fascist governance is the one that can lie without restraint about dead anonymous people, while the delegates sent by the naïve deniers (not more naïve than their senders) can only sit back, listen and gawk. These delegates, sent by the naïve deniers, themselves are not privy to the secrets of the technology of lying about COVID-19, nor do they have the public trust of being privy to any secrets concerning COVID-19. The public trust is on the side of the liars who have constituted themselves as a fascist governance (which, through versemongers, conveniently accuses others of pathetic fascism—the thief cries catch the thief). He who really wants to nail down, with the mentioned nail of righteousness, the lie of the fascist governance that there was some kind of "COVID-19 pandemic", can only do so with the help of the absolute argument mentioned above, and throughout this book, proving in a compelling, absolute way that there is no "COVID-19 pandemic" and never was.

On the Practical Use of Knowing the Truth

What is the use for the above "no-significants'-death-from/with-COVID-19" discovery, proving no "COVID-19 pandemic"? When lockdowns and "vaccine" mandates are forcefully imposed, by law, there seems to be little practical use for knowing the truth. However, the discovery that the shared biological make-up amongst all humans excludes existence of groups immune to dying in a pandemic, and seeing that this requirement doesn't apply to the elite, therefore the COVID-19 pandemic, so much pushed on the peoples of the world, not being

genuine, puts everyone who has understood the significance of that fact in a different state of mind. Knowing for sure that you are being lied to makes a difference, even in cases when liars can get away with their lies. Here we are talking about lies on an unfathomable global scale. Nevertheless, the unhesitant knowledge of truth restores, in the first place, basic elements of human dignity, to say nothing of the fact that knowing the truth with certainty can justify communicating it to others. Some may say that it is not enough, that it is only contemplative. However, if you are allowed to communicate the truth, this will help people to make up their own minds, for instance, regarding the "vaccinations", and in this way, will save lives. Anyway, even if nothing practical comes out of knowing the truth, knowing the truth is of value in itself, independent of its use. Truth does not pre-suppose teleology. They are unconnected, as a matter of principle.

What is one to do when legal restrictions, as unfair and as the result of fraudulent campaigns, are imposed? Just do the minimum you can—sit on the fence and wait for this insanity to go away. Write books, explain to others what lies have been imposed on them.

Otherwise, one has to succumb, the way some advise that Galileo should have succumbed to the Inquisition, in order to have a happy and quiet life. Galileo chose the inconvenience, in exchange for a quiet life. If he chose such quiet life, however, he would have lost the conscience of the explorer.

None of us minnows can do anything about it. If there is any way out of this situation; i.e., the dictatorial imposition of the "COVID-19 pandemic" lie, it is to wait for the elite, those who foisted this lie, to feel for themselves the result of their own deception. Paradoxically, the tragic cure for the lie would be mass deaths amongst their ranks. Alternatively, massive number of deaths among the minnows, that, because of their sheer number,

could not be hidden from being due to the COVID-19 "vaccines", which would undermine the credibility of the elite-imposed governments, would be the definitive death knell toll of that nasty imposition of outrageous serfdom. This is a sad solution that one would wholeheartedly wish would not happen, but the elite has brought things to the point that nothing short of this tragic outcome will help prevent further tragedies.

One should add that the point here is not to deny pandemics altogether. We may indeed see a real pandemic. However, the behavior of a real pandemic is something else entirely.

On Vaccines in General

This is also not a book against the vaccines as such. Nor is it a book that casts doubt on the fact that there are severe cases of COVID-19, as was discussed in he section COVID-19 is a real disease, nor does it claim that there are no cases at all of people dying from/with COVID-19, just as there are people dying every day from deadly diseases, some of which are also accompanied by COVID-19. This is a book that explores whether there is a "COVID-19 pandemic", concluding that there is no such pandemic, which follows from a compelling critical fact. This is a book based on the absolute truth that no group of biological units can escape the deadly effect of pandemics. This absolute truth is violated in the case of COVID-19, which causes one to conclude that there is, specifically, no "COVID-19 pandemic". The reality of the "COVID-19 pandemic" is the buzz phrase, not whether there is COVID-19 as part of the mix of diseases that people are dying from. COVID-19 is part of that mix, and that is not the issue here. This is a book devoted to truth, against the massive lies,

smashing the world through the global private media trumpets of false information.

