

# It's Time to Make America Sane Again

by  
Vesselin C. Noninski

## Introduction: The Urgent Call to Reject Relativity

This article argues that the theory of relativity (henceforth called relativity) is not only scientifically flawed but has also led to vastly detrimental societal impacts, necessitating its removal from any discourse or consideration.

Whoever helps America rid itself of the most egregious and wasteful folly known as relativity, and its alleged offspring will forever be regarded as one of the greatest heroes of the American people.

## Disclaimer

*Please understand that although propaganda has made it appear to be of a complexity that only a few can handle, in this particular case it turned out that you do not need a scientific or mathematical background to easily recognize the discovered fatal flaw in relativity, which will be described below.*

## Simplicity of the Critique

Paradoxically, against all expectations, ridding America of this deep-seated folly, as an act of justice, is the easiest thing to do, because this folly can be detected right in the pages of its founding document, making it possible to expose during US Congressional hearings or DOGE (Department of Government Efficiency) meetings within minutes.

## Political Action Against Wrong Scientific Dogma—The Ease of Legislative Correction

The only barrier to correcting this deeply entrenched mistake by removing relativity from science is a lack of political will. That is why I am writing this article, in the hope that honest and courageous politicians will take notice and make the American people and the world aware that such a vital problem exists, even though knowledge of it is being deliberately suppressed by forces that benefit from this travesty. I am confident that any active, uncompromising and courageous politician would find it intolerable to stand by and not take decisive action, such as cutting off the funding, against this injustice that is significantly harming the American people.

### **The Core Absurdity of Relativity—Two Different Things Cannot Be the Same**

The essence of this folly, which undermines and destroys science while also poisoning the social environment in the United States, is the incessant insistence of mainstream physics, for over a century, on the absurdity that two genuinely different things are exactly the same thing.

More specifically, and in a nutshell, relativity absurdly asserts that a set of mathematical formulae dependent on velocity  $v$  expresses “exactly the same thing”; i.e., has the same meaning, as a set of mathematical formulae independent of velocity  $v$ . This is akin to insisting that one equals two, making such absurdity the foundation of science—unbelievable, isn’t it?

For those more curious, I will elaborate a bit further by first making the observation that in true science, there’s no interest in trivialities like systems of coordinates that displace with respect to each other or of transforming physical laws between them. Relativity, however, contrary to logic and reason, adopts the absurd Lorentz transformations (seeming mathematical expressions erroneously equating constants to variables) as a flawed method of representing physical laws across displacing systems of coordinates, in addition to the only possible, also trivial, but correct way of carrying out such transformations by applying the immutably true principle of relativity (the foundational so-called first postulate of relativity) discovered by Galileo. Here is the place to say that, actually, the only use for relativity is to serve as the promptest way to prove the Lorentz transformations absurd. This is significant

because the absurd Lorentz transformations are the bogus basis of most of modern science.

Notice that what I'm about to draw attention to is an inexcusable stand-alone, general flaw that can apply to anything. It is not specific to relativity, albeit bringing it down in a most decisive way.

### **A Single Definitive Example, Enough to Disprove Relativity— Examining Einstein's 1905 Paper**

Instead of delving deeper into details where relativity fundamentally breaks down, I'll bypass various instances where it violates its own principles and other details that invalidate it, focusing instead on one example that succinctly dismantles most efficiently and categorically, by itself, all of relativity at once, leaving no room for replacement or further experimental verification, which, as a matter of fact, was nonexistent from the very get-go.

As we go along, although the following may seem technical, it must be said that it is crucial for the successful understanding of the roots of this century-old scandal. Given the significance of the question, it shouldn't seem so terrible to feel a little discomfort when dealing with formulae that Einstein himself presented, when it comes to witnessing directly, with one's own eyes, the origins of the catastrophe of the most important theory of the century, which also has dramatic societal ramifications.

The simplest way to dispel any doubt about relativity being nonsense is to examine what it claims within a single system of coordinates.