Now, despite the positive attitude this writing has towards vaccines, in general, when they address a real problem, it must be said that there is absolutely no way that this author would agree that the questions regarding vaccines are closed and there should be no debate about them even in principle, outside the potential anti-COVID-19 "vaccines". There should be no public debate about anti-COVID-19 "vaccines", however, because there is no COVID-19 pandemic in the first place. This said, it must also be added that no debate about vaccines, even whenever it is justified, is a debate about science—cf. also the section *The New* Movement—Self-Determination of Anonymous Insignificants. We must be perfectly clear, virology is not exactly science, the way botany, zoology or mineralogy are not exactly science. They have elements of science, since they classify plants, creatures and minerals and can tell the difference between a cricket and a bunny, never mind that both of these living organisms both jumping around on the lane, makes them having something in common. However, there is no way that we can carry out a reproducible experiment to prove how they have come about on the Earth, the way we can never be sure that the archaeological artifacts we find during excavations are the genuine representatives, defining a past civilization, and that the real artifacts, which will genuinely characterize that civilization, have not disappeared without a trace. We can never achieve a better understanding of the world without paying attention to these peculiarities, preventing the undue elevation of some disciplines to the heights where they don't belong. Incidentally, it is not the anti-"COVID-19 pandemic" stance that is the phenomenon to be understood—such an inevitable stance follows from the absolute truth that elite is spared death from/with COVID-19, which is impossible if the COVID-19 pandemic were real. What needs to be understood is why the falsity known as "COVID-19 pandemic" is imposed on everyone. I wrote a whole book, entitled "No Great Reset", also concerning the answer to this question, so it is given less attention here.

On the Notion of Absolute Truth

When reading this book, it may occur to someone that the existence of an absolute truth is a philosophical question on which agreement has not been reached for centuries. Therefore, that same person may opine that it is inappropriate to invoke the notion of absolute truth.

Also, it may appear that there is no analogy between the "one is not equal to two" statement of an absolute truth, perceiving it as an axiom, or the definition of velocity, on the one hand, and on the other, the absolute fact of zero deaths from COVID-19 amongst famous state-sanctioned people, whereby it is felt that the word absolute must be in quotations.

The above, both as doubt regarding the reality of absolute truth and as uncertainty of whether the analogy with absolute truths is legitimate, is some misimpression by some readers, which they have acquired under the pressure of the post-modern propaganda that is flooding the territories inhabited by the younger generation, especially in their institutions of study. In connection with all that, it needs to be noted that philosophy is an outlived stage in the cognitive development of humanity. The first, to, in effect, reject it, when truth is sought, was Galileo. In this regard, it is not at all true that "one is not equal to two" is an axiom, nor that the definition of velocity is something temporary, that can be changed one day. These are absolute truths that are unshakable, and no prison of the mind, which philosophy is, can, not only not abrogate them, but even have any valid relation to

them. Philosophy, because of its immanent limitation to the workings of the mind, detached from the objective outside reality, does not have the tools that would allow it to speak truthfully in relation to these absolute truths. Philosophy wanders within its own subjective prison, which essentially prevents it from truthfully judging the external object. As mentioned, Galileo was the first true liberator from this prison, when he rejected the metaphysical, perceptual philosophy of Aristotle. Unfortunately, there have been relapses of such intellectual imprisonment over the centuries, with Immanuel Kant probably the most prominent representative of this relapse. Open his work "Critique of Pure Reason" and see it for yourself—it commences from the very outset with "all our knowledge begins with experience", which determines the tenor of the entire book. Experience of the subject, or perception in terms of Aristotle's philosophy, however, makes no connection with the true realities of the external world, the true relationships within the object, the "noumenon", as Kant labels it, and between the object and other external objects. Nowadays, a bacchanalia of such an incoherent thesis is being pushed, namely that there is no truth, let alone absolute truth, that all truth is a matter of interpretation, a matter of point of view, that truth is only an invention and a metaphor. This, as mentioned, is a mean-spirited subversion of the foundations of thought through the inculcation of post-modernism, that is based on nothing.

The study of the inner workings of the mind, which is the subject of philosophy in general, even if we are not concerned with its particular abberations of the post-modern variety, is essentially a subject with which psychology is concerned and which is insufficient for a true understanding of the world.

Conversely, science recognizes absolute truths, but, because they are in short supply, science is mostly concerned with the study of relative truths, which it one day either rejects or they

become absolute truths. Of course, many times, relative truths, which can always be questioned, are retained in science for the lack of any other choice and the resolution can be postponed indefinitely. This difficulty gives rise to exploring different approaches in the search of final answer to problems, which is the reason for the wrong impression that there is no truth but only interpretations and metaphors. The social sphere is especially vulnerable in this respect, and this is where consensus, nonscientificity, finds its place the most. No need to add that the social sphere is not science, especially because final solutions in that sphere are never to be reached to a great extent. This answers the question of why there cannot be an affirmative answer to the question "Isn't the truth one?", when it comes to social "sciences" and most of the humanities. Science, as said, recognizes that, when it is established, truth is one, while understanding that contemplating various roads to that establishment is the natural course of reaching the truth.

This was in regard to absolute truth—absolute truths there are indeed, and it is not for limited philosophy to pronounce, least of all to abrogate, anything on this subject.

Probably it will make sense to say a few more words on the very generator of confusion in today's world, arriving from the fundamental thought "all our knowledge begins with experience", underlying all else in his philosophical universe, of the one who is considered probably the greatest philosopher of modern times, Immanuel Kant. As said, his philosophy and the cited thought, in particular, is a modern regurgitation of a similar understanding, first enunciated in antiquity.

Now, picture Galileo dropping a stone from the Tower of Pisa, and us witnessing that from aside. Our experience (with Galileo's, of course) is that the stone falls straight from the Tower of Pisa, and since Kant said that "all our knowledge begins with experience," if we believe Kant, we will conclude that the Earth

does not rotate. Galileo did not conclude that. This brought him to the court of Inquisition, but we will leave history behind in this writing. If we now proceed to regard our just mentioned conclusion as knowledge that begins with the experience from the observation of the straight trajectory of the falling stone, we would be in error. The conclusion that the Earth is not turning, following from our experience of observing the straight path of the falling stone, is wrong. Experience has not brought us knowledge, if we should call knowledge only the correct conclusion. Contrary to Kant's assumption, experience for us in this case is not the beginning of knowledge at all, but has given rise to a falsity. We can have other experiences, and they can all lead us to further erroneous conclusions. Without further guidance, our endeavor will not begin with either experience or indeed end with knowledge, and we will always stumble into the dead end of confusion.

So, with Galileo, we began with experience. We dropped a stone from the Pisa Tower, but that did not bring us knowledge in its true sense—Foucault came with his pendulum and showed us, for our experience pleasure, that what we thought is knowledge, regarding outcome from the experiment (experience) with the stone, is in error. Thus, we find that not "all our knowledge begins with experience". In order to acquire the correct knowledge from Foucault's experiment, we began with falsity and had to readjust our experience to another, better, experience. Of course, we might have begun with Foucault's experience, and thus claim that indeed "all our knowledge begins with experience" because no exception to that was shown. The problem is that it did not happen that way. Galileo's experiment was done earlier and the beginning of the whole story was not experience, but the principle question whether the Earth is turning.

Such is the case with the "theory" of relativity, which, in actuality, is the epitome of absurdity that is the opposite of knowledge in its own sense of truthful knowledge. The world to this day considers it knowledge, when in fact it is absurdity, not knowledge. It is not knowledge, but is a deception ruled by absurdity, from the first moment of its inception, the very beginning. Would you say, for the sake of being in tune with Kant's philosophy, that the one who perpetrated the mentioned fraud is involved in "experience" to generate this fraud, this beginning, an experience which in Kant's world is the beginning of knowledge? If so, then such a beginning, such an experience, did not generate correct knowledge (never mind that the whole world to this day mistakes the result of such an experience for knowledge). If we cannot call it experience, as we should not, because it does not generate correct knowledge, then observing a rock falling straight down from the Tower of Pisa, also is not experience, as is not experience the already mentioned mendacious creation of a deceptive theory. How, then, if by experience we mean only that activity which leads to correct conclusions, can that activity be distinguished from something that looks like experience but leads to wrong conclusions?

The claim in this book is that the only way to make such a distinction; that is, distinction between experience resulting in correct knowledge and experience resulting in incorrect knowledge (if we allow ourselves to use the word knowledge at all when talking about error), is to apply the scientific method, a method that separates the wheat from the chaff, which Kant's "all our knowledge begins with experience", on the contrary, mixes together into a pool of confusion.

The only correct knowledge is attained through the application of the scientific method, which amounts to more than experience. In addition to experience, the scientific method applies analysis and defines the direction as to what particular

additional experience we should undertake and what other tools from the arsenal of science we need to attract, so that what we will conclude would constitute truthful knowledge. When Galileo chose to observe, out of the many things he could have observed, exactly the swinging chandelier in Pisa Cathedral, it was the choice of a genius who knew exactly what experience would serve to acquire new knowledge. This indicated choice of experience, having as its ultimate goal the production of knowledge, is not at all simply "all our knowledge begins with experience," but is a specific kind of experience, the experience of a genius, involving his ability to analyze logically and truthfully what he observes and finding connections between quantities which others did not see. Indeed, it was not only the experience Galileo had with the swinging chandelier that allowed him to produce the knowledge about the pendulum. The Aristotelians would have had the same experience had they happened to see the same swinging chandelier. However, it would not have occurred to them that such an object was worthy of attention in the first place, nor would it have occurred to them to measure the length of the pendulum string, the mass of the pendulum, and the swing time, the period of the pendulum. They had rulers to measure length and hourglasses to measure time, they could have measured mass. Everything that they needed to have experience in terms of this swinging object that would bring them knowledge about it, they had. However, they did not gain knowledge. Galileo did. Galileo did it because he went beyond experience and engaged his cognitive faculties, which he had before the experience, in such a way that those faculties allowed him to derive the most general rules for periodic systems based on specifically chosen typical characteristics and quantities that allowed the reproduction of those relationships for any periodic system. If Galileo hadn't had the cognitive faculties in the first place, he wouldn't have even had the experience. He wouldn't have identified the movement of the