Consider section 6 of Einstein's 1905 paper (*Ann. Phys.*), where he claims that two distinct sets of equations in the same system of coordinates, denoted by lowercase  $k$ , "must express exactly the same thing." However, quite the contrary, these sets are actually clearly different because one includes velocity  $v$ , and the other does not. Their difference is further evidenced by the fact that one set equates expressions with electric fields only, to those with magnetic fields only, while the other set mixes the two fields and equates these mixed expressions. Thus, understand, these two equations in the same system  $k$  cannot be equivalent, not only because they differ in the presence of velocity  $v$ , but also they differ in how the electric and magnetic fields are present in these two sets of equations. Think

of a parrot with its colorful plumage and curved beak, and a crow with its black feathers and a straight beak—although both are birds, these two things are not exactly the same, nor are they equivalent. This preposterous premise, asserting that two markedly different sets of equations express precisely the same concept, forms the foundational basis of relativity, representing an intellectual folly that has significantly undermined, actually crushed, the integrity of physics, with repercussions that have impeded its progression up to the present time.

### **Violation of Basic Logical Principles Brings Down Any Theory**

Note carefully that the establishment of the above discrepancy is not tied to relativity. Any theory whatsoever must be rejected if, on the one hand, it states that two entities must express exactly the same thing in a given system of coordinates  $k$ , because its founding principle (in this case the principle of relativity, aka the first postulate) mandates it, but on the other hand, the actual fact, as seen in the pages of the published theory, cries out loud that these two entities produced by the theory in that same single system of coordinates  $k$  are not only not exactly the same thing, but are most obviously drastically different. Thus, the theory is invalid not because it is relativity or anything else, but because it violates the most basic laws of logic and reason, such as the law of identity in logic.

Probably it will also help to draw attention to the fact that, as seen in the example given, relativity does not describe different physical phenomena under different conditions. Relativity misdescribes a single set of phenomena (Faraday's law and Ampere's law) under the same conditions by absurdly expressing this singular set of phenomena with two different sets of mathematical expressions, while claiming that these two completely different sets of expressions "must express exactly the same thing", which they don't.

### **The Inherent Impossibility of Experimental Validation for Any Illogical Theory**

From the above, a most significant conclusion follows. It is absolutely out of the question that there could have been extensive experimental verifications of relativity over the past century. The above argument proves that the theory of relativity is an absurdity, and an absurdity cannot produce anything at all, let alone anything that can be experimentally verified.

### **The Corrupt Nature of Scientific Consensus—Peer Review's Role in Sustaining Folly**

Therefore, the supposedly overwhelming scientific consensus supporting relativity is built on sand. One reason for its existence is peer review, which corruptly favors maintaining the consensus over honoring the truth, even when the truth contradicts the consensus. Therefore, there is no better example of failure of peer review than relativity—the peer review quest to maintain consensus has perpetuated this absurdity in science for over a century. Such a shaky consensus must be dissolved immediately, and physics should continue on the path of the scientific method, which is based on facts such as those presented above. Consensus, especially one that ignores facts like those above, is a dead-end for science.

### **A Path Forward: Back to Classical Physics—Excluding Relativity for Scientific Progress**

The future of physics would be promising if it can follow the principles of classical physics only, excluding relativity. Relativity is unsalvageable and therefore must be completely excluded. The definitive argument given herewith leaves no further room for discussion. The argument presented is so powerful that it single-handedly does away with relativity, which has been propagandistically foisted on the world as the invincible, ultimate theory.

The solution—everything in science must be built on the premises of classical physics. That is the only way forward. This statement may not be to the liking of some, especially those who have devoted their entire life to the service of relativity and its progeny, but facts such as those presented here are stronger than faith and devotion. Actually, this is exactly how the adherents of the

defunct theory feel when a discovery is made that has put the old theory in obscurity. Science is not philosophy, which tolerates every figment of the human mind on an equal footing.