pendulum as an experience that would bring him knowledge, it would just be one of the ordinary things that happen around him. Therefore, not all knowledge begins with experience. At the very least, prior to experience, are the innate abilities of the explorer that have found fertile soil in which to reveal themselves and develop, through education, so that one day the explorer would meet with that particular experience that would lead him to generating truthful knowledge. The scientific method directs the further steps of the investigator, not to just any experience in the hope that it will yield knowledge, but to an experience in very precise directions. Since the question is whether the Earth rotates, the experience sought is a matter of scientific input, involving many other components such as, analysis and synthesis, knowledge acquired through education, which governs even intuition to instruct it what to look for, not just experience. Therefore, if the Earth is turning, it must exhibit Coriolis force. That knowledge that the turning of the Earth invokes Coriolis force, is not a direct consequence of the experience we had with the falling stone, but is required in order to make a conclusion from that experience as to whether the Earth is turning. The latter knowledge does not come about beginning with the experience we have when we throw the stone from the Pisa Tower. We know it beforehand. Therefore, the object of investigation, the need for Coriolis force, let alone the very knowing what Coriolis force is, is what is determined first, it is the beginning, and only then are the conditions for a specific attempt, for a specific experience to detect this force, sought. Therefore, in order to know whether the Earth turns, that knowledge does not begin with experience. There are necessarily additional earlier steps which we must undertake prior to whatever sort of experience we may think of for checking the reality of such rotation, and if we really need a convincing experience, we must begin by knowing that we need to build an appropriate contraption—a Foucault pendulumwhich will detect such a force, in order to acquire the true knowledge that the Earth turns by experiencing the change of the plane on which the pendulum sways.

Here is another example in discord with Kant's maxim, again from the "theory" of relativity. The knowledge of the absurdity of the "theory" of relativity does not begin with the experience of reading its founding paper, but is preceded by basic education in science and by the prior knowledge, in principle, that, for example, a conclusion that "one is equal to two" is an absurdity and that an absurdity cannot comprise a scientific theory. Prior to the experience of reading the exposé of the "theory", with the aim to acquire knowledge about it, we must also have the determination to critically analyze it and to shed the fear that such analysis will harm our professional reputation and even societal image, threatened with destruction by a very zealous army of determined advocates of that pathological "theory". Only then, once armed with such knowledge (not experience) as the beginning, as well as valiance, we may apply them to the experience of reading the paper and thus deduce the further knowledge; namely, that the "theory" in question is absurdity and is not a scientific theory at all. Therefore, it is not true that "all our knowledge begins with experience", as Immanuel Kant pontificates, also in the case of acquiring the knowledge about the true essence of the "theory" of relativity.

Notably, this earlier knowledge may arrive from even earlier knowledge, until, in the long run, a point is reached when there exist truths which are generic, which cannot be sustained by other truths. For example, the knowledge of the absolute truth that $E = mc^2$, a relationship which the author of the "theory" of relativity has nothing to do with, neither can his "theory" derive it, despite the rampant vigorous propaganda that it does, follows classically from the absolute truth that velocity is the quotient of the numerical expression of space and the numerical expression of

time and that acceleration is the quotient of the numerical expression of space and the numerical expression of time squared. There is no earlier truth regarding velocity and acceleration, space and time being the ultimate notions which cannot be defined by other notions. Thus, in the case of the knowledge that $E = mc^2$, it is also not true that "all our knowledge begins with experience", because $E = mc^2$ is intrinsic in classical physics, as is explained in my latest book "Deception Governed by Absurdities—The Science of Today", and our knowledge of it is preceded, not by the experience, but by the knowledge of time, space and their derivatives. In a similar vein, the knowledge regarding the reality of the "COVID-19 pandemic", needs no experience on the part of the anonymous insignificant, other than the knowledge of fulfillment of the "no-significants'-death-from/with-COVID-19" criterion.

On the Objection to the Argument by Questioning the Absoluteness of the Central Fact at Hand

As for whether the fact that no constitutionally sanctioned high-profile individuals have died from COVID-19 is a fact which expresses absolute truth, of the same sort as the absolute truths that "one does not equal two" or that "velocity is the first derivative of distance with respect to time", the proof of the pudding is in the eating ("the proof is in the pudding")—there really, as a matter of undeniable fact, are no such individuals. The fact that no one can point out anything to the contrary, should make one think about how productive it would be for one to try to dispute that fact.

In the section *Constitutional Sanction Threshold and the non-Anonymous Insignificants*, I already made it easier for the reader—by introducing a constitutional threshold—keeping the reader from wandering around the criterion for the absolute fact in question, so that my argument doesn't seem like a stretch, and, also, so that one wouldn't feel like I could be free to amend said criterion at any moment. Therein, I made it easier for the reader, the way I made it easier above, when I reduced the discussion to Europe alone. This, as I emphasized, is not "moving the goalposts" nor is it "cherry picking", because, ... Attention! ..., a choice like this, to consider Europe alone as a field of analysis, is presupposed by the sufficient condition, which, if the thesis is correct, is found violated. As far as the constitutional threshold goes, I offered the reader this:

try to reason having in mind as non-anonymous only those whom the law of the land in a given country has established as acting governing dignitaries.

When this is the criterion, the reader should rest assured that I cannot shift, constantly amending the criterion every time I do not like how that criterion supports my thesis. I did not need to go to such great lengths, but I am doing it to alleviate any suspicion that I am adjusting the criterion to my liking. The king and queen in a monarchy are such dignitaries bestowed by the law of the land. The same goes for the presidents of the 27 European Union countries. It also applies to the prime-ministers of those European countries, and for any European country, for that matter. Let's stop there. I could have further expanded the range of the human biological entities covered by my criterion to, say, include their families (but only the family members who make headlines, so that their passing, if that at all happened, not to

mention it being from/with COVID-19, is unquestionable), but for the reader's convenience and reassurance, I have limited myself to the above well-defined group, to protect the potential reader from the suspicion that I might be spinning my wheels.

With this facilitation, I hope the readers will have increased their conviction that, indeed, the criterion I have erected proves that there is no "COVID-19 pandemic".

On the Objection to the Argument by Questioning the Representability of the Sample

Even the sociology of a single European country, which covers a very limited territory, compared to the entire territory of Europe, the subject of our study, deals for its conclusions with samples rather than with the entire population. The well-defined sample, the group of human beings I propose as the object of discussion, is representative because they are scattered over quite random territories, climates, environmental contamination and, shall we say, DNA composition of the bodies residing in Europe. In other words, the well-defined sample of human representatives is not clustered in one geographic location, so that some oasis can be attributed to these human representatives that protected them from COVID-19.

As for the said representativeness of the sample, I hope the reader won't resort to disputing the representativeness of a sample of people about whose deaths one would know for sure and instantly, but, instead, would accept that a sample of some anonymous people that the manipulative media is shoving into our faces, about whom we know absolutely nothing, is

representative. I add this because I expect to hear even such ridiculousness.

Of course, anyone with views adversary to the conclusions herewith, based on the absence of deaths amongst the non-anonymous significants as a foundation for denying the reality of "COVID-19 pandemic", will always object to the representativeness of the sample, always questioning its purported frugality. However, the minimum which no critic can deny, is that, no matter what the size of the well-delineated sample, never less than at least consisting of heads of state in Europe plus the number of heads of the government, the lack of deaths from/with COVID-19 amongst those non-anonymous significants, is completely confirmable, while the number reported for deaths from/with COVID-19 of anonymous insignificants is always completely unconfirmable. The latter number is questionable under all circumstances. Therefore, the least a critic must do is to admit that the only real, directly confirmable unequivocal arguments concerning the genuineness of "COVID-19 pandemic", are those of the skeptic, the one who points out the absence of deaths from/with COVID-19 amongst the dignitaries, as the criterion, the proof, that there is not now, nor ever has been, a "COVID-19 pandemic". The pro-COVID-19 pandemic people, for their part, have absolutely no unequivocally reliable data, ready for critical inspection by anyone, reliable data which would allow them to have the claim of those pro-"COVID-19 pandemic" people taken seriously. Everything concerning COVID-19 is based on media-fabricated hearsay. Independent, direct immediate inspection of the pro-"COVID-19 pandemic" argument is out of the question, because even the visit to hospitals and seeing full rooms with bed-ridden patients, is absolutely no guarantee that these patients are bedridden because of COVID-19 and are not there because of natural causes, complicated by the mangled health system, while

today aggravated by deadly diseases unlocked by the prostrated immune system as a result of the "vaccines" against COVID-19. For one thing, a random inspector is not qualified and must rely on what the corrupt doctors say, whose hospitals have received subsidies for underhanded reporting every patient as a COVID-19-related patient. Denial that such payment exists also cannot be proved and, again, the independent inspector must rely on someone's statements, not on objective facts. Add to that the disagreements amongst the so-called experts, forcing the conclusions about a patient to be based on consensus, rather than on indisputable objective facts, and the reported numbers cannot be looked upon as anything other than suspicious. There is no specialist who can guarantee with full certainty what exactly the role is of the underlying troubles the patient has, whether COVID-19 is the cause of death or death took place accompanied by COVID-19, let alone, even if no COVID-19 is detected by the mostly unreliable tests, or if the death has any connection with the anti-COVID-19 "vaccine" the patient was given. Add to all of this the adverse effect on the patient of the destroyed healthcare system, in principle, now aggravated by the unfounded lockdowns, forcing neglect of the real, deadly diseases, which no one can guarantee that it was not the reason, not COVID-19, for the patient to end up in the hospital. Fear, stress, unemployment, financial hardship, depression are soaring as the result of unjustified measures and mandates and that can also be deadly. Even the highest level of trustworthiness, the data in the peerreviewed publications, must be doubted, compared to the absolute validity of the reports on the death of a monarch, if there was such a death. These two sets of data are incomparable in their reliability—those evolving from the peer-reviewed publications being completely unreliable and corrupt, prone to the rampant effect of vested interests, as in the absolutely unequivocally provable case of the corrupt peer-review when it comes to publications in physics journals, whilst those arriving from the world news media about the death of a monarch being completely, 100%, reliable. As said, even the traditionally lying, manipulative private global news channels are forced to tell the truth in the case of death of a monarch. On the other hand, even one of the listed uncertainties connected with the death of the anonymous, is enough to reject from consideration any such reported data.