### **Reforming Peer Review—No Peer Review for Senior Scientists**

Speaking of peer review, a further proposal might be that peer review should only apply to junior scientists who have fewer than 12 peer-reviewed papers. Consequently, peer-review should be waived for senior authors who have 12 or more already published peer-reviewed papers, of which they are the sole author in at least 6 and the leading author in the rest. The number 12 of the required peer-reviewed papers aligns with the requirement the National Academy of Sciences has for new membership. The senior authors will be solely responsible for the quality of their work, which eventually will affect their reputation if it consistently is not up to standard. Accidentally, the publishing of papers by senior scientists without peer-review will also do away with the inappropriate culture of ranking scientific papers due to impact, rather than depth of their contributions to science.

### **Societal Implications of Scientific Misguidance—How Relativity Undermines Social Logic**

In view of its fundamental suffering from the described internal contradiction, it is only superfluous and a waste of time to discuss illusory hallucinations that relativity theory arrives at this or that conclusion, although immediate arguments can be presented that claimed effects such as time dilation, length contraction, relativity of simultaneity, relativity of time, spacetime and everything else that is claimed to be derived from relativity theory, are not only impossible to be derived from relativity theory, but contradict the absolute truths of physics.

Although such a discussion is beyond the scope of this article, it may be mentioned, for completeness, that a moving clock is invariably spatially coincident (i.e., synchronous) at every instant with a stationary clock, which in turn is synchronous at every instant with all the other stationary clocks. Because of this absolute fact, it is absolutely impossible (contrary to the absolute truths of

physics) for a clock in a GPS satellite to show a time other than world synchronous time at any instant. This should preclude any reference to GPS as proof of time dilation, since time dilation is impossible in principle, just as it is impossible for one to equal two (an absolute truth), apart from the fact that GPS or any conceivable experiment cannot have any relation to relativity. Moreover, according to the above argument, ignoring all claims of experimental validation of relativity is a must if one possesses even a grain of decency and integrity.

To accommodate those seeking experiments to validate relativity, although there are none, an experiment comes to mind which does the opposite—it unequivocally rejects relativity. Thus, one can point to the first experimental overthrow of relativity by Michelson and Morley (1887, *Am. J. Sci.*), whose results contradicted the second postulate of relativity. Without interrupting the main discussion, a hint to that effect may be in order. According to Michelson's theory (1881, *Am. J. Phys.*) on which he based his experiment, in absence of ether the outcome will be a null experiment in which no interference patterns are observed only by the observers at rest with the interferometer (at rest with system of coordinates  $k$ ), where  $c = \text{const}$  in all directions. In the absence of ether, for observers in the system of coordinates  $K$ , in which the interferometer  $k$  is moving, the speed of light is  $c \neq \text{const}$ . This is exactly what contradicts the second postulate in absence of ether. For observers in  $K$ , if the interferometer  $k$  moves,  $c = \text{const}$  will be observed only in the presence of ether—light would have emancipated itself from the light source and would have become an expression of the undulatory properties of the ether, unaffected by whether or not its light source moves. In the absence of ether; i.e., what Michelson and Morley found experimentally,  $c \neq \text{const}$  in  $K$ , which contradicts the assumption of the second postulate that  $c = \text{const}$  under all circumstances, regardless of whether the source of light is moving or not.

However, we do not need to go that far, because the theory of relativity invalidates itself due to the incompatibility discovered here, making further invalidating arguments superfluous.

### **The Spread of Absurdity into Culture—From Physics to Postmodernism**

Beyond its scientific flaws, the repercussions of relativity extend into societal structures. Surprising as it may seem, this connection between the flawed relativity and the breakdown of society becomes clear when realizing that once you start thinking the way relativity has indoctrinated you, accepting equivalence of different things, then any two things in your general perception of the world, could be the same.