When speaking about peer-review, and how unreliable it is, it needs to be stated once again, here, about the overwhelming number of peer-reviewed approvals of the "theory" of relativity, probably the most in history, praising it as the creation of a genius, on the one hand, while, on the other, its factual absurdity, miserably catastrophically collapsing in the very pages of the 1905 paper which put it forth. This is a catastrophe unequivocally discovered and thoroughly described in four previous books of this author, entitled "Relativity is the Mother of All Fake News", "The Pathology of Relativity and Some Notes on the Theory of Science", "No Great Reset" and Governed by Absurdities—The Science of "Deception Today". Peer-review is the fig leaf to cover up the shamefulness of the current fundamental science, as well as other flawed figments of the elite's manipulativeness, a small example of which being the phony "COVID-19 pandemic" fabrication. What was said above is an additional rumination on a topic discussed in a section titled Mandatory Defiance of Peer-Review and the Mainstream Media, that further discusses the problem of peer-review.

More On the Definition Itself of Pandemic

As for the definition of pandemic, well, that's an example of one of those elastic definitions that the World Health

Organization changes and stretches at will. However, we are not going to take leave of our senses, and will apply the definition to the non-anonymous significants in exactly the same way in which the lying Goebbelsian (that term implying, after the cynical uttering attributed to Goebbels, that constant repetition of a lie makes it a truth) global media applies that definition to the anonymous insignificants. It is hoped that the reader will not object that the number of biological units of the first kind in question (the non-anonymous significants) is etched in stone and there is no wiggle room when discussing whether the fact that they are alive, is an absolute fact. If it is indeed an absolute fact, which it is, the same way as the fact that "one does not equal two" and the fact that "velocity is the first derivative of distance with respect to time" are absolute, then that etched-in-stone absolute fact that there are no dignitaries who died from/with COVID-19, immediately violates the **sufficient** condition for the claim that COVID-19 caused a pandemic. Conversely, the existence of such an absolute fact, violating the sufficient condition for the reality of a pandemic (a pandemic as the lying Goebbelsian media understand it when they speak of the anonymous), proves that there was no "COVID-19 pandemic".

Occam's Razor

A short note on Occam's razor is also due, because it has become fashionable on the internet to cite it as a criterion for truthfulness, and some readers may expect that criterion to be applied in instances of discussion, such as the one at hand. However, Occam's razor, which need not even be explained, is not part of the scientific method. It is some criterion that is shared here and there around the forums on the internet, just for fun, to

say nothing of the fact, that no amount of Occam's razor-sort of argumentation, or anything else adopted as a criterion, can change a conclusion based on absolute facts, expressing absolute truths, as is our case with the fake "COVID-19 pandemic".

The Responsibility of the Champion

Having the above clarification concerning the term "fascism" in mind also in this section, we can proceed with the analysis of a person who otherwise has outstanding achievements but placed in the fascist environment forgets his high moral obligations required by his status of prominence. A champion can turn himself into a despicable nobody, seemingly disagreeing but, in actuality, kowtowing to the medical fascism, hoping to get something for himself, thereby making it easier for the international criminals an easy ride. With his escapist, irresponsibly selfish stubbornness and obvious lack of acumen, begging the apparent perpetrators of a crime against humanity, going willingly into their territory, he compromised the struggle of thousands of worthy fighters against these criminals, including a Nobel laureate in virology. The "great" champion himself rushed into their arms, so they could demonstrate their strength. The terrorists who have inflicted unprecedented suffering on millions of innocents through medical fascism are not negotiated with, nor are they expected to show mercy. The heroes even kill themselves to keep the fascist governance from taunting and debasing them. "COVID-19 pandemic" is a fraud invented by the fascist governance. What? Are you going to fight this fraud with your fraud? They are coming from where you are headed.

Funny man this "champion" is, set out to fight the world's deceivers and liars with lie and deceit. Big deal that "champion" really is, making unimpeachably sure we saw what a "big deal" he really is. It would have really been a big deal if he had sat in his home country, and from a position of power, told them he would not be in their tournament under those terms; that is, only if he submitted to their condition, allowing himself to be injected with the potions pushed as "vaccines". He is a world champion and they would have immediately exposed themselves if they started underestimating that fact. He really would have been a big deal if we had heard him telling them that he would not participate in their tournament under these conditions, even if they made an exception for him, because it is not fair to play with opponents weakened by fakes called "vaccines" by the fascist gang. Just as it is unbecoming for the champion to have drugged homunculi come up against him, it is unbecoming for the champion to decimate weaklings, incapacitated as a result of injected "vaccines". Yeah, but the "champion" has a personal goal—he has to win a trophy for himself. Why should he care how he achieved this? The others, the principle, the dignity, the fact that the world is mired in fascism, which he is doing a favor to, because he is a champion but he does not live up to his status, all this he throws to the dogs. One has no words to express one's outrage at such a pathetic lowlife, no matter how many titles he has won.

On the Term "Social"

This book often mentions the concept of society. While the meaning of that term herewith is considered to have its usual

meaning, an alternative view is probably also worth mentioning. The alleged "COVID-19 pandemic" is intimately connected with the usual understanding of the term society. When speaking about society, which is more or less a collectivist term, at the same time, it cannot be denied that, on a personal basis, everyone digs his own grave, without dragging with him some abstraction called society. On the other hand, that the denialist statement "there is no society" does not literally mean what those denying the existence of society have in mind, is proved, for example, by the willingness of these deniers to lead a political party, the latter being, in itself, a social entity, rather than be set out alone, as isolated individuals, to fight for their ideas. Otherwise, if we don't give them the benefit of the doubt that their rejection of the notion of society does not exactly literally mean "there is no society", we should see those society-deniers as internally contradictory, duplicitous figures, who should not be taken seriously or discussed in the context of a conversation such as ours. But we can abandon such a view of accusing them of outright duplicity, by considering the argument on another plane, since, along the lines of their reasoning (the reasoning of the society-deniers), separating, for example, health and education from the social, attributing them to the individual, is a natural act of recognizing education and healthcare, as an inalienable part of life in its own sense. As such, health and education are unconditional, not functions of compassion, today known as empathy, of public engagement, of market or of no market. By their very nature, health and education preclude discussion of budgets, dedicated for them, taking the money for their sustenance for granted, as well as not resorting to considering whether one society is more social than another, having education and healthcare as a measure. Education and healthcare are not subject to the socio, because they are immanent to life. Otherwise, if compassion, empathy, charity, or social

justice are foregrounded as determinants of whether society should pay for education and healthcare, this leads to the most monstrous forms of ideology, and to political practices used as a screen for such ideologies. The individual has an unconditional right to healthcare and education, just as the individual has an unconditional right to breathe the air freely, in order to be alive, without thinking of this breathing activity in market terms, to say nothing of budgets. In this sense, indeed, there are only individuals and their lives. There is no society, and the desire of demagogues to posit social relations as a condition for whether the individual will "breathe" freely these intrinsic elements, is ultimately anti-social, if one wants to analyze it on such a plane, however charitable it may pretend to be otherwise. So, let monarchies, communisms, fascisms and post-modernisms (perfected nazisms) keep to themselves that social concern, from which humans must necessarily free themselves, in order to realize themselves as humanity. Humanity first maintains these intrinsic elements at all cost, and only then thinks of allocating budgets and policies for all other aspects. "But there is no money available", is the usual retort. There is. And, if, for some reason, there is indeed none left for anything else, all the money having been spent on education and healthcare, humanity would die for that reason alone, not at all be kept half alive, with the essential elements of life (including healthcare and education) cut down, in order to live on finances saved from essentials such as healthcare and education for something else, far from the true components comprising fundamental humanity.

The gist of this idea is that life is its own thing, not the result of someone "coming to the rescue", least of all a collective "coming to the rescue". In this right to life, the individual is beholden to no one, and to impute that some entity outside the human, some society, must be a prerequisite to the realization of human's immanent right to life, is an extrinsic imputation of

immanent slavery. The individual can live alone on an island without enjoying the so-called benefits or dependencies of society. There is a famous juvenile novel written about this occasion, illustrating in a simple way this self-determination. There are also thousands of counter-examples, where society enforces a violent anti-compassion, locking the individual alone in a dungeon, and yet the individual survives. Ergo, societal interrelationship of obligations and responsibilities are not lifedefining. They are brought in to enslave the individual, for the sake of other individuals' individual goals. In other words, it is not the business of other individuals, gathered in something calling itself society, to decide whether to allow the free existence of integral parts of an individual's life, such as healthcare and education. The individual must receive them unconditionally, without the fate of their reception being decided by a collective without the individual to be expected to give anything back, at least by obligation, to the so-called society. Thus, contrary to the post-industrial notion that healthcare and education must be part of some kind of market, engaging in some kind of service economy, the individual should receive healthcare and education just as the individual breathes air to be alive, regardless of the impression on this matter of some so-called society.

Social relations are a parasitic appendage of existence. As said, the individual can do without these relations in terms of individual's own existence, in terms of individual's life as such. The social relations are forced upon the individual. It is another matter that, beyond the essential components of life itself, there are non-essential ones. Lets leave aside the fact that you can go from New York to Boston in a bullock cart, but a VW will do the same job in the same time as a Mercedes. The latter is more comfortable, but it is not essential to transporting one from New York to Boston.

How does the intrusion of the social happen? How do these parasitic prangs get imparted and how do they enslave the individual? This forced togetherness, forged as society, takes place for a purely animal-like reason, such as what holds together a pack led by a headman. Health sustains life, education creates the skills to live. They are essential components of existence. Enslaving the other, by physically tying their hands and feet and chaining them to machines or agricultural fields, or by building a society, albeit, less tangible to the senses, is not essential. It is expository. Every society exploits its members, ultimately for the sake of its desired or unwanted leaders.