Thus, worse yet, this incorrect way of thinking, which allows absurdity to pass as exemplary hard science, has been taken up by authors in the humanities and the social studies departments of universities and has spread like wildfire, infecting social thought for over a century. To convince oneself of the damage done to the world view, it is enough to open the texts of the leading philosophers and social thinkers, not to mention the mass media as a whole. Strangely enough, no matter how far removed from the subject at hand, relativity and Einstein inevitably lurk everywhere as the epitome of modern thought, however objectionable as such a reference to the now-discovered absurdity may be.

Furthermore, this scientific fallacy has broader implications, amounting to the conviction in society that “anything goes”. Do you see how this fallacy that two different things are exactly the same thing, a falsehood that has been passed off as high science by praising relativity that builds on it, has seeped into the wider society and into the whole educational system in America in the form of the insistence that a man is the same as a woman, barring the answer to the simplest of questions—what is a woman?

Do you see how this pathological understanding, stemming from the mutilation of the fundamentals of physics at the turn of the last century, which is hard to believe has become so deeply entrenched, once unleashed in society, has bred, through destroying the logical and reasonable method of thinking, toxic cultural phenomena like wokeness and cancel culture, the poisonous gender identity theory and critical race theory, the justification that illegal immigration is perfectly legal and acceptable, that abortion concerns only my body, ignoring the fact that your life-and-death decision to abort affects a different body with a different DNA? Where are the human rights that the “my body, my choice” liberals proclaim they care so much about? This abrogation of science has given rise to the dumbness known as postmodernism, which denies that truth is real, considering it only a matter of interpretation, a social construct, only a metaphor. Plethora of other sheer insanities follow (think of



anthropogenic climate change, which is falsely claimed to be the unequivocal truth of true science, rather than recognizing its uncertainty) that are plaguing America with their profound damage, indoctrinating generation after generation that will one day become difficult, if not impossible, to correct.

### **The Economic and Intellectual Cost of Relativity**

Do you see how, in addition to the grandiose squandering of public funds on relativity folly, America puts in jeopardy and, in effect, damages, by endorsing it, the thinking of the American people and the world by allowing the absurdity known as relativity and its alleged offspring (amounting to the imposition of the absurdity that two different things mean exactly the same thing) to reign? The logic of those pseudo-intellectuals, intimidated by what appears as science, afraid to face the truth they see with their own eyes, goes like this—if absurdity can pass for science in the higher sciences—the ultimate cognitive authority—who are we, those in the social departments of universities, social services, publishing, media, and Hollywood, to disagree?

The products of the destroyed physics have already become presidents of the USA, such as Barack Obama, who truly believes that space can be curved because physics (destroyed physics, that is) says so, and therefore there is a constitutional space that can be curved, which, in effect, has convinced him that “anything goes” in America, including its constitution (“anything goes”, except for allowing society to hear that modern physics is nothing but folly). This is the ultimate destruction of America that is rooted in over a century of destroyed physics, a destruction caused by believing in nothing more than the small, barely perceptible kernel, that two different things mean exactly the same thing. Pathetic, isn't it?

Think about it—all of this, stemming from the seemingly inauspicious conviction that considering the absurdity of—entities that cannot be synonymous being taken as synonymous—amounts to great science, allowing it to run society rather than committing it to a mental institution.

This, clothed in exotic pseudoscientific language, immediately makes people look like fools by forcing people to believe in the ridiculous, namely, that two obviously different things express

exactly the same thing, in order to be politically correct and align with what society has ludicrously adopted as proper and acceptable. Who would believe that matters would turn so bad during the times when the world was entering the 20th century?

Today, all the talk is about cutting government waste. What better candidate for such relief than to cut the billions, if not trillions, of dollars squandered each year on this travesty of science, presented as progeny of the absurd theory of relativity (although an absurd theory cannot have progeny), resulting also in dumbing down the nation as an additional consequence?