Thus, when we consider everyday activity, we need not even talk about a team player, a term which has become fashionable lately, because that is an egregious manifestation of already enslaving collectivism that is the basis of the slavish antihumanist impediments to natural existence. The division of labor must derive from inner needs and rational understandings of the individuals, with a sense that their activities are indispensable components of a common process, and not be imposed upon them externally by some apparent collective reason, which is always the expression of some individual external dictate.

As for the family, the latter is essential. It is inescapable slavery, as is the enslaving compulsion to ingest food or breathe air. It is essential to life. But, family, specifically in the sense of the drive and need to continue the species, not in the sense of state, collective or societal sanction. However, in order for the lineage to continue, individuals must be healthy, properly educated (not necessarily with a Harvard degree), breathe air, take food, and drink water. Finally comes the ultimate, unavoidable limitation, death. But, we are talking about natural, unavoidable limitations here, not the additional, imposed limitations, coming from something called society. Surely, we don't have enough natural

hardships, so let us seek to inflict, and justify the need for, unnatural, collectivist, social hardships, as well.

I won't discuss here the further scary repercussions of this sordid "informational society". I have discussed the modern horrors of suppression of the individual by society through the digital methods of social ranking in one of my earlier books, "No Great Reset".

In view of what was discussed above, it is interesting to note that the so-called "information society" so much talked about, which is claimed to have taken over the world, turns out, in fact, to be a "paper tiger", facetiously borrowing the metaphor which Chairman Mao coined on another occasion. Indeed, what is the use of the magnificent body of COVID-19-related information dumped every day on every one of us, since the quality of this information amounts to zero? Massive dissemination of fraudulent information has not only zero quality but harms society and may even have lethal repercussions. It is not benign at all, deserving only to be brushed off. About what information revolution is that onslaught of talk, since one cannot extract from that flood even one scintilla of information that is worth it? The revolution in blabber is observed, all right. However, all this blabber is for the trash can. Trashy revolution—blasting from every channel of the mass media. This is what the real state of the world is.

Not to mention the, this time, very direct and effective steps towards the horrifying application of the supposedly-rejected science of eugenics, which is now being pushed with new force through modern gene therapy methods and possibly anti-COVID-19 "vaccines". This problem, especially its social significance, requires a thorough and competent study, which will point out ways of preventing the use of genetics for political purposes. Although we mention it only in passing, the grave consequences of its abuse must hold a primary place in our minds.

Deliberate creation through the methods of gene engineering, in effect eugenics, of a master race, ruling a multitude of genetically inferior slave humanity, is one of the most disgusting and reprehensible ideas in history.

In General, About This Book

Any book has its goals. Some books entertain, other books give useful advice. Books can go deeper, providing the reader existential knowledge. There are books of purely technical character, usually assembling the achievements in some field of science. There are even books which introduce and give an account concerning real discoveries made by the book's author. Such are all the previous books of this author, and, especially his latest book, entitled "Deception Governed by Absurdities—The Science of Today". The current book probably has an element of all these—the common biology of the non-anonymous significants with the rest of the population, as the basis where the criterion for the veracity of a pandemic begins, is a discovery ("no-significants'-death-from/with-COVID-19" as a criterion for the falsity of the suggestion for "COVID-19 pandemic")—least of all, the current book being a source of entertainment.

General Conclusion

What shall one take away from this book? Those who want to know the truth do not engage in voodoo-medicine that juggles manipulated numbers linked to the anonymous. Voodoo-medicine draws conclusions of the sort that the vaccinated got over the flu more easily than the un-vaccinated, not knowing, but

only voodoo-assuming, without any basis, how the vaccinated would have gotten over the flu if they had not been vaccinated.

Whoever, on the other hand, really wants to know the truth, analyzes objects and numbers about which there is no dispute. There's no dispute about the fact that, had a nonanonymous significant, as defined herewith, died from/with COVID-19, the headlines of the privately-owned world news agencies would have rattled the world for weeks; i.e., every one of us would have known about this death and would be 100% certain that it has taken place. However, there are no such headlines. The silence in this regard is deafening. Not a single nonanonymous significant has died since from/with COVID-19. This is impossible if there really is a COVID-19 pandemic. The lack of such headlines is out of the question if there really is a COVID-19 pandemic, because both the anonymous and the non-anonymous share common biology and are equally as vulnerable to the virus causing COVID-19. Therefore, if the anonymous die *en masse* from a virus, the same virus cannot spare the non-anonymous significant, which was the opposite of what was observed. Ergo, there is no COVID-19 pandemic and there never has been. Incidentally, it is enough to observe, as is really observed when it comes to COVID-19, lack of deaths from/with COVID-19 in only one well-delineated group of non-anonymous significants, for instance, amongst those in Europe, who, by law, have been installed as heads of state or the government, in order to make the general conclusion that there has never been a COVID-19 pandemic when it comes to the entire world. Consequently, since, on the other hand, there is not and never has been a COVID-19 pandemic, discussing anti-COVID-19 "vaccines" is a pastime for people who have nothing else to do, entrapped by malicious manipulators. Furthermore, discussing

publicly anything connected with COVID-19 in the context of a source of a pandemic, is nothing short of terrorism, a federal crime.