### **A Call for Congressional Action—Legislation to End the Relativity Funding**

Whoever succeeds in exposing the theory of relativity to the US Congress, as well as to DOGE, and as a result the US Congress enacts legislation prohibiting further federal spending to support such dramatically destructive, duplicitous, and wasteful nonsense as relativity, would be performing an act of heroism comparable to the greatest feats in American history, even though not a shot would be fired.

Several crucial points must be well understood and remembered when waging this historic battle. To effectively challenge this issue, consider the following points:

1. Because the theory of relativity is internally contradictory, it cannot produce any results.
2. Because nothing can come out of the theory of relativity, let alone an experimentally testable result, all claims of experimental confirmation of relativity are outright misrepresentations that have resulted in inane amounts of dollars wasted on government grants to fake-study experimentally and pretend-confirm the unconfirmable.
3. Because relativity cannot produce any result, it must be removed from science without replacing it—like pulling weeds out of a garden, we don't need to plant anything new where the weeds were.

The above points must be kept in mind very carefully at all times, because the vested interests keep repeating these falsities in different

ways, covertly or overtly implying, outright misrepresenting, that relativity theory is the most firmly confirmed theory in science. The most usual thing for the proponents of relativity is to overwhelm you with verbiage of the successes of relativity and how it is the most experimentally verified theory in history but this is inherently impossible. All such verbiage must be dismissed out of hand. This falsehood must be nipped in the bud every time it rears its ugly head.

It is also a sick manipulation that the US Congress has no business regarding what goes on in science. It is vehemently insisted that science must develop independently, without governmental interference. This is certainly true, but refusing to fund nonsense which reasonable Americans can establish with their own eyes after seeing the arguments of this author, is not governmental interference in the affairs of science. It is a must if a responsible government is going to cut wasteful spending. In the words of Congressman Lamar Smith, the US Congress must have instituted its own additional layer of accountability when funding science projects.

Reasonable Americans can judge for themselves, when shown the unequivocal arguments of this author, and request from its US Congress to correct itself by stopping the taxpayer funding of nonsense by passing a Science Integrity Act defunding any existing project and forbidding the funding of any project having anything to do with the theory of relativity and its alleged offspring. Refusing to do so is exactly what the government must not do because it would be a betrayal of the American people, who elected the government in the first place.

In contrast, given the political will, the attempts of those who present folly as science by hiding it behind the walls of invariably corrupt peer review, sanctimonious lamentations about the violation of academic independence from government, and hypocritical cries in favor of false academic freedom, will be doomed once the US Congress recognizes it and exposes it to society. No matter what measures are taken other than cutting off the source; i.e., the public funding, thus removing from science its contamination with the absurdity of relativity, all other measures will be palliative, and the liberal scourge with which society is infected will continue to ooze.

This call for suspension of support by the US Congress is a reminder of the cancellation of funding on October 21, 1993 for the Superconducting Super Collider (SSC) project. However, the

present call to stop funding projects connected with relativity has much more profound ramifications than mere budgetary concerns.

### **Conclusion: Restoring Sanity and Integrity—The Battle for Scientific Truth**

To conclude, not heeding the above critique puts America at risk by continuing to endorse relativity and alleged offspring, where public funds are wasted on nonsense while the intellectual integrity of Americans and the world is compromised.

By exposing and defunding this pseudo-science, we can begin to heal the damage to our societal thinking and governance. The task ahead is monumental but necessary for restoring scientific integrity and national sanity.

#### **ABOUT THE AUTHOR**

Prof. Vesselin C. Noninski has taught at several universities in Massachusetts and New York. He is the author of 8 books dedicated to his discoveries in physics as well as 2 books with a novelistic approach to some of these discoveries. Re-evaluating the fundamentals of physics has been his ongoing devotion for over a decade.

This article is accompanied by an excerpt of 20 papers in pdf format by prof. Vesselin C. Noninski, 8 of which as sole author and 12 as lead author, in alignment with the criteria for membership in the National Academy of Sciences, requiring at least 12 peer-reviewed papers, 6 of which as sole author